

# Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1980

DATED 2<sup>nd</sup> June '99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Seeing no sufficient reason  
~~Declining~~ to continue a lapsid ~~yearly~~  
of Rs 60 per annum  
in N. Arcot St to Syed Ahmed, son  
of the late <sup>son-in-law</sup> Abdul Kadir.



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

[ R. E. ]  
46  
1,000-10-8-06

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 246930

Order

From

Eyed Ahmed

No.

Dated 4<sup>th</sup> Sept/98-

Received 9<sup>th</sup> do

Registered 9<sup>th</sup> do

Enclosures nil

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Praying for final

order on his petition

Petitioner of Aug 1898-

Res  
8/10/98



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No.       | Department. | Date.   |
|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| 10   | 273 R Rev |             | 31-1-98 |
|      | 1049 u    |             | 2-3-98  |
|      | 8622 u    |             | 20-5-98 |
|      | 1070/98   |             | 28-7-98 |
|      | 1508/98   |             |         |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

PETITION

0000

REVENUE DEPT

To  
H. E. The Governor in Council  
Fort St George  
Madras



The humble petition of Syed Ahmed  
son of late Abdul Cader of Alammalu-  
mungapuram of Vellore Taluk North  
Arcot district. c/o Manager Lower Sec-  
ondary School Jalarpet.

Most respectfully sheweth

That your Excellency's petitioner sent  
in a petition on the 17<sup>th</sup> July 98 for  
the payment of his pension but no  
orders have been favoured with as  
yet, The petitioner therefore most  
humbly prays your Excellency to  
pass final orders on his original  
petition dated 26<sup>th</sup> Jan'y 1898.

Petitioner begs to remain  
Your Excellency's most obedient &  
humble servant

Syed Ahmed



Handwritten notes at the top left of the left page, including "2001 1898" and "H. E. The Governor in Council".

Handwritten notes in the middle left of the left page, including "H. E. The Governor in Council" and "Fort St George".

Main handwritten text on the left page, written in Urdu/Arabic script, detailing a petition and its history.

Handwritten notes at the bottom left of the left page, including "H. E. The Governor in Council" and "Fort St George".

Handwritten note "O.R. office" at the top of the right page.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6508.

H. E. The Governor in Council  
Fort St George  
Madras

Ref. ce Letter from the Collector of North Arcot District  
Coll. of a report No. 2256 of 17.12.98  
Order

Com.

The Collector of North Arcot is requested to be good enough to report (1) whether the statement made by



Dated 17.12.98  
Received 21/12/98  
Registered 21/12/98  
Enclosures 5  
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reports on the petition from Saiyid Ahmad of Alomadar, Mangapuram, Villor, Taluk, your Excellency's most obedient humble servant, a lapsed yeomani.

Handwritten notes at the bottom right of the right page, including "old copy" and "put up 16/12/98".



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O.               | No. | Department. | Date.     |
|--------------------|-----|-------------|-----------|
| Mis 1049           | Rec | 2-3-98      |           |
| Genl Record papers |     |             | 7.30/9/98 |
|                    |     |             | 4 8/5/99  |

## FURTHER PAPERS. 0

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

CURRENT No 234 Jatharpeh  
4<sup>th</sup> February 1900

In the name of the Merciful God

Asad-ullah -  
Anwar-ud-din Khan -  
Husain Ali Khan Bahadur,  
Zulfagar Jung (master of the Sword  
& the Pen), the Affectionate son  
and Commander of the forces of  
Nawab Qumdat-ul-Umra -  
Wahajah - Ameerul-Hind - Qum-  
dat-ul-Mulk - The most  
Valiant Sovereign of the  
Universe.

