

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1813

DATED

18th May 1899

[Despatch Abstract.]

Transferring to the P.W.D.

with remarks, Board's Prop. stating that there is no objection to the proposal to eliminate from the P.W. Register all petty buildings now in the occupation of the Rev. Dept. the capital cost of which is less than Rs 1000 each

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
4228/99							

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1976

From The Board of Revenue,
(Land Revenue)
Madras.
No. 981 Mis.

Order


Dated 18th March 1899.

Received 19th March

Registered 20th March

Enclosures —

Spare copies —



SUBJECT.

Informing Government that the Board sees no objection to the proposal to eliminate from the Public Works register all petty buildings now in the occupation of the Revenue Department the capital cost of which is less than Rs. 1000 each.

02587

24/3/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	180C	DN.	9-1-99
	3038C	-	2-7-98
	1701W	-	6-7-98
	892W	-	18-3-92
	2522W	-	7-10-78

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

No. 981 M.S., dated 18th March 1899.

Read Official Memorandum from ^{the} Ag: Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, dated 10th March 1899, No. 79.

Resolution.

The Board sees no objection to the
proposal to eliminate from the Public Works register, all petty
buildings now in the occupation of the Revenue Department the
capital cost of which is less than Rs. 1000 each.

(True Extract)

James Davidson

Ag: Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department

CB

Lala

Current No. 233 of 1899

From the P.W.D.

Lent no. 1800 Dated 9 - 1 - 1899.

FOR ORDERS.To the Under Secretary

The P.W. Dept forwards for the remarks of the Revenue and the Judicial Depts the correspondence which has taken place between the Executive Engineers, Chingleput and Bellary Divisions and the Superintending Engineer III Circle, relative to the omission of certain buildings in the list maintained by the Officers of the P.W.D.

The Executive Engineers point out that there are a number of small buildings which are usually repaired by the Depts occupying them and they consider that these may be well left to the care of those Depts.

The

FOR ORDERS.

The exclusion of them from the P. W. D. list would relieve the officers of that Dept of ^{duty of} preparing plans, examine the buildings annually, and to certify as to their safety. The Executive Engineer, Bellary, Da accord. highly suggests that buildings the capital cost of which is below Rs 500 should be eliminated from the list. The Engrs. III Circle, however, proposes that the limit may be fixed at Rs 1000.

So far as the buildings in the occupation of the Rev. Dept are concerned, there appears to be no ~~objection~~ ^{objection}, but perhaps the ~~Board may be consulted~~ ^{Board may be consulted} ~~and the list may be obtained~~.

The Executive Engineer, Bellary, Da. states that the P. W. Code assumes that all public buildings should be borne on the list of the P. W. D.

Paras 5 & 8 of chapter I of the P. W. Code.

After all, the principle is that every executive dept. ^{shd.} attend to all matters relating to that dept.

[Signature]

The Bd may be consulted *[Signature]* 26-1-88

P. W. D. This statement does not appear to be correct. The Com. merely gives instructions in regard to the buildings in charge of the P. W. D., and as a matter of fact a number of small structures, according to his own admission, are not shown in the P. W. D. list.

Orders are requested as to whether the papers may be referred to the Bd of Res for remarks.

CD
24-1-88
RD
25-1-88

Please draft a reference to the Bd raising the question seriously. He need to forward their *[Signature]* 26-1-88

Draft submitted

CD
27-1-88

[Signature] Please see draft for approval *[Signature]* 31-1-88

[Signature] I think as a general

principle the D.P.O. sh^d be held to their
responsibility for all public
buildings constructed by them
or which have been once
handed over them. A taluk
Saushtadar or a Police
Inspector has no real
knowledge of what is really
wanted in the way of
repairs or how repairs can
be most efficiently & least
expensively carried out.

~~of course~~ At the same time
in the case of trifling
repairs such officials may
undoubtedly be allowed
to carry out repairs authorized
by the D.P.O. officer; but I wd
not discharge the D.P.O. from
responsibility. It is a sound
principle of govt. that each
dept. do its own business.

If any relief is to be afforded
the Rs 1000 limit is too high.

S.P. 1/2/99

D.P.O. 108/8/99
24.2.99

Very well. Say that
in respect of public buildings
constructed by the D.P.O.,
or handed over to their
charge, Govt is not
prepared to relax the
requirements of Chap X
of the D.P.O. Code.

M.S.
4-2-99.

Await reply
from the Indl. Dept.
A.R.
7.2.99.
D.W.

Copys.

P.N.D.

No 1098 C 7 21-2-99 am P.W.C. No 1/9

To the Rev. Dept. (U.O).

" The reference made by this
" Dept appears to have been
" misunderstood.

" The question is whether
" petty buildings in the occu-
" pation of the R.D. and which
" are now repaired by that Dept.
" may be removed from the
" register of public buildings
" in charge of the P.W. Dept
" kept under para 73, Chap
" VII, Vol I of the P.W. Code.
" The buildings in question are
" either Katcha built structures,
" or native buildings, or build-
" ings built in native style
" which are used as catcheries

" There has never been
" the slightest intention to re-
" lieve the P.W.D. of any res-
" ponsibility in regard to public
" buildings constructed by
" this Dept or handed over
" to its charge.

