

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

0
18 4,000-11-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3281

Endowment No. 2069 of 12th May 1898 From
Order

*The Govt. has no reason
to interfere on the petitioner's
behalf.*

LD
9/5/98

*Majidi Venkatarayya
Chennanagiri
Masulipatam
Kistna Dist.
No.*

*Dated 24th Sept
Received 3rd May
Registered 14th
Enclosures 3.
Spare copies.*

SUBJECT.

*Praying for issue of orders
to examine his accounts by a
Commission to make out his
income and then to fix a tax
on him.*

He petitioned with service - 3rd Sept 14.5.98

14/5/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPER

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

His Excellency,
The Governor in Council
Fort Saint George
Madras.

The humble appeal petition of
Rajati Venkatarayana, of Chennamangipetta
Mavelipalaim, Madras District.

Chief Secretary
-3 MAY 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

In submitting herewith copy
of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Separate Revenue) No. 102 dated
5-4-98 as well as copy of order
of the Dy collector dated 11-2-98 on my
appeal petition confirming the case
and as well copy of my appeal petition
dated 30-3-98 to the Board of Revenue,
I beg most respectfully to appeal on the
following grounds:-

1. As I have given all my grounds of
appeal in my appeal petition to the Board
of Revenue copy of which is herein enclosed
for your Excellency's kind perusal, I
have not re-capitulated them here-
2. The Board have been pleased to say that
they are not going to open the case to

further revision as it was already debited by the Dy Collector -

3 Because, I did not receive justice from the hands of the Dy Collector who confirmed the order of the Tehsildar without examining the accounts as he ought to have done under the rules of the Income Tax Act, I was obliged to send in an appeal petition to the Board of Revenue -

4 By the Board simply say as a matter that they would not interfere in the matter, how are we (poor subjects) to receive justice - The only request I made was, that my accounts may be examined & tax fixed according to the Income -

5 I may therefore that your Excellency may graciously be pleased to cause the whole Correspondence connected with the case and issue orders to examine my accounts and then fix the tax but not at random - and if your Excellency pleased order to appoint a Commissioner to examine the accounts to fix the tax, I am ready to pay the costs of the Commission - and orders are issued, I shall attend before your Excellency, with my accounts & Doshkhat for which act of justice I humbly desire as in duty bound ever may.

I beg to remain,
Your Humble Servant,
In your Excellency's most
Obedient & humble servant

Dr. K. K. Patani
27-6-38

Dr. K. K. Patani

Petition from Majoti Kunkatnamaya
Kistna of 8 27. 4. 98 (C-203281)

To Secy:-

The petitioner appears to have been originally assessed to pay an income tax of Rs 35; but on submitting an objection petition the Tahildar reduced it to Rs 28. Under Section 27 of the Income Tax Act (II of 1886) he submitted a revision petition to the Dy Collector, who confirmed the tax, as he had reason to suspect that the account books produced were recently written up and ^{as the} ~~that~~ even assuming them to be genuine the petitioner's transactions should have amounted to Rs 18,000 per annum. The petitioner applied to Govt against this order.

2. Submitted that no action is shown for interference.

Draft order is put upon the back of the current for approval

KD
7/5/98

9/5/98

9/5/98