Be it known to all the Ex-  
ecutive Officers, - both pre-  
sent and future - , of the Jaghira  
attached to the Fort of Vellore,  
in the Tiruvellur taluk, belong-  
ing to the abovementioned Realm  
and forming part of the Carna-  
tic Connected with the en-  
lightened Siba (Collectorate)  
of Muhammadpur and that  
that, to Syed Ali best known  
as Hafiz Shulam Husain Khan  
and others, the dependants of



of the late Hafiz Ghulam  
Husain Alana, is granted  
for their maintenance from  
the Commencement of the  
Year 1207 Fash, and in  
accordance with the Con-  
tents of the signed document  
filed in the Royal Records of  
Jaghirs at Bellor, the Village  
of Mungapur, situated in  
the abovementioned Taluq  
(Tinnallum) and yielding,  
at the present day, an an-  
nual income 423 Pagodas,  
the Mango groves, the other  
Cultivable lands and the  
daily allowances, in shape  
of money as well as of  
movables & the immovables,  
the personal property of  
the Ryots of that place,  
being all excepted.

It is further laid down  
that the said Khan,  
(Syed Ali - Ghulam Husain  
Khan) & others, the depend-  
ants of the deceased  
Hafiz, should be allowed  
the undisturbed possession  
of

of the spot above referred to,  
so that they may continue  
to enjoy its revenue and  
spend their days in divine  
worship and prayers for  
the eternal existence of  
the Realm.

The Executive Of-  
ficers shall strictly bear  
in mind these injunc-  
tions and shall act  
accordingly.

Dated the 27<sup>th</sup> day of  
the month of Jamadial  
Awwal of the Year 1212  
Hijree.

(True translation)


M. K. S. S. S.  
12/5/99



CURRENT No. 234 Jalarpeh  
4<sup>th</sup> February 1898

PETITION

0121



REVENUE DEPT.

The Government of  
Madras

The humble petition of Byed  
Amud Son of Kate Shidvat Ka-  
-der Yionial dar no 61 of Shama-  
-lumungapuram Villore Tq  
c/o Manager of amur Second-  
-ary School Jalarpeh  
Respectfully sheweth.

With reference to the  
Government endorsement  
no 373 dated 31<sup>st</sup> January 98  
the petitioner begs to sub-  
mit herewith the endorse-  
-ment no 3617 of the Collector  
of North Arcot as directed  
It has been proved on



Sumat occasions that the  
petitioner is the legal heir  
of Abdul Kader. The hum-  
ble petitioner therefore prays  
the Government to pass an  
order for the continuance of the  
allowance.

For which act of  
charity the petitioner shall  
be in duty bound ever pray

Petitioner begs to  
remain most  
Obedient & humblest  
Sycd Amud



Dated 22<sup>nd</sup> Sept 1898Camp Inspect 17<sup>th</sup> Sept 1898

From J. K. Batten Esquire,  
 Ag Collector of North Arcot.

To,  
 The Secretary to  
 Government,  
 Revenue Department,


Orlacamund

Sir,

With reference to Proceedings of  
 the Madras Government No 1049 Mis; dated  
 2<sup>nd</sup> March 1898, I have the honor to submit  
 the following report.

2. Petitioner, Saiged Ahmad residing at  
 Jolaspett prays in his petition addressed  
 to Government dated 25<sup>th</sup> January 1898  
 that the pension of Rs 60 enjoyed by his  
 father Saiged Abdul Ladis may be continued  
 to him being his lawful son. The late  
 Saiged Abdul Ladis was in the enjoyment  
 of an allowance of Rs 60 per annum under  
 G. O. dated 27<sup>th</sup> February 1846 and 13<sup>th</sup> June  
 1851 No 564. From enquiries it is observed  
 that the petitioner is the only son of the aforesaid  
 Abdul Ladis and that the latter died on —

3617 of 98  
 ac





on 12<sup>th</sup> February 1881. The petitioner therefore requests that the pension enjoyed by his father may be continued in his name and as for the delay in laying claim to it he explains that he was a minor at the time of his father's death, that he had not the copy of the *peeswana* with him then and that he preferred the claim in 1896 when it was dismissed. Vide

Collector's No.  $\frac{3617}{ant}$  of 96 dated 5<sup>th</sup> December 1896 attached to the government petition.

