Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1889

DATED 29th May 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the remission of Rs 162 being the kist paid by arrack shopkeepers of Samudram, Tiruvannamalai Taluk, South Arcot District.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3190

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue, Madras.

Order his No 1869 dy 29th May 99

The remission of Rs162 is sanctioned in the circumstances

Mis. No. 2158

Dated 13 May 1899.

Received 14th do -

Registered 15th do

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting the sanction of Government to the remission of Rs.162 being the kist paid by arrack shopkeeper of Samudram, Tiruvannamalai Taluk, South Arcot District.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Press	1401P	Law.	3.11.98
Mis	3228	Rev	4.8.98
Mis	3228	4-8-98	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O. 1401 P
3.11.98

PRICE 1 *pie.* 2,000 1-9-98.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue).

Dated 13th May 1899.

Mis. No. 2158

Read letter from L.M.Wynch Esquire, I.C.S.,Acting Collector of South Arcot, Dis.No.495/V.D of 99, dated 21st April 1899,reporting on the appeal petition presented by one Gurusami Nayudu,arrack shopkeeper of Samudram, Tiruvannamalai Taluk, applying for remission of arrack kists.

Resolution.

The Board begs to request the sanction of Government to the remission of a sum of Rs.162,the annual rental of the arrack shop at the village of Samudram near Tiruvannamalai. The shop in question is reported to depend solely for its custom on the Karthigai festival and cattle fair in the month of November. The 1898 festival was prohibited by Government and hence there was no sale in the shop.

3. The Board is aware that it has been the practice never to grant remissions of Abkari Revenue and that under the terms of his license the licensee is entitled to no remission and it makes this recommendation with some hesitation

inasmuch as the prohibition of fairs and festivals has been frequent and if the remission applied for is granted the case is likely to be availed of largely as a precedent. At the same time the Board considers that it would not be equitable to refuse the remission since it is not a case of a business speculation in which a man has taken the ordinary risks and lost, but it was in consequence of extra ordinary circumstances and by Government's own act that the occasion by which he could make his income was prohibited. The Board therefore considers that the remission should be granted. The orders of Government are requested.

(True extract)

C Balakrishnan
Acting Secretary.

12.5.95.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

R.R.
12.5.95

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only