" The I. G. of Police is of
" opinion

opinion that no police stations
except those forming part
of taluk offices and no police
lines or huts need be borne
on the P. W. list.

"Will Rev. Dept please
say whether it has any
objection to petty buildings
which are now maintained
by the Rev. Dept being since
early omitted from the P. W.
Registers.

(Hed) A.W.S.

19-2-99

Revenue Department

To the Under Secy.

Submitted for orders

RS
24-2-99

Please revise the draft
on so as to make the
point quite clear
11/2/99

RS
25-2-99

Manuscript revised draft
below

RS

4/3/99

Secy

With ref. to the P.W.D.

Note above, please see

revised draft ref. to the P.W.D.

submitted below on
21/3/99

20/3/99

* On Cus 233 of
1899.

O. No. 79 of 10-3-99

Dispatched
11-3-99

To
The Board of Rev (Land Rev)

Several petty
buildings which are in
the occupation of the Rev Dept
and are usually repaired by
it are nevertheless borne
on the register of public
buildings in charge of the
P. W. D kept under para 73,
Chap VII, vol I of the P. W.
Code. This involves the perio-
dical examination by the
officers of the P. W. D of
Katcha built structures,
native buildings and
buildings constructed in
native style. (Para 8, Chap II
vol I, P. W. Code). With
a view to relieve these
officers of such work, it
has been suggested that such
of these buildings the capital cost
of which is less than
Rs 1000 should be elimi-
nated from the P. W. regis-
ter.

ten and left entirely in the care & control of the Dept. occu-
pying them. This arrange-
ment will not in any way
lessen the responsibility
of the P.W. officers in
regard to public buildings
constructed by that Dept
or handed over to its charge.
The Board of Rev is requested
to report if there is any
objection to the proposal
as regards the petty build-
ings ^{of the kind referred to} now occupied and
maintained by the Rev. Dept.

6/3/88

8/10/39

4/3/88

RS
4-2-99

BP No. 9819/18-3-98 (Cus 1976)

To the Under Secretary

In reply to the O.M.
on the previous sheet, the Board
of Rev states that it sees no
objection to the proposal to
eliminate from the P.W. Register,
all petty buildings now in
the occupation of the Rev Dept.
the capital cost of which is
less than Rs 1000 each.

Orders are requested
as to whether the ^{P.W.D.} Board may
be informed accordingly.

On page 4 of the note
the Secretary observed that
the limit of Rs 1000 was too
high.

RS
21-3-99

Secy

On a further consideration

It is submitted that if the proposal is
accepted a condition or proviso
might be added that a list of
the buildings covered by the
proposal in each District should
be drawn up & commd to the
Collector who may be who
should be at liberty to recommend
that any particular buildings

shd remain on the Plan
unless objects to
register of the S.E. ~~accept~~
the collector ^{recommendation} ~~refers~~ the
matter need not come up to
the Govt

Yes I think this
shd be done.

Approved
RS
30-3-99

the 12/3/98
14/4/98
19/4/98

To the S.
Please see draft
order below.

Secy
P.W.D. may be

6-4-98
RS
15-4-99

27-4-98
21/11/98
D.M. no. 1287/22-4-99.

Approved
H. H. H.
12-5-98

To the W.D. Revenue Department

May the draft order below

now issue?
13-5-99
16-5-99
27/5/99

5/10/5

Read P.W. Contd no. 1800
7-1-98
(Cen 233)

" Cen no 79 of 10-3-98

" B.P. 981 no of 18-3-98
(Cen 1976)

Contd. no. 1815 d/18th May 98

Transferred to the
Public Works Dept with an
impression of concurrence
in the Board's opinion.

2. Before, however,
giving effect to the proposal,
a list of the buildings
covered by it in each district
should be drawn up and
communicated to the Col-
lector who ~~should~~ be at
liberty to recommend that
any particular building
should remain on the P.W.D.

^{the collector's recommendation}
register. ~~Unless the Suptg~~
~~should be given effect to unless the S.E. Board~~
~~registrar objects to the collector~~
~~it open to objection in which the matter shd be reported~~
~~as proposed the matter need~~
~~be the order of the Govt~~
~~and come up to Govt.~~

(Promoters)

RS
15-4-99

20/5/98
17/5/98

To the P.W.D. (with the P.W.D. file returned).
" B.P. of Rev (hand Rev).
5-4-98.

1806
P. M. 20th Nov.
7-1-78
(Cm 233)

22 8-01 2 95 20 22
P. 9 28 18 2 22
(Cm 1256)

transferred to this
Public Works Dept with an
impression of convenience
in the Board of Finance.
2. Before, however,
being offered to the proposed
a list of the limitations
covering up it in each of the
should be drawn up and
communicated to the Gov.
letter into which the
liberty to be examined and
any further building
should remain on the 7th
register. Between the 7th
good man steps to the
not proposed, the matter was
and come up for light.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.