From this it would appear that his petition was dismissed as he had failed to appear with his witnesses before the *Tahsildar* of Vellore on the appointed date and as his claim had been preferred after the lapse of 15 years after his father's death

Vide Records' Proceedings No. 5955 dated 4<sup>th</sup> October 1889 in which a similar claim preferred after the lapse of 12 years from the date of last payment was rejected.

3. The pension in question is hereditary Vide Column 8 of the Collector's statement

dated

x S. M. 564  
13. 6. 51

dated the 11<sup>th</sup> November 1856 against the case in question and it is continuable to the lineal descendants of the holder  
Vide para 8 of Board's Proceedings dated 10<sup>th</sup> March 1851.

4. The enclosures received with the Proceedings under reference are returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,  
Sir,

Yours Most obedient servant

G. A. C. M. S.  
for collector

11

11



25<sup>th</sup> January 1898.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2057

Order

From  
The Collector of  
North Arcot

Dis No. 581 D 399  
acc

Dated 17-3-99

Received 22<sup>nd</sup> }  
Registered 22<sup>nd</sup> } March 1899

Enclosures (Two)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reports on the petition of  
Sayed Ahmed a govern-  
ment pensioner, re: the  
continuance of certain allowances

By  
22/3



BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

North West Territories Office  
17<sup>th</sup> March 1899

From

J. E. Batten Esq  
Collector of North West

To

The Secretary to Government  
Revenue Department  
Massachusetts

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No 244 dated the 13<sup>th</sup> October 1898 I have the honor to report serially as follows:-

Question No 1. It is a fact that Reshaw, the grand mother of the Government petitioner, applied in 1888 for the continuance of the pension enjoyed by her son Saigi Abdul Elhadid but the application was rejected by the then Treasury Deputy Collector on the ground that she was only a concubine. The Deputy Collector's order in original is among the







PETITION

0091

REVENUE DEPT.

Jolarpet  
25<sup>th</sup> January 1898.



His Excellency the Governor in Council  
Fort Saint George,  
Madras.

The humble petition of Syed Amed  
Son of late Abdul Khadar Geomadar  
No. 61 of Alamehmanigapuram Care of  
Manager, Lower Secondary School, Jolarpet.  
with due respect and humble Submission the  
petitioner begs to approach your Excellency  
with this his humble prayer hoping to meet  
with Success.

That your Excellency's petitioner  
most humbly begs to state that his grand  
father named Syed Gulam Jod was drawing  
the Mutaliquan Dehat Inam pension of  
Rs 268-8-3 a year which was lapsed on his  
death (26<sup>th</sup> August 1841) and a reduced al-  
lowance of Rs 60 a year was granted to  
to



to his son Syed Abdul Khader the petitioner's father in extract Minutes of consultation dated 27<sup>th</sup> February 1846 and 13<sup>th</sup> June 1851 and this reduced allowance also lapsed on his death (12<sup>th</sup> February 1881).

The petitioner's grand mother named Rotheem Bee addressed several petitions to the Collector of North Arcot for the continuance of the allowance for the maintenance of the petitioner who was a child then and his mother; but she was informed in Endorsement No. 5 dated 7<sup>th</sup> January 1888 quoting "that the petitioner who is described in the records of this office as the concubine of Syed Gulam Jee, has no legal claim and her application for the continuance of the allowance cannot be entertained." She again addressed the collector to



to grant her a copy of such record in which she was said to be boncubine; but no record has been granted her.

The petitioner on attaining his majority fortunately found all the records of the case and the purvāna (certificates) (herewith submitted) applied to the collector of North Arcot in his petition dated 20<sup>th</sup> July 1896 for the continuance of the said allowance and he was informed in Endorsement No. 3617 dated 5<sup>th</sup> December 1896 stating that the petitioner is informed that his petition was dismissed as recommended by the Tahsildhar of Vellore as the petitioner had failed to appear before the Tahsildhar with his witnesses on the day fixed for enquiry or afterwards to establish his right as from this his claim had been preferred after the



the lapse of fifteen years after his father's death, but the petitioner was not directed by the Tahsildhar to bring evidence.

