3.2 084

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1823–1824

DATED 19th May 1899

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the sanction of the Govt of India to the entertainment as a temporary measure of certain additional establishment in the District Forest Offices of this Presidency.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Sent 16-99 with
draft for Secs 249/99
P.C.
4599.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2947.

From

The Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue.)

Order

FOREST No. 310 Misc.

Dated 1st May 1899.

Received 3rd do

Registered 4th do

Enclosures 2

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Explaining the variation noticed by Government in the cost of the existing temporary establishment as given in the Proposition Statement for the proposed entertainment of certain additional temporary establishments in District Forest Offices.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1337	Mis	6.4.99
	517	Mis	6.2.99
	227	Mis	16.1.99
	4504	Mis	18.11.98
	3800	Mis	20.9.98
	469	Note P	23.8.98.

(abstract O.P's 76, 84 & 99 Press Instns 98)

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

Forest No. 310 Misc., dated 1st May 1899.

Read Government Order, Revenue Department, No. 1337 Misc., dated 6th April 1899.

(J)

Resolution

(J) In reply to G.O. No. 1337 Misc., dated 6th April 1899, the Board resolves to submit the following explanation.

2. A list of the temporary establishments entertained in District Forest offices at the time of the submission of B.P. Forest No. 7 dated 7-1-1898 is given in para 15 of those Proceedings (vide also the total of "District establishments" in the Col: "Present Scale - Temporary" at page 27 of the B.P.). It will be seen therefrom that the cost of these establishments then amounted to Rs. 221 per mensem. On the introduction of the permanent scale recommended in the same Proceedings it was proposed to dispense with the permit clerk on Rs. 15/- in Tinnevelly and the District Forest office clerk on Rs. 20/- in South Coimbatore borne on the temporary establishment

vide G.O 469 (E) 23.8.98

page (12)

Same page in this G.O

and to retain the remaining temporary establish-
ments costing Rs. 186 per mensem (Vide remarks
in para 15, page 13 of the B.P. and also the
total of "Dt. Establishments" in the Col. "proposed
Scale - temporary" at page 27 of the B.P.). In its
Reference Forest No. 434 dated 17-11-1898, the Board
requested the Sanction of Government for the
introduction in advance as a temporary measure
of that portion of the Scheme in B.P. Forest No 7
dated 7-1-1898 which referred to the Strengthening
of the District Forest office Staff by the addition
of a clerk to each office. The Board pointed
out in para 1 of that Reference that in a few
cases it had Sanctioned under the power vested
in it by Section 8 of the Forest Code temporary
additional clerks for District Forest offices
pending the Sanction of the Government of India
to the proposals for augmenting the permanent
establishment.

Thus in the year 1898, the following ad-
-ditional clerks for District Forest offices were
Sanctioned by the Board on the temporary establish-
-ment.

District	Particulars and Cost of Establishments	
Vizagapatam	1 clerk for the Dt. Forest Office on	Rs. 25
Godavari	1 " " "	20
Bellary	1 Record Sorter	20
Salem	1 clerk	20
	Total Rs.	85

At the same time, the pay of the 2 permit Checking
clerks in Kistna and of the Office-watcher in
Madura included in the list in B.P. Forest No. 7 / 7-1-98
above referred to was raised from Rs. 12 to Rs. 15
and from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 respectively in the tem-
-porary establishment Scales of the Northern and
the Southern Circles for 1898-99 Sanctioned by
the Board in B.P. Forest No. 99 / 4-3-98 and 76 / 22-2-98
B.P. Forest No 99 / 11-3-98 respectively. Consequently
during the financial year 1898-99, the cost of
temporary establishments entertained in District
Forest Offices rose as noted below from Rs. 221
(the amount entered in B.P. Forest No. 7 / 7-1-98) to Rs. 3
which was the amount shown as the cost
of the existing temporary establishments in
the proposition Statement submitted with
B.P. Forest No. 119 Misc., dated 22-2-1899:-

Cost as per B.P. Forest No. 7 / 7-1-98	Rs. 221
Add Cost of additional establishments Sanctioned during 1898	85
Increase to the pay of 2 permit checking clerks at Rs. 3 each and of the office-watcher at Re. 1	7
Total Rs.	313

The last item of Rs. 7 accounts also for the
increase of the total cost from Rs. 631 to 638
referred to in the G.O. under reply. Again
the figure 186 quoted by Government merely
represented the cost of temporary establishments
in District Forest offices at the time of the

Submission of B.P. Forest No. 7 / 7-1-'98 excluding one clerk on Rs. 20 in South Coimbatore and one permit clerk on Rs. 15 in Tinnevelly whose services it was proposed to dispense with on obtaining sanction for the entertainment of the additional clerks recommended for District Forest Offices - Vide para 3 of the Board's Reference No. 434 dated 17-11-1898.

