

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2266

DATED 25th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning with remarks the grant of certain leases for mining for
mica in the Sydapuram ^{village} + Biadankole reserved forest, Raper TK,
Kollam DL.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C No 4208/98							

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2615

From

The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Madras.

Ref. No. 377

Dated 31.3.98

Received 1.4.98

Registered 2.4.98

Enclosure 6.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the
sanction of Government
two applications for mining
for mica in the village of
Lydapuram and in the Pirada
hole reserved forest, Raper
Taluk, Nellore District.

Read B.P. Ref No 377
231-258

{ Order in

The grant a lease to
mine for mica over an
area of 4.45 acres to
Mahomed Hussain Sahib
of Bellur is sanctioned as
recommended by the Collector
& in the case of the
2nd application an objection
the grant of these bits of land
close to each other is contrary
to the provisions of Rule 18 of
the Revised Mining Rules. The
application should therefore
be revised.

Ull
29/4/98

For the S.R.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
B.D.	4408	(K.A.)	25-8-96.


FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Reference on No of 1897.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)



Read endorsements of the Ag. Conservator of Forests, Central Circle nos 1553 & 1552 dated 24 March 1898 on letters from the Collector of Nellore Reference Nos 2224 & 25 Forest dated 7 & 15 March 1898 respy.

Reference No 377 dated 31-3-98.

Submitted for the sanction of Government.

Reed

Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Govt. Rev. Deptt with letters, appraisals & sketches in original to be returned.

LC

Lead C no 2615 of 1880
B.P. (L.R.) no 3778 343.

For orders.

The Board of
Revenue submits 2 applica-
tions for the grant of mining
leases in Nellore:-
(1) for 4.45 acres, to Mahomed
Ker Khan Hussain Sahib
of Nellore, for 5 years;
(2) for a block of 120 acres,
to A. Subba Nayudu of
Indukurpet, for 30 years.

As regards item (1) there
is no objection, and the ^{grant} ~~lease~~
may, it is submitted, be
sanctioned.

With regard to item no 2
however, the collector has
excluded from the block
applied for, 21.31 acres
on account of a road and
a tank-bed and he proposes
to ~~give~~ assign the remaining
portion namely:- 96.13 acres
in one large bit and 2.56
acres in two small bits,
all close to one another.
As

(please see sketch
submitted
by the B.D.)

C1615798
21-1-1880

the grant of all the three
bits ^{to the applicant} would contravene the
provisions of rule 18 of the
Mining rules, it is for
consideration whether the
applicant may or not
be given the large bit
alone containing 96.13
acres.

all
4/4/98 Levy

As in the file

Above, I now submit
that the intersection of
a path or tank bed
is not sufficient to
make a block, two
or more blocks for the
purpose of Rule 18.

As long as the whole available
area within the mine
boundaries is taken
& does not exceed $\frac{1}{2}$ sq
mile, the application
will seem admissible.

all

5/4/98

Has no objection to application
no 1. as to no 2 please
see my note in linked file.

in page 6 of B.P. 134
21.4.97 K
in the file above.

The intersection of the road
does not matter. It ~~will be~~
~~considered~~ under para 1 of
Part III of the model rules.
Operations on the road
will be barred, as well
as on the land within a
certain distance of the
road, which the Mⁿ & B^d
may fix; the said distance
being declared by J^t under
the rule or specifically
by a clause in the lease.
The limit of the block
on the tank side may be
drawn so as to exclude
~~altogether~~ the tank bed & the land
350 links therefrom.

23/4/98

Please see draft order submitted
as sheet below.

all
24/5/98

all
23/5/98

23/5/98

Lead Cno. 2615 of 1898.
B. P. (L. R.) No. 377
31.3.98

G.O. Misc. No. 2266 of 5th May 1898

The Collector's proposals which are supported by the Board of Revenue are approved and sanctioned with the remark that in the case of the plot to be leased to A. Subba Nayudu, the boundaries thereof should be drawn so as to exclude altogether the tank-bed and the land within a distance of 350 links therefrom. ^{Further} ~~the area to be excluded from operations on account of the ^{intersecting} tank-bed should be specifically mentioned in that clause or portion of the lease to be executed, which will correspond with para 1, Part III of the standard form of Coal-mining lease prescribed by the Govt of India.~~

In the report to the interested road it will be sufficient to exclude it, ~~from~~ rather with any necessary margin, by specific reference in that

W. P.
13.1.98
S. P. 1578

To the Board (L. R.) with Encl. 1-6-98
1-6-98

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.