

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2359

DATED 3rd June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining to interfere on behalf of the
petitioner C. Nuthukumaraswami Mudaliar,
Tamilnadu & Chinnampet, Madras District, who
Chingleput District, appealing against the order of the
P.D. of Rev. Sec. the grant of a portion for certain fields
which have been reserved by Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

0
18 4,000-10-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 228

From

Order no. 2359 of 28 June 98.

The Govt declines to interfere.

11/6
26.8

Let him order to

To the Secy } Depd.
with Encl. } 6.6.98

C. Nuthakumaraswami
Mediator, zamindar of
Chunampet
Madurantakam Taluk
Chingelput Dist.
No.

Dated 28.4.98

Received 3 May

Registered 1/11

Enclosures 4

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Appealing against the order
of the Board of Revenue re: the
grant of a patta for certain
Bakur main lands resumed by
Govt.

SAW
17/6/98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	131		1878
	132		1878
	133		1878

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 2420.

PETITION.

1893

REVENUE DEPT.

CHIEF SECRETARY
2 MAY 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

His Excellency
Sir Arthur Elphinstone Hailey
Governor and President in Council
Fort Saint George.

The humble petition of C. Muthu
- Kammara Swami Sundara, Zamindar
of Channampet, Madhavaram
Taluk, Chingleput District.

Respectfully sheweth,

That in the village of Vilampat forming
part of the petitioner's Zamindari, there were
6 Cawnies of Fakir Aham land, which, from
petitioner was informed, was ordered to be
resumed by the Honorable Board of Revenue
2. That in accordance with standing order
No. 128 of the Honorable Board of Revenue,
based on O.G. No. 1281, dated 10 August 1878,
which directs that resumed Aham, in a Zamindari
village should be made over to the Zamindar
if he consents to an addition to the Posh cash
of two-thirds of the full assessment of such
resumed Aham, the Resident of Madhavaram
in his letter No. 636 dated 18 December 1897
(herewith in original) asked your Excellency
petitioner if he were willing to have the above
6 Cawnies in his Zamindari village, and the
Posh cash raised as stated above.

may be

3. That your petitioner in his letter no. 266 dated 4 January 1897, duly replied to the Tasildar expressing his willingness to take the land on the condition proposed by him. That in spite of this reply of your petitioner to take the land, it was unjustly given away to the tenants on full assessment, and on a Ryotwari pattah. The petitioner has enclosed in original Tasildar's endorsement no. 3956, dated 12 October 1897 in favour of para 2.

4. That, as an arrangement like the above in a Zamindari village is calculated to cause great inconvenience, your petitioner appealed to the Tasildar for a reconsideration of his case, and his reply no. 4291 dated 6 November 1897 is herewith sent in original for ready reference.

5. That your petitioner extremely regrets that he could not get any justice either from the Collector or the Honorable Board of Revenue, to whom he has successively appealed, and whose replies nos. 3650, dated 10.12.97, and 217, dated 10.3.98, respectively have been herewith sent in original for reference.

6. That in this connection it may be mentioned that it was only in pursuance of the Standing Order referred to in para 2 above, that all the grantees that have hitherto been resumed, except the one in question, have been enfranchised and the collection of their rent entrusted to us, as per terms of the said order. The petitioner respectfully begs that the same rule may be graciously directed to be observed in this case too, since it would appear that no reason has been given by any of the authorities referred to above for the deviation from Manual.

7. That it may not be out of place to also observe here that the Honorable Board of Revenue

in issuing the said standing order, must have been fully alive to the inconveniences, which a grantee may be put to, and also the loss which the Government may incur by the relinquishment of such lands by the tenants, by other arrangements such as the one made by the Tasildar in this case.

8. That in conclusion your Excellency's petitioner respectfully begs that, in the circumstances clearly set forth above, your Excellency will be so graciously pleased as to consider the superior claims of your petitioner to the said 6 Canals of Fakir Imam land, which was most unjustly given away to the tenants in the face of the said standing order of the Honorable Board of Revenue and against the Tasildar's own letter no. 656, dated 18.12.96 referred to in para 1, and kindly direct, as requested in para 6, justice to be done to the petitioner. The petitioner also begs that all the enclosures of this petition, which have been sent in original for favour of ready reference, may be kindly directed to be returned to him.

That for this act of justice, your Excellency's petitioner shall ever pray.

Chandrasekhar Das

Zamindar of Chanda-pat
The petitioner

Real petition from C. Muthukrishna
paswami of Zamindar of Chinnampet,
of 28.4.98 (enc. 32 of 98)

Secretary

It appears that certain iram
^(measuring & sowing)
land within the limits of the Chinnam-
pet zamindari in the district of
Chingleput was ordered by the
Board of Revenue to be resumed and
fully assessed and ryotwari pattas
issued to the ~~former~~ occupants.
In order that the land might be
made over to the zamindar in
case the occupants refuse to take
it up on patta, the Tahsildar of
Madurantakam asked him whether
he was willing to have the land
and ^{the} ~~the~~ peshkash of the Zamindari
raised by two-thirds of the full
assessment on the iram land.
The zamindar assented to this
arrangement but as the occupants
of the land were willing to take the
land on patta, ^{the Tahsildar} ~~the Tahsildar~~ assigned
the land to them and not to the
Zamindar. In reference to his
petition to the Collector, the Zamindar
was informed that the land

vide Tahs's letter of 10.12.96.
(enclosure 1 of 96)

vide Tahs's letter of 6.11.97
(enclosure 1 of 97)

encs 10-12.9)

could not be assigned to him and it was also stated that "the assignment of such inams to zamindars under para 1 of Board's Standing order no. 128 is optional with Collectors. In the present case the Tahsildar has correctly carried out the Board's orders by issuing a patta for the land in the name of the present occupant." ~~But~~ Against the Collector's order the petitioner appealed to the Board of Revenue, but that authority ^{saw no reason} ~~refused to~~ interfere with the order and accordingly rejected the appeal. The petitioner now comes up to Government and states that in the present case alone that in pursuance of the standing order referred to, all the inams that are hitherto been resumed except the one in question have been made over to the zamindars and that the arrangement made by the tahsildar in the present case will cause inconvenience to the petitioner and entail loss of revenue to Govt. the land is relinquished by the tahsildar. He therefore prays that resumed inams be made over to him.

P. O. 217 (Para 4)
10-2-98

Yes, we must decline to interfere. The P.O. does not say that they should be handed over to the zamindars, as he quotes it, but that they may be.

27/5/98

Please see draft e.o. on the docket after the current.

SAV
11/10/98

be directed to be made over to him. Para 1 of the standing order referred to above lays down that "resumed or relinquished inams in zamindaris, Polhums and Samahole village, may be made over to the zamindars, Polhums or whole village. Zamindar with his consent and with an addition to the peshkash or quit-rent of two-thirds of the full assessment." ^{It will thus appear that it is not obligatory} on the revenue authorities to make over the resumed inam lands to the zamindars. This course will be adopted when it is inexpedient to retain in the hands of Govt. the management of the little plots of land. It is not stated nor is it clear what inconvenience is felt by the zamindars by the present arrangement. Submitted that the Government may decline to interfere in the matter.

16/5/98

18/5/98

near as the pattas have been issued

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN C.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

BY GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DUTY

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.:-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
C = Copy heading only.