

Revenue (189⁹) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1963

DATED

2nd June 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the retention ~~for~~ for a further period of one year of the temporary establishment entertained in connection with Peiza works, and approving the entertainment ya chik on Rs 20 for one year in the Colliery Office.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
<i>no 2956/99</i>							
<i>no 8714</i>		<i>Revenue</i>	<i>28-6-99</i>				

Through the *Accountant General*
Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. *2617*

From

*The Board of Revenue,
 Revenue Settlement,
 Land Record and Agriculture,
 Madras*

No. *1805 Misc*

Order

Dated *6th April 1899.*

Received *19th do -*

Registered *20th do -*

Enclosures *1.*

Spare copies

SUBJECT,

*Submitting to Government, with
 the Board's recommendation, letter from
 the Collector of Madras, regarding
 the retention of the temporary
 establishment in connection with
 Periyar works till the next
 official year 1899-1900.*

(Sett. 13-2,000-25-4-98.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	202		6.4.98
	1735		22.4.98
	3190		30.7.98
	743		8-12-98
Rev.	2787	lett	25-4-98
	1496		29.3.98



10 APR 1899

62

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 6th April 1899.

No. 1805 Misc.

28.10.4

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

READ

READ..... the following letter from J. G. D. Partridge Esquire,
Acting Collector of Madura, R. O. C. No ³⁶⁸/_{General}
dated 23rd March 1899:—

The Special Deputy Collector requests that the existing establishment sanctioned in Government order no 202 dated 6th April 1898 (Revenue Department), should be retained for another year with the exception of the second clerk sanctioned for the Special Tahsildar.

for conducting sales of waste lands and
the Deputy Collectors of the Madurai and
Mylur Divisions have also renewed
their applications for the retention of
the establishment sanctioned in Government
Orders Nos 1735 Mys dated 22nd April
1898, and 3190 Mys dated 30th July
1898 for another year on the ground
that the additional strain on the taluk
establishment entailed by the project
will continue to be felt even in
the next fiscal year. The nature
and extent of the work to be done
by this special establishment are
fully explained in this Office letter
Dis. No 736 dated 24th February 1898
and R.O.C. No 3326 dated 12th November 1897
and

proposed to be
postponed.

and 303 dated 15th March 1898. It is
therefore unnecessary to reiterate them now.
The further sales of Government assessed
waste lands will commence from April
next. In these circumstances I consider
that the establishment already sanctioned
for the Special Deputy Collector, the
Special Tahsildar and for two taluks of Madurai
and Mylur should be retained for another
year and beg that the Board will be
pleased to move Government to accord
its early sanction for the retention of the
same during the year 1899-1900.
2. The correspondence in the Collector's Office
in connection with Periyar Project is now very
heavy. This work has hitherto been done by
the Temporary Clerk sanctioned for minor
Irrigation

Irrigation works in Board's Proceedings No 2787
 No dated 25th April 1898. But under the
 new scheme sanctioned in Government order
 No 743 (Revenue) dated 5th December 1898,
 Communicated with Board's Proceedings No 462
 dated 15th December 1898, the temporary
 Minor Irrigation Clerk in the Collector's office
 has been abolished; and a clerk - draughts-
 man on Rs 25 has been permanently ap-
 pointed for this District to attend to the
 Minor Irrigation work. As it is impossible
 to entrust the correspondence connected with
 the Periyar work, which is important, to this
 clerk - draughtsman or to any of the other
 clerks in the office in addition to their other
 duties, I beg that a temporary clerk on Rs 20
 may be sanctioned for the Collector's office
 to attend to the Periyar correspondence alone.

A.

A proposition statement in the prescribed form,
 together with a statement explaining why
 provision was not made in the Budget for
 a clerk for the Collector's office, is herewith
 enclosed.

Resolution

The Collector's letter will be submitted
 to Government with the Board's recommendation
 that the marginally noted temporary establish-
 ments sanctioned in G. O's Nos 202 Revenue
 dated 6th April 1898, 1735 Mis Revenue dated
 22nd April 1898 and 3190 Mis Revenue dated
 30th July 1898 for work connected with the
 Periyar Project may be continued during the
 next official year (1899-1900) and (2) that
 a clerk on Rs 20 may also be sanctioned
 for the Collector's office for the same year.
 2. In the Budget estimate of charges for 1899-1900
 provision has been made to meet the cost

	Rs
Special Deputy Collector's Office	
1 clerk	20
1 do	15
Special Tahsildar's Office	
1. Clerk	15
Madura Taluk Office	
1. Clerk	20
2. Clerk at 15	30
Trichur Taluk Office	
2 Clerks at Rs 15	30

of these establishments, except (1) the clerk on Rs 15 in the Special Tahsildar's office and (2) the clerk on Rs 20 proposed for the collector's office. The salary of these two clerks can be met from the "Reserve" provided under "Temporary Establishments."

3. The usual proposition statement for the clerk newly proposed for the collector's office is submitted in duplicate through the Accountant General.

(True copy and extract)

R. V. Srinivasan
Secretary

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with proposition statement in duplicate, through
the Accountant General.

Copy to the Collector of Madurai.

For
W. L. S. S.