The petitioner then appealed to the Board of Revenue on 13<sup>th</sup> December 97 and he was informed in Proceedings No 3676 dated 17<sup>th</sup> December 1897 stating that the Board has no jurisdiction in the matter. The petitioner was not satisfied with the reply given by the Board. Submitted another petition on 2<sup>nd</sup> 1898 to which the petitioner received a reply in Proceedings No 103 dated 13<sup>th</sup> January 1898 directing the petitioner to address the Government in the matter.

In conclusion the petitioner most humbly begs to request to your Excellency to consider this case and grant justice for the continuance of the allowance since the date of its stoppage.



5<sup>th</sup> or Last Sheet.

For which act of benevolence &  
kindness the petitioner shall has in duty  
bound ever pray for your Excellency's  
long life and prosperity.

The petitioner begs to remain  
Your Excellency's most obt

and  
humble servant  
Syed Amud



*Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to bleed-through from the reverse side. Some words like "petitioner" and "Board" are visible.*

# Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2449

Order

From

The Board of Revenue  
(Land Revenue)  
Madras

No.

Dated 10 April 1899.

Received 11

Registered 12

Enclosures 3

Spare copies -

## SUBJECT.

Forwarding to Government  
Letter from District of N. Arcot  
dated 14 November 1850. The  
enclosures disposed of in  
Board's Proceedings No. 122  
dated 10 March '57.

1898  
REVENUE DEPT.  
Encl. No. 4  
No. 6508



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

N.B.—The reply to this communication should be addressed to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

## Memorandum.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE  
(LAND REVENUE).  
dated 10th April 1899.

Read memorandum from the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 5th April 1899, For C. No. 2057 of 99.

With reference to the memorandum read above, the undersigned has the honour to forward herewith the letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated the 14th November 1850, together with its two enclosures.

*Lincolnton*

Ag. Secretary.

To the Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department  
with North Arcot Collector's letter dated 14-11-50 and  
its enclosures.

CMB  
RSL



No. 6508 of 98 + 6930.

Read again G.O. 1049 of 2.3.98.

Read also, <sup>Letter</sup> From the Collector of N. Arcot.

Dated 21<sup>st</sup> September 1898.

FOR ORDERS.

Please see note under G.O. 1049 of 2.3.98. In that order, the petition of Saiyid Ahmad was forwarded to the Collector of N. Arcot for report. Saiyid Abdul Dadar was in the enjoyment of an allowance of Rs 60 per annum and the pension appears to have lapsed on his death in 1881. The petitioner, who ~~is~~ <sup>is</sup> the only son of Saiyid Abdul Dadar, requests that the pension enjoyed by his father may be continued to him. As for the delay in preferring his claim, he states that he was a minor at the time of his father's death, that he had not the copy of the purman with him then and that he ~~applied~~ <sup>applied</sup> to the Collector in 1896. The

Para 2 of the current. no. 6508 of 98. L.



petition to the Collector was dismissed as he had failed to appear with his witness on the date appointed for enquiry and as his claim had been preferred after the lapse of 15 years after his father's death.

The Collector reports that the pension is hereditary & is continuable to the lineal descendants of the holder. (vide remarks against the item in page 27 of the Pension list.).

Para 7 of the rules in standing order No. 131 lays down that being pension or other cash allowance, the payment of which has not been sought for more than six years, should be struck off registers and all arrears forfeited. Provided that if pension or allowance is held under hereditary or hereditary title, it may, after the special sanction of Government, be re-admitted, without payment of arrears, if claim be preferred within twelve years from the date of last payment.

FOR ORDERS.

Para 3 of the current  
no. 6508978 &.

