

# Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2211

DATED 21st May

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that Mr Le Fane should  
be paid the actual expenses incurred  
by him for his journey on the P.W.D. Steamer  
Victoria between the 23rd & 27 September  
1897 & addressing the G.O. accordingly.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No.     | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|---------|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      | 3190/98 |             |       |      |     |             |       |

0  
18 4,000-19-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 23/2

From

The Accountant-General

Order

No. 1143

Dated 21<sup>st</sup> March 1898

Received 22<sup>nd</sup> ...

Registered 23<sup>rd</sup>

Enclosures 2

Spare copies. -

SUBJECT.

Inspection of relief operations.

Stating that, as the case of Mr. de Fane is similar to that of the Honble Mr. Croft the charges of Rs 360 1/2 for the steamer 'Victoria' in which Mr. de Fane travelled for the \_\_\_\_\_ is are inadmissible.

BACK PAPERS.



| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date |
|------|-----|-------------|------|
|      |     |             |      |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1660



From

The Board of Revenue,  
Revenue Settlement, &c.

Order Endorsement No. 124 R.

Referred to the Accountant-  
General for early remarks.

*M. S. S. S. S.*

For Ag. Secy. to Govt.

Port St. George,

8th March 1898.

No. 1315 Misc.

Dated 24th February 1898.

Received 28th and March, 98

Registered 28th , ,

Enclosures 2.

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Requesting, in the circumstances stated, the sanction of Government for the cost of the journey by steamer to Bhadrachalam made by W.J.H. Le Fanu Esquire, Famine Commissioner in September 1897 for the inspection of relief operations there, being met by the State.

No. 1143

The case of Mr. W. J. H. Le Fanu is similar to that of the Honorable Mr. G. S. Cook referred to in my letter to Government No. 3723 of 26th September 1897 and the charges Rs. 360-8-0 for the steamer Victoria in which Mr. Le Fanu travelled from 22 to 27 September 1897 are inadmissible for the reasons given therein. Mr. Le Fanu has drawn daily allowance at Rs. 10 for the above period.

*M. S. S. S. S.*  
Accountant General

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date |
|------|-----|-------------|------|
|      |     |             |      |
|      |     |             |      |
|      |     |             |      |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

now stitched with  
ch. 2312 of 98

I Endt Rev: n° 524 R dy 8.3.98  
II Letter from the Act. Gent. n° 1143  
dy 21.3.98 (ch. 2312 of 98)

Noli

With its Profs n° 1315 mise  
dy 22<sup>th</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 1898, the Board of Rev:  
submitted for the sanction of Govt  
a bill from the Executive Engineer,  
Godavari Eastern division for Rs 360-8-0  
on account of the charge for the steamer  
Victoria in which Mr de Farn, the  
Famine Commissioner travelled in  
Sept last from Bowlaiswarom to Bhadrachallam and back. The Board stated  
that after a personal interview with  
H.E. the Governor Mr de Farn proceeded  
to Bhadrachallam to inspect the re-  
lief operations there and that "he was  
given to understand that a steamer  
would be placed at his disposal by the  
Public Works Dept, the charges being met  
by the State." It was added that  
as the milat permissible would  
not cover even half the charges <sup>Mr de Farn</sup> he  
would not have visited Bhadrachalla  
had he not understood that Govt  
would supply the steamer free of cost.  
Chā

c3/5/98.  
Reg. 5.98

that this point was explained by him to H.E. and that the order for the Steamer would appear to have been sent to the Chief Engineer direct by Govt. from the <sup>H.O. and</sup> telegraph the telegram stitched with G.O. <sup>no</sup> 524 of 24<sup>th</sup> Mar 1898, it will be seen that the Steamer was placed at Mr Le Farm's disposal by the P.W.D. under instructions from H.E. the Governor, though no express mention is made therein as to who should bear the charges

2. The Acet General to whom the B.P. was referred for remarks now reports that the case of Mr Le Farm is exactly similar to that of Mr Crole dealt with in his letter under G.O. Misc. No 199 Rev. of 24<sup>th</sup> Mar 1898 and that the charges involved are for the reasons already adduced by him inadmissible. These reasons are (1) that the ordinary rules for travelling allowance "are not intended to cover the expense of every journey but the general expenditure incurred on the whole in travelling on

He obtained no assurance  
from me - BH

on Govt account" and that the concession of drawing actual expenses granted to the Special Asst. Agent, Godavari, on the ground of his having to travel frequently in the P.W. Steamer cannot be extended to cases like the present without the special sanction of the Govt of India. The Acet General adds that during the period of his journey Mr Le Farm had actually been drawing a daily allowance of Rs 10, though not mileage.

