

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1925

DATED

30th May 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that Mr. Barker is not
entitled to an exemption from the operation of art 1159
of the C.R. and that his claim for daily
allowance for halt at Madras is inadmissible

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Through the Accountant General
Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3016.

From The Board of Revenue,
Rev. Lett. L.R. & Agt.
Madras.

No. 2030 Mys.

Order
Lear

Mean in the Act Genl. & Act.
Art. 15 of the C.R. to which he refers
is as follows:-

"When used in the T.A. Regs. the
"Mean Actual Travelling Expense."
"Mean the actual cost of locomotion
"for an officer himself his servants
"personal luggage including charges
"for ferry & other tolls & for carriage
"of camp equipment (when necessary);
"but not including charges for hotels
"daily rations refreshments or for
"carriage of stores & baggage, except
"for such as are necessary
"for any allowance for
"such incidental expenses
"as baggage of clothing, the maintenance of furniture,
"the entertainment of staff servants, & the like."
Art 1086 read: "Travelling allowance is given
"an officer to cover the actual travelling expenses
"incurred by him in travelling in the interests of the public service."

Dated 19th April 1898.

Received 6th May 1899

Registered - do -

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting for favour-
-able consideration of govern-
-ment letter from the
Government Board of Revenue
-ing that he may be allowed
to draw daily allowance
for halts at Madras under
Article 1159 (b) of the Civil
Service Regulations.


While he was
drawing the result
of the

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1598		28-4-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

Dated 19th April 1899.

No. 2030 Misc:-

Read the following letter from C. A. Barker Esquire,
Government Botanist, Madras, No. 79
dated 29 March 1899:-

I have the honor to report
that since 27th February last, I remained
at Madras though my Head Quarters
are declared to be at Ootacamund
under G.O. No. 102 Res., Revenue
Department, dated 8th February 1898 for
the following reasons:-

- (1) In charge of the Herbarium.
- (2) Making arrangements for work with
the Board of Revenue.

A

As my retention at Madras has necessitated me to incur Hotel Expenses, I have the honor to request that Government may be requested to allow me daily allowance according to article 1159 (b) of Civil Service Regulations.

Resolution.

Submitted to Government for favourable consideration, in continuation of Board's Proceedings, No 1449 dated 16th March 1899.

(2nd copy & extract)

C. Bern-

Secretary

To The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, through the Accountant General, with bill to be returned.

Lazette and Co. Sept 10

No. 9. 188

1124

Fort St. George

Accountant Genl. office

4th May 1899.

Forwarded to Government in continuation of this office endorsement No. G.O. 1 dated 6 April 1899 on Board's Proceedings No 1449 dated 16 March 1899. Mr Barber remained at Madras continuously from 18 February to 22 March 1899 and has drawn already daily allowances for the first ten days of his halt i.e. from 18 to 27 February 1899. He now claims halting allowance for the remaining period on the ground that his retention at Madras necessitated his incurring hotel expenses. In their letter No. 5677 ex dated 6 December 1895 Finance and Commerce Department printed in G.O. No 34 Financial dated 14 January 1896, the Government of India remarked that the provision clause (b) under article 1159 was intended to enable Local Governments to prevent the 10 days limit operating as a hardship to an officer obliged to continue expenditure of the kind which travelling allowance is intended to meet. Having regard to these orders and to articles 15 and 1086 Civil Service Regulations the ground mentioned does not seem to be a valid one. Mr Barber was during the period in question in receipt of a house allowance of Rs 125 per mensem. It is, as remarked in the

Govt.

1,000-25-3-99.

Rev Dept
 Secy
 G.O. 102 Rev'd 8.2.99 fined only
 Mr Barber's official headquarters
 (para 4). The allowance of Rs 12.
 was given to Mr Barber in lieu of
 full house at only. As regards
 necessity for Mr Barber's prolo
 stay in Madras from 28th to

22nd March we have no further information than Mr Barber's assurance in his letter submitted by the S.D. which by its recommendation apparently endorses what Mr B. says.

M.H.
19/5/89

I don't quite endorse the above facts. When Mr. Barber arrived neither his house nor office at Ooty were ready & available. He was permitted to make Madras his h.Q. until with the house rent allowance fixed for him, until Mr. Barber is ready at Ooty. Meantime he was engaged at Madras in studying the local history & gaining other information useful to him in his future survey work. Until he was ready to draw the R. 125 house all^{ce} but of course no halting all^{ce} at Madras.

A



8/5
1899

In D.L. No. 1899/18.5.89.

Final Dept

In the C.S.

In view of the facts stated at A in the note by the Revenue Secy, it is submitted that Mr. Barber is not entitled to an exemption from the operation of article 1159 of the C.S.R. for the period of his halt at Madras. He seems, moreover, not to be entitled to halting allowance for even the first ten days of his stay at Madras after the 17th February, but it will be seen from the C.S. report. No. G. 185 of the 4th May 1899, that he has drawn halting allowance for these ten days.

C.S.

22.5.92. I concur.

Rev. Secy: a.o. No. 1355
25.5.99

124/57

Revenue Dept

To Under Secretary

Please see draft order put up on a separate sheet below

26.5.99

Revenue Deptt

Recd B.P. (Rshkrm) No 2030 d/10-4-99.
(CN: 3016 of 99).

Order Misc No 1925 d/30th May 99

The Govt Considers that
in the circumstances stated,
Mr. Barker is not entitled to an
exemption from the operation of
article 115 g of the C.S.R. and
his claim for daily allowance is
therefore inadmissible.

MU
28/5/99

B
20/5

To { The Board of Revenue (Rshkrm) ^{with file}
28/5/99 } The Accountant General.

aa
26.5.99.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
 189

, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.