

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2287

DATED 26th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Communicating to the Board of Revenue
letters from the Dy Adjutant General, Madras
Command and the Executive Engineer,
Madras Division regarding the plot of land
in the vicinity of Arsenal workshops,
Madras.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

0
18 4,000-19-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3556

Read also cor. 3646/98

From

The Dy. Adjutant-General,
Madras Command,
Pottaiamund.

Order no. 2287 d/26th May 98.

communicated

to the Board of Revenue.

in continuation of p. 8, 26 May 98 No 2171

No. 13
17825

Dated 14 May

Received 14th

Registered 16th

Enclosures

Spare copies.

Rest
To the Board of Rev
copy to the D.A.G. Madras Command
23/5/98.

SUBJECT.

Informing Govt. that the
General Officer Commanding Madras
Dist. has been instructed to hand
over to Govt. a plot of Military land
at Madras, required by the Madras
Railway Co. for the proposed Washu
manpet Salt Colours loop-line
junction station at Salt Colours
of the Madras Ruzwada Railway

CAV
24/5/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

ENCLOSURES.

Antonmen's Land. Ootacamund,
 No. 15 14th May 1898.
 From 8-7825
 The DEPUTY ADJUTANT GENERAL, MADRAS COMMAND.

To The Secretary to the
 Government of Madras
 Revenue Department.
 Sir,

With reference to the Govern-
 ment of India Military Department
 letter no: 1081 M.W. dated 25th inst
 sanctioning the relinquishment
 to the Local Government of a plot
 of Military land at Madras, required
 by the Madras Railway Company
 for the proposed Wathermanpet to
 Salt Coteaux loop line junction
 station at Salt Coteaux of the
 Madras Bezawada Railway. I am
 desirous to inform you that the
 General Officer Commanding Madras
 District has been instructed to hand
 over the land to Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir,
 Your obedient servant,
 P. M. M. Colwell
 Asst. Secy. for D.A.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 304.

From

*The Executive Engineer
Madras Division
Military Works.
No. 2161.*

Order

Dated 16 May

Received 18 "

Registered 19 "

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

*Reporting with reference to
Govt of India, Military Dept.,
No 1081 M.W. that he is prepared
25-4-98
to hand over to Govt the Military
land in the vicinity of Arsenal
workshops, Veyasarpandy,
Madras.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	11732	56/78	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


M. W. No. 26

No. 2161.

FROM

THE Executive Engineer,
Madras Division,
MILITARY WORKS.

TO



Secretary to Government
Revenue Department,
Madras.

Military Works Department.

Dated 16 May 1898.

Sir, Military land in vicinity of Arumal
workshops - Veyasarpandy.

Reference Govt. of India, Military
Department No: 1081 M.W. of 25th April '98.

I have the honor to report that
I am prepared to hand over the
land in question to you, under the
conditions mentioned in the above
quoted letter.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
A truly
Major R.
Executive Engineer
m.d.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Rev.	701	Rev.	29.6.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Read B.P. 1232 ¹²³² ¹²³² ¹²³² (no 3534
of 98)

Secretary

It appears from the President's letter referred to the Revenue Department for remarks in endorsement No 904 of 14.4.98 that the treasurer of the President's treasury has applied for six months leave on private affairs and proposed that the Assistant treasurer should act ^{for} him on the treasury responsibility and security. The Accountant General who was consulted ^{by the President} as to whether there was any objection to the proposal, invited ^{his} attention to article 303 of the Civil Service Code which imposes on Revenue officers the duty of seeing to the sufficiency of the security and observed that while the model of security bond given in the Code provided for the case of persons acting for treasurers during their absence on the latter's responsibility no such provision appeared in the ~~order form~~ ^{order form} of form pre-

B.O. 2308 9-7-95. for the use
of district treasuries. The President
therefore requests instructions as
to how he should act in the present
case.

It is reported that the security
could taken from the Treasurer makes
him responsible only for the defaults
of himself and of persons serving
under him. The form is in this respect
similar to the one prescribed in the
B.O. quoted above. In this form,
the blame in the case of a default
model form fixing the responsibility
on the treasurer for acts done by the
persons acting in his absence, has
been omitted as the system obtaining
in this Presidency was different
from that in Northern India. (vide
B.O. no 2929 of 22. 12. 83).
In B.O. no 3065 of 16.5.89, the
Government approved the Board's
proposal that regular security
could be taken from acting
treasurers whenever their appointments
are likely to last for more than
three months. This ruling applies
to the case in question and a draft
endorsement

endorsement is accordingly put
up on the docket.

19/5/98

11/26/98

11/26/98

Some the 2nd 11/26/98