Page 2 of the  
petition  
(with no. 2007  
188)

3. The claim for the pension in question having been preferred after a lapse of twelve years the allowance cannot be paid. The petitioner stated that his grandmother applied for the pension in 1888 (that is within seven years after it had lapsed) but that the application was rejected by the Collector. This point is not referred to in the present report nor is it stated why the application was not submitted. Statement was submitted after the death of the last holder, ~~the~~ Saigid Abdul Gadir. The Collector may be requested to report on these points.

Ch. 8/10/98

Secy

11/1/98

8/2/98

To Under Secy

A draft O.S. to the Collr of A. areot is submitted below.

10/11/98  
12.7.98

June 13

13.4.98

Under Secretary



In reply to the Govt. no. 244 of 13th October 1898, the Collector reports in his letter of 17th March 1899 that it is a fact that the petitioner's grandfather applied in 1888 for the continuance of the pension in question and that the application was rejected by the then Treasury Dy. Collector on the ground that he was only a joint owner but that it is not known whether the Deputy Collector passed the order on his own responsibility or under the orders of the Collector. He also states that as no records of the foregoing on the subject he is unable to answer at this distant date why on the death of the petitioner's father in 1881, the usual tabular statement was not submitted for the orders of Government.

The pension being reported to be hereditary, it is a matter for consideration whether the pension should not be continued to the petitioner. His plea for the delay in applying is that he was a

no. 2057 of 99

minor at the time of his father's death and that he had not the necessary papers with him. Orders are solicited.

20.3.99  
28/3/99

What is the authority for holding that the pension was hereditary. Quote & flag the original order of Govt. in quoting what the collector says.

28/3/88

In the Under Secy.

For the statement that the pension is hereditary, and transmissible to the legal descendants, the collector quotes as his authority the entry in col. 8 of the collector's statement of 14. Nov. 1850 against the case in question, and para 8 of B.P. of 10.3.1851. In para of his letter no 139 of 14.11.185 Mr. Bourdillon proposed to resume certain gram villages in the N. Arcot district, and to assign to the holder money

(Obtd from the B.O). put up & marked with a tape.



money allowances equivalent to the value of their shares in the villages to be held on the same tenure, that is, 'for service', 'hereditary', or 'for life' as the case may be. He submitted two lists and in col. 8 of the list marked 'not', the case in question is shown as hereditary. In para 8 of B.P. of 10.3.1851 reference is made to para 4 of E.M.C. of 11.7.46. approving the Board's proposal that in cases of the kind the allowances should not be continued except to the direct descendants of the original grantee. In the printed register of pensions, the pension is shown as a perpetual grant.

It will, however, be seen from the B.P. printed in G.O. No. 1169 of 23.8.1858 that the Dehat inam village of Alamalu Mangapuram was granted to the family of Afroz Gulam Hussain for

p. 27 of vol. put up.

K  
since put up.

S/K

~~One of the letters of the Board~~

and on the Board's recommendation the younger brother's share - whose death was then reported - was resumed G.O. 1169 of 23 Aug. 1858 (S/K).

\* literally grants to dependants

for their subsistence. ~~that~~ The Board found that ~~the~~ <sup>for</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>that</sup> the grant was in reality a Motali grant, ~~and not~~ <sup>and not</sup> ~~hereditary~~ <sup>hereditary</sup> as per the earlier registers, that on the death of the original grantee, it was divided into two unequal shares between his two sons, the elder having one fourth or Rs 331-4-1, and the younger  $\frac{3}{4}$  or Rs 892-8 and that on the death of the ~~original~~ elder son, an allowance of Rs 60 was granted to Abdul Hadir, the father of the petr., and the balance of Rs 271-4-1 ~~resumed~~ <sup>resumed</sup> if Motali grants are ~~resumed~~ <sup>resumed</sup> continued to descendants only for special reasons. vide pp 273-4 of Maskell's <sup>second</sup> ~~2nd~~ edn of the Circ. Orders of the Bd of Rev. Lord the Purusani granted to the petr's ancestor (a translation of which was made in the office) it would appear that the grant was intended to be perpetual as



as entered in the printed  
 register of pensions. It is  
 a matter for consideration  
 whether the pension of  
 Rs 60 per annum enjoyed  
 by Abdul Kadir, or any  
 portion of it should be  
 continued to his son  
 the petitioner who has preferred  
 his claim after the lapse  
 of more than twelve years.

para 7 of S.O. 131.  
 But his grandfathers  
 applied in 1888.