1805 Prof 1805 Mis (Cus 2617)
6-4-99

To the Under Secretary

In view of facilitating the extension of irrigation under the Periyar Project, the following ^{special} establishments were originally sanctioned:—

	Rs
1 Dy. Collr.	250
1 Clerk	20
1 Clerk	15
1 Attender	8
3 peons	21
1 Masalchi	6
1 Tahsildar	150
1 Clerk	15
2 Peons	14
1 Masalchi	6
3 Rev. Insps.	120
3 peons	21

In consequence of the enormous increase in the work on account of the grant of loans, the disposal of darkhasts, the sale of waste lands, the acquisition of lands for distributaries etc. the Govt sanctioned the following additional establishment, for

under for. 2142
3-6-96 J.
" 2241
9-6-96 M
" 2506
26-6-96

a period of one year,
~~or for a longer period~~ besides
 raising the pay of the Dy. Col.
 lectors office head clerk from
 Rs 20 to Rs 30 and that of
 the Rev Inspectors from Rs 40
 to Rs 50:—

Spl Dy Collr's office
 1 clerk Rs 20
 1 clerk 15
Spl Tahs's office
 1 clerk 15
50

Ex. $\frac{202}{6-4-98}$ N

Besides the above special
 establishments, the following
 addl establishments were
 sanctioned for the Madura and
 Melur Taluk offices for the
 periods noted against each,
 owing to the high pressure
 of work brought on those
 offices by the introduction
 of the Periyar Project:—

Madura Taluk office

one clerk Rs 20
 2 on Rs 15 each 30

} for one year

Ex. $\frac{1735}{22-4-98}$

X { X }

Melur Taluk office

2 clerks on Rs 15 each 30. for 9 months

i.e. up to the end of the official

year 1898-99.

Ex. $\frac{3190}{30-7-98}$

Z

Spl Dy Collr's office

1 clerk Rs 20
 1 do 15

Spl Tahs's office

1 clerk 15

Madura Taluk office

1 clerk 20
 2 clerks at 15. 30

Melur Taluk office

2 clerks at 15. 30

Rs 20
 15
 50 $\frac{202}{6-4-98}$

} $\frac{1735}{22-4-98}$

10 $\frac{3190}{30-7-98}$

The period for which the
 above mentioned additional
~~same were sanctioned~~
 establishments, having expired
 or being about to expire, the
 Collector requests that all
 these addl establs may be
 retained for another year, on
 the ground that the pressure
 of work will continue to be
 felt even in the current offi-
 cial year. The Board of Rev
 supports the Collector's request.
 There is no means of judging how
 long the pressure would last.
 Orders are requested.

The Collector takes the
 opportunity to propose the
 entertainment of a temporary
 clerk on Rs 20 for a period of one year
 in his own office for attending
 to the Periyar correspondence
 which he says is now very
 heavy and cannot be entrusted
 to any of the clerks in the office
 without detriment to his
 ordinary duties. The Board of
 Rev supports this proposal
 also and submits a proposi-
 tion

sition statement for ^{the} sanction
of Govt. orders are requested.

On the assumption
that ~~the establishment~~ the addl
establt would be required for
another year provision has
been made for ^{them in the budget} ~~improvements~~ for
the current year, except for
one clerk on Rs 15 in the
Special Tahis office - vide
pages 44 and 47 of G.O. 1180 dtd
6-12-98.

No budget provision was made
for the clerk on Rs 20 now
proposed for the Collectors office.
The salary of these two clerks
however, can the Board
considers, be met from the
'Reserve' of Rs 1361 under
'General Establt' and Rs 1435
under the 'Sub-Div Establt'.

No proposition statement
is necessary for the retention
of an Establt once sanctioned.

Secy
M
4/11/11

5-4-98
5-9-99

This is a matter in which we
must be largely guided by the J.M. &
D. of R. The establishment was
renewed for another year & the clerk in the
office sanctioned S.P. 1457

Yes, I think so
13-5-99



Draft will come with concurred S.P. 1915

to us
Please see draft
order on separate sheet below
Secy
18/1/11

Min

Under recent Govt order
must not be submitted
a reappropriation statement

M
18/1/11

To: us

Please see para 2 of B. S. The Board
states that the pay of the Special Dy Tahis
clerk on Rs 15 and that of the Col's office
clerk on Rs 20, in respect of which no
specific budget provision exists, can be
met from the "reserve" provided under
"Temporary Establishment". I consulted
the Chief Asst, Fin. Dept, as to whether the
recent order (G.O. 549 Fin) applied to the case,
he stated that it did not and that the case
was one in which it may be construed that
budget provision existed. Hence the proposed
draft - order.

Very well
M
19/3/11

SP
26.99

12

Read Bd. 1805 mis (sett) of 6.4.98
(Cus 2817)

Order mis by 1963 of 2 w/mis

In the circumstances
for a further period of one year
reported, the retention of the
temporary establishment enter-
tained under the marginally
noted orders, ~~from~~ is sanctioned.
The proposal to employ an addi-
tional clerk on Rs 20 for the
same period in the collector's office
is also approved and the proposi-
tion statement for the same
will be transferred to the
Fin. Dept for sanction.

(from order)

Shan Kumar
Kumar

he
27/5

M. W. K. 18/5/99

- To the Board of Rs (Sett)
- .. Fin. Dept with proper statement
- .. Acct. General

28/5
6.6.98

6/5
16.5.99

To the Fin. Dept
for C. i.

- 60.202, Rs of 6.4.98
- 60.512, Fin of 2.5.98
- (2) 60.1735, Rs, of 22.4.98
- 60.518, Fin, of 3.5.98
- (3) 60.3190, Rs, of 30.7.98
- 60.873, Fin of 10.8.98

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT IN

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only