

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2236

DATED 23rd May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the grant of fresh
lands in exchange for temple lands taken
up in the Ponnur taluk, Chingleput Dist.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (189⁸) Department.

CURRENT No. 3499

From The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue
Madras

Order (rev) No. 2236 d/23rd May 98.

Sanctioned.

Ref No. 520

Dated 9th May 1898.

Received 12

Registered 13

Enclosures 3.

Spare copies

To the Board of Rev (L.R.)
with enclosures 142.
- Dated 27.5.98

SUBJECT.


Submitting to Government for
Sanction statement, received
from the Collector of Chingleput,
regarding grant of fresh lands in
exchange for temple lands
taken up in the Ponneri taluk,
Chingleput Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).


No 4290⁶ Ins. dated 18-9-98.

Read - statement of compensation for Zemindari and inam lands, ^{taken up for public purposes in the} Chingleput District for the 1st half of 1896, received from the Collector of Chingleput Refon No. ²⁸³ ~~283~~ of 96, dated 9th September 1896.

Resolution :- Recorded with the following remarks:-

(1) The statement, which is intended to show only cases dealt with by the "Collector" under Section 31 (4) of Act I of 1894, includes several cases of temple and religious inams which require the previous sanction of Government under Section 31 (3) of the Act. As such sanction does not appear to have been already obtained in these cases, it should now be applied for.

In replying the above should be quoted.



(LAND REVENUE.)

2. In the case relating to the Chingleput Taluk, the rent value of the portion lost, with reference to which the proportionate peishkash in Col 16 was determined, should have been entered in Col 9.

3. In item 1 of Athipattu, Ponneri Taluk, the necessity for granting 1.18 acres of land assessed at Rs 7-6-0 in exchange for 88 cents of inam assessed at Rs 1-15-5 requires explanation.

4. In the case of inams, the future quit-rent entered in Col 20 should have been expressed in terms of two annas, four annas, eight annas or whole rupees as the case may be, with reference to B. P. $\frac{723}{18-12-91}$ and $\frac{197}{5-6-96}$. In the case of Zemindari Estates, pies should have been omitted from the future peishkash in Col 20, the amounts arithmetically calculated, including pies, being entered in Col 16 as the proportionate peishkash.

(True Extract)

Sd/- L. D. Swamikannu

Apt. Secretary

(True copy)

L. D. Swamikannu

Apt. Secretary

10 MAY 1898

To the Collr of Chingleput

Read again Board's Proceedings No. 4290 ins. dated 18th September 1896
— also letter from the Collector of Chingleput Ref on No. $\frac{283}{P.R.}$ of 1896, dated 18th April 1898.

Reference No. 520 dated 9th May 1898.

The Statement, received with the letter read above, will be submitted to Government for sanction under Section 31(3) of Act I of 1894.

Lonel Davidson
Ag. Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Govt. R.D.
with letter & Statement to be
ret'd & copy of B.P. $\frac{4290 \text{ ins.}}{18996}$

CC4

3

3499

(2)