

20-3-15

0
5.000-17-18-98.

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1926

DATED 30th May 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Agreeing with the Board of Revenue and
considering the requests of certain village
officers of Finneyally that second appeals
may be admitted against appointments
made at a revision &c inadmissible.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2992

Order No.

From

The Board of Revenue, Land
Revenue, Madras.

No. 1425 Misc.

The Govt. agrees with the
Board. The petition requests are
inadmissible.

Dated 2nd May 1899

Received 5th do -

Registered do -

Enclosures 2.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government with -
remark, memorial from certain villa-
ges officers of the Tinnevely Dt.,
praying that second appeals may be
admitted against appointments made
at a Revision, & ^{the} thrown out officers
allowed the right of filing suits to
establish their claims.

V.Km
14.5.99

To the Bd of Rev. (LR) Sec. 1-6.99.

C. 19/5
12/1/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

No.1425 Misc.Dated 2nd May 1899.

Read again Board's Proceedings No.1296 A.Misc.da--
ted 15th April 1899.

Read petition from S.Guruswami Pillai and others,--
village officials of Kayattar & Savarimangalam villages, Ottapida-
ram Taluk, Tinnevely District, dated 21st April 1899.

Resolution

Submitted to Government.

2. A copy of the memorial was addressed to the Board.
As one of the main objects of Act III of 1895 is to restrict the
number of appeals the Board in its Proceedings No.1296 A.Misc.,--
dated 15th April 1899 informed the petitioners that their requests
were inadmissible.

*Vide the
statement of
objects of the
act and Mr. G. S. Srinivasan's
speech in connection
with the Bill.
in the file put up.*

/True Extract/

Lonel Davidson

Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with memorial
and with a copy of Board's Progs.No.1296 A.Mis., dated 15.4.99.

Q.B.

Q.B.

189 .
Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

To

Subject.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue).

No.1296 A.Misc.Dated 15th April 1899

Read petition from Subramanya ^{Aiyar} ~~and others~~, village -
munsiff of Amburani, Nanguneri Taluk, and other village officials
of Tinnevely District, dated 4th April 1899.

Read also petition from Sambasivan Pillai, Karnam -
of Ramakrishnapuram village, and other village officials, Nanguneri
Taluk, Tinnevely District, dated 6th April 1899.

Resolution

The petitioners' requests are inadmissible.

(True Extract)

(sd) Lionel Davidson

Ag. Secretary.

To the Petrs. with the enclos. returned.

(True Copy) ✓

Lionel Davidson

Ag. Secretary.

Q.B.

902

To

Subject.

TO

BOARD OF REVENUE,
24 APR 1899.
LAND REVENUE DEPT.,
MADRAS.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. That Act No. III of 1895 provides that the decisions of District Collectors on appeal against those of Divisional Collectors regarding the appointment of village officials, and that no appeal lies to the Board of Revenue against them.
2. That, in consequence of such a provision in the above Act, there are no means of correcting the errors in the decisions of District Collectors, to which they, as human beings, are liable; and the poor village officials, who had been hitherto enjoying their hereditary rights to their offices, have now to suffer a great deal from the gross injustice done to them by the decisions of District Collectors, passed without due regard to the rules and principles in the Act No. III of 1895, which they ought to adopt in disposing of cases consequent on the recent revision of village establishment under the said Act.
3. That, in disposing of appeals from village officials who lost their situations in the revision or otherwise, the principles adopted by the District Collectors at their discretion, are quite different from each other. The decisions of the present District Collector of Tinnevely Mr. E Scott totally differ from those of his predecessor, Mr. Higgins. In proof of such inconsistencies in the decisions of District Collectors, the copies of their decisions as per list attached, are herewith enclosed, for the favourable and merciful consideration of the Government.
4. That these records clearly show, that the decisions of Divisional Collectors, and those of District Collectors on appeal, are unjust and against the provision of the said Act No. III of 1895; and if appeals were preferred against them to the Board of Revenue, they are pleased to reject such appeals under section 23 of the said Act.

ready submitted.

Red Spect.: 2nd spec. at
B17. 1296 A 9.1520

5. That, under the above circumstances, the poor village officials with their families who solely depend on their hereditary offices, have no other alternative than humbly laying their distressed cases at your Excellency's philanthropical feet in the humble hopes that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to take them into generous consideration and do justice by causing the petitioners' appeals against the unjust decisions of the District Collectors to be entertained by the Board of Revenue, and their hereditary right of village offices to be established by summary suits as hitherto.

The petitioners, as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

21-4-99.

Serial number. துடச்சி நிர்.	Signatures. கையெழுத்துகள்.	Office. உத்தியோகம்.	Residence. வாசஸ்தலங்கள்.		Remarks. குறி.
			Village. கிராமம்.	Taluq. தாலுகா.	
1	S. Goroosawmy Pillay செளரேசுவாமி பிள்ளை	De Sabadar Kayatar சபாநாயகர்	Simareilly சீமரெலி	Do	Do
2	Brundhram Pillay கல்லசுவாமி பிள்ளை	Village கிராமம் Simareilly சீமரெலி	Do	Do	Do
3	செளரேசுவாமி பிள்ளை	சபாநாயகர்	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
4	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
5	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
6	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
7	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
8	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
9	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
10	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
11	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
12	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
13	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
14	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
15	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
16	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
17	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
18	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
19	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி
20	சுப்பிரமணிய பிள்ளை	—	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி	சீமரெலி

[illegible]

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 189
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 189 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES to G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

[Faint handwritten text in a non-Latin script, likely Telugu, covering the left page of the document.]