3. Between Mr Crole's case and the one now under report there seems to be a slight difference, for while Mr Crole utilized the Steamer without ascertaining whether the Govt would bear the expense, Mr Le Farm appears to have obtained an assurance that the charges would be met by the State. As however the Accountant General would not admit the charges without the special sanction of the Govt of

of India, a draft, B.O. and letter  
are submitted for approval. The  
letter has to issue in the Finl  
Dept and may it is submitted  
be sent to that Dept. for comment  
initials.

<sup>29-10-98</sup>  
But in Mr. Poles' case  
the reimbursement of the  
daily t.a. was made on  
condition of the payment of  
actual expenses. Why is  
that not proposed in this  
case

J.P. 3-5-98

note

It is submitted that  
para 3 of the draft letter  
proposed was intended by the  
office to exclude the possibility  
of Mr. Le Farm's receiving  
any but the ac-  
tual expenses incurred  
during the period of his jour-  
neys on the steamer. It  
was thought that if the  
request therein made was  
sanctioned by the Govt of  
India, Mr. Le Farm would  
as

as a matter of course, to  
refund the daily allow-  
ance already drawn by  
him. To make the point  
clearer it will perhaps be  
better to add, at the end  
of the draft letter, the  
following clause  
"in lieu of the daily  
allowance already drawn  
by him"

<sup>29-10-98</sup>

The addition is  
necessary J.P.

His.

Application may be  
made to the J. as  
in Mr. Poles' case.

Please see drafts

J.P.  
2/5/98

Does Finl Dpt. accept  
draft J.P. 12/5/98

Finl

Recd in Finl 12/5/98

India has replied to Hon. Mr. C. Poles  
can put up abstract of the account  
of the expenses incurred by him  
during his journey  
J.P. 12/5/98

C. 17.5.98  
R.D. 18.5.98  
Financial Dept

Stms

Submitted for approval

Curd  
14.5.98 As Mr. Crole  
was allowed the  
concession after r.p. to  
India, Mr. Le Fane is  
equally if not more  
entitled to it.  
As I noted before in Mr. C's case  
the principle is wrong.  
H.A. 16.5.98

Hm has been instructed to Dept.  
Curd

To.

Revenue

a.b.

H.A. 20.5.98

Curd  
18.5.98

Re the proposal

done  
Curd  
18/5/98

To the Finl Dept (A 20)  
with bill and draft letter  
Board of Rev. Bd.  
(Q. A-G's inst A 20)  
Acct General (Q 20)

Write up  
1  
Curd

With reference to the  
correspondence ending with  
your letter No 2015 S. 78  
of 15.5.98

Read again Encl. no 824 A  
of 8th Mar: 1898  
also letter from the Acct  
General no 1143 of 27th  
Mar: 1898 (C. no 2312 of 98)

Order misc no. 2211 of 21st May 98.

In the circumstances  
reported by the Board of Revenue  
the Govt considers that, as in  
Mr. Crole's case, Mr. Le Fane  
should be paid the actual  
expenses incurred by him for  
his journeys on the Public  
Works Dept Steamer Victoria  
between the 23rd and 27th Sept.  
1897. The Govt of India will  
accordingly be addressed in  
the Financial Dept.

done  
20/5/98  
3/5

The Secy to the Govt of India  
Finl & Coml Dept

Sir,

With reference to the  
correspondence ending with  
your letter no 2015 S. 78 of 15  
May, 1898, I am directed to  
submit

the following case of Mr W. J.  
Late Member of the Board of Res. - 7  
N. L. Farm, the late Famine

is almost singular to Chabe

dropped 2-4%

6. and back. The journeys were undertaken between the 23<sup>rd</sup> and the 27<sup>th</sup> Sept and the steamer charges amounted to Rs 360-8-0. During the period of these journeys Mr Le Farm ~~was~~ <sup>his</sup> daily allowance of Rs 10 <sup>per</sup> and this ~~was the only~~ <sup>was the only</sup> ~~possible~~ <sup>possible</sup>

3. I am in the above circumstances to request that the Govt of India will be pleased to sanction the payment to Mr Le Form of the actual expenses incurred by him <sup>during the period of</sup> ~~for~~ his journeys on the steamer above referred to in lieu of the daily allowance <sup>of Rs 100</sup> ahead drawn by him.

30 11 28

8/2  
3/5/8

CURRENT No. 1371  
\* *Final* DEPARTMENT.

From *Govt. of India,*  
*Imperial Comm. Dept.*

No. 2018.SR

Dated 4.5.98

Received 10.5.98

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

*Sanctioning the grant  
of actual travelling expenses  
to the Honble Mr Crole, C.E.,  
1st Member of the Board of  
Revenue for the journey  
made by him on the P.W.D.  
Steamer, 'Victoria'*

*forwarded in air to H.E. 13/5/98  
not ret'd yet.*

subn  
side  
the  
H. &  
Com  
is al  
(dec)  
ref.

Le.  
Lun  
to  
cl  
u  
c  
v  
c  
a  
c  
s  
t  
a  
c  
c