12/5/94 Secy  
 The case seems  
 very doubtful. It is one  
 entirely within the grace  
 of the Govt. As the petitioner  
 has done without so long  
 there will be no hardship  
 to declining to continue  
 the grant to him.

18/10/11

Please put up Abutthuro's  
 Sir Thomas Munro J. 2. 1875/79

To Secy  
 Sir Abutthuro's Sir T. Munro is

slip K

H. 24.  
 The Board's Report of 1858  
 No. 23 Augt. 1858 in Rev.  
 Cons. of 24 Augt 1858 clearly  
 show that the grant is not  
 a hereditary but a mere  
mutaleqman grant, the conditions  
 of which are explained in the  
 office note above

The original grant was  
 R 1223 ; of this R 331 was  
 assigned to the elder son <sup>(A)</sup> of  
 the grantee & R 892 to the  
 younger (B).  
 Of the <sup>elder son's share (A)</sup> ~~former~~ R 271 was  
 resumed on the <sup>this</sup> ~~elder son's~~ death,  
 R 60 only being allowed to his son (C)  
 on the younger son's (B)  
 death without issue the whole  
 of his share (R 892) was resumed  
 (Go. 1169 & 23 Augt 1858).

The claim now put  
 forward is to a continuance  
 of the allowance of R 60 in behalf  
 of C's son. C died in 1881.  
 The allowance is not  
 hereditary in perpetuity, & even



if Govt. continues the grant, it need not continue more than a fraction of it. But even a fraction is not continuable to descendants except for special reasons. Ordinarily, as stated in para 2 of the CO. of 31 July, 1875 quoted at the bottom of p. 274 of Marshall's edition of the Board COs, the particular share of the persons among whom the grant has been distributed sh. lapse to Govt.

In this particular case there are no special reasons for a continuance; & the fact that the claimant has done without it for 18 years is a strong argument against a concession.

The claim may be rejected

*[Signature]*  
25/5/79

Under Secretary

Please see draft order below.

*[Signature]*  
26/11/79

I agree  
H.S.  
31-5-79

*[Handwritten note]*  
2-5/79

① Encl 143 in CO  
1508/78

Revenue Dept

- # Read again G.O. 1049 of 2.3.98.
- # " letter from the Collr. of A. and
- # of 17.9.98 (enc. 6008 of 98).
- # " petn from Syed Ahmad of 4.9.98 (enc. 6930 of 98).
- # " letter from the Collr. of A. and
- # of 17.3.99 (enc. 2057 of 99).
- # Board's memo of 10.4.99 (enc. 2449 of 99).

G.O. (un. No. 1980 of 2<sup>nd</sup> June '99)  
The Government does not see sufficient reason to continue to the petitioner the allowance claimed by him.  
(From orders)

*[Signature]*  
26/5/79

Sub. 7-6-79 Sub. 7-6-99

So The petn. with 3 enclosures.  
" Collr. of A. and with 1 enclosure.  
*[Signature]*  
1-11-99  
Despatcher. Please return to the Board the enclosures in enc. 2449 of 99.  
*[Signature]*



When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
 When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
 When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
 When signed \_\_\_\_\_

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. \_\_\_\_\_, DATED  
 189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO Q. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. . A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—  
 A = Copy in full.  
 B = Not to be copied.  
 F = Copy abstract only.  
 Q = Copy heading only.