(True Extract)

Lovell Davidson

Ag. Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Govt. Rd.

with proposition Statements returned.

(G)

27 mis
16.1.99

* not Communicated to the Govt
(abstracts put up - flap (R.T.X)

B.P (Forest) No. 310 mis dt 1.5.99

(Cus 294) of 99)

To the Under Secretary.

In reply to G.O 1337 mis dt 6.4.99 in which the Board of Revenue was asked to explain more clearly the variations in the figures for the Cost of the existing temporary establishment, the Board ~~of Revenue~~ now reports that the increase of Rs (313-221) = 92 is due to the additional temporary establishment for 1898-99 sanctioned by the Board of Revenue ~~for it~~ under section 8 of the Madras Forest Code.

It would appear that this additional establishment was sanctioned before the Board's proposals contained in its Reference No. 434 dt 17.11.98 were submitted to the Govt although it was shown therein as proposed estb. (The ~~addl~~ temporary establishments for the Northern, Central and Southern Circles were sanctioned in Board's Proceedings* dt 22.2.98 (No. 76 Forest) 28.2.98 (No. 84 Forest) and 11.3.98 (No. 99 Forest) ~~which~~ viz eight or nine months before the B.P's proposals dt 17.11.98).

2

A draft letter to India requesting sanction ~~approval~~ to the revised proposals is submitted below for approval.

(Memorandum to explain why he kept this papers 11 days)

Secy
Please
See draft below
MG
18/V/99

Done
SR
19/5/9

Explained separately + orders passed by H.S
8/6/99

Read again f.o 537 § 6.2.99
.. .. f.o 1337 § 6.4.99
.. B.P (Forest) No 310 mis § 1.5.99
(Cuo 2947 of 99)

f.o. mis No 1823 of 19th May 99

The proposition Statement will be forwarded to the Government of India.

MG
18/V/99

Letter No 1824 of 19th may 99

To

×The Secretary to the Govt of India
R and agri: Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 104 / 53-2 F § the 2nd February 1899 on the subject the proposals for the entertainment as a temporary measure of certain additional establishment in the District Forest offices of this Presidency, I am directed to forward the accompanying proposition Statement in duplicate showing the existing and proposed Scales of establishment both permanent and temporary.

×with prop. Stat. in duplicate

Despd
20-5-99

537 mis (K)
6.2.99

The figures under the Column "Present Scale" have been verified by the Accountant General and certified by that officer to be correct.

(accountant-general's endorsement § 8.3.99 on B.P. 119 mis 22.2.99 in f.o. 1337 mis 6.4.99) (J)

2 From the Statement forwarded it will be seen that the proposals as now revised involve a total Cost of Rs 638 per mensem ~~was an addition~~ which is in excess of the cost ~~noted~~ estimated by ~~the proposals~~ the Board of Revenue ~~contained~~ in its Proceedings § the × a copy of which was 17th November 1898, forwarded with my letter No. 228 § the 16th January 1899. (G)

~~I am to explain that~~ This increase ~~of~~ is due to the enhancement of the pay of two permit-checking clerks in Kistna from Rs 12 to Rs 15 and of the pay of an office watcher in Madura from Rs 5 to Rs 6.

I am to request that the approval of the Government of India may be obtained to the proposals as now modified.

3 I am directed also to add the following remarks in explanation of an apparent discrepancy in the figures showing the Cost of the existing temporary establishment. In the proposals forwarded with my letter No. 228 mis § 16.1.99 the Cost was given as Rs 221 while in the Statement now forwarded it is shown as Rs 313 § ~~the Board of Revenue reports~~ the former amount represents the Cost of the temporary establishment as it existed at the time of the original proposals for the revision of the Dt Forest and Range office establishments, which were forwarded with my letter No. 470 § the 23rd August 1898 ~~and that~~ The increase is due to the additional establishment (which was urgently required & which was therefore sanctioned by the Board during 1898 under the power vested in it by section 8 of the Madras Forest Code) ~~The Board of Revenue also~~ add 48 that of the total increase of Rs 92 an increase of Rs 7 is due to the Circumstances detailed in para 2 above while the remaining Rs 85 Consists of the Cost of the establishment noted in the margin.

	Rs
1 Clerk for the Dt Forest office, Kizapatam,	25
— Do — , Godavari,	20
— Do — , Bellary,	20
1 Record Sorter for the D.F. office, Salem,	20
1 Clerk for the — Do —	
Total Rs	85

I have &c.

8/Rg/5

When given for copying
When copied } 189
When examined
When signed

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.