

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1587

DATED 28th April 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning with remarks the
proposition statement for the establishment, proposed to
be entertained in connection with the new distiller
opened by Messrs. Pavy & Co at Kellikuppam.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	C. no 3208 of 99						

Through the Accountant-General, Madras. Revenue (1899) Department.

Read B.P. (Mis. No 976 2/8.3.99
(C. no. 1937)

CURRENT No. 1937

Read also ~~Ad~~ A.G.'s order No
J sal. 1 of 6th April 1899 (C No 2357)
32

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner of
Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue, Madras.

Order mis. no. 1587 of 28th April 99

Mis. No. 976

The proposition
statement is sanctioned.

2. With reference to
para 4 of ~~the~~ ^{its} P.O., the
Board will ~~be~~ ^{will be} in-
formed that the cost of
the establishment
entertained for the
supervision of the
new distillery will
be borne by Govt.

Dated 8th March 1899.

Received 13th March 99

Registered 18/3

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting to Government on the opening of
a new distillery by Messrs Parry and Co. at
Nellikuppam and requesting its sanction
to certain proposals in connection with
the establishment entertained therefor.

In the Board SR.

F.D. with prop. stat. & appn. of 1.5.99.

SAV
24/4/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Rev.	686	Rev.	21.10.95/Conf
Mis.	2015 488	Rev.	25.3.96
Rev.	1365	Rev.	8.12.84
"	810	Rev.	21.11.88.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C. no. 3208999			



Secret. Genl. Office
8 MAR 1899

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue).

Dated 8th March

1899.

Mis.No. 976

Resolution.

In para 19 of the notes of Inspection of the Nellikuppam distillery, submitted to Government with Board's Proceedings No. 293, dated 13th June 1895, the then Commissioner remarked that the only real and effectual remedy for the several serious defects in the distillery was the construction of a new one. Government in passing orders on the above directed, in para 3 of Government Order No. 686, Revenue, dated 21st October 1895, that the remarks of Messrs Parry and Co. on this proposal and the final orders passed by the Board should be submitted for its information.

2. Messrs Parry and Co's decision to construct an entirely new distillery was made known to Government in para 11 of their petition to it, reported on in Board's Proceedings under G.O. 409/29-4-96. In the same month Messrs Parry and Co. submitted plans of a new distillery which they

G.O. 2015/23-5-96

proposed to construct at Cuddalore, Old Town. Fresh plans had to be called for and these were received in July 1896 but, as the Board then expected to hear of the appointment of an expert in distillery matters, it deferred passing orders. The papers were placed before the Abkari Deputy Commissioner on his arrival and, under his directions, Messrs Parry and Co. submitted revised plans in August 1897, but proposed then to construct the distillery at Nellikuppam instead of at Cuddalore as they had originally intended. Permission was then granted to proceed with the building of the distillery which has now been completed.

3. Sanction was accorded for commencing distillation in it in Board's Proceedings Mis.No.70, dated 7th January 1899. Messrs Parry and Co. propose to work both the old and new distilleries at that place side by side for some time, to enable them to keep up supplies until the new distillery is in complete working order. Accordingly the following additional officers have been posted for duty at the second distillery:-

One Assistant Inspector,
One Sub-Inspector,
One Petty Officer and
Six peons.

The Assistant Inspector and the Petty Officer and peons have been provided from the men sanctioned respectively in Government Orders Nos.1365, Revenue, dated 8th December 1884, and No.810, Revenue, dated 21st November 1888. The Board requests that sanction may be accorded

for entertaining the Sub-Inspector temporarily for six months from November 1898.

4. At the same time the Board requests the orders of Government whether the cost of the establishment entertained for the supervision of the new distillery should be borne by Government or recovered from Messrs Parry and Co. As the distillery has been built mainly at the instance of Government it does not seem proper to charge the firm.

5. A proposition statement in duplicate is submitted herewith for sanction.

(True extract)

G. J. S. S.
Acting Secretary.
8.3.99

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a proposition statement, in duplicate, through the
Accountant-General.

Inf 32
Bo 657

1888 Revenue (1899) Dept
14-3-88 Current No. 2357

1899.
Board of Revenue
(Separate Revenue).
MADRAS.

To
The Accountant-General,
Madras.

For. St. George.
Accountt Genl. office
No. 32 6th April 1899.

Forwarded to Government in
continuation of this office endorsement
No. A.O.S.
18 dated 16th March 1899. It
is too late now to sanction a
re-appropriation for 1898-99.

Recd 7-4-99
Regd 8-4-99.

Routine No. 657
Proceedings,
Dated 24th March 1899.
No. of Enclosures.

For. St. George.
Accountant General.

Subject.

Forwarding to the Accountant-
General, Madras, a statement
showing particulars of savings
in the budget from which the
pay of the Sub. Inspector
maintained at the new dis-
tillery at Nallikuppam can
be met.

To
The Secretary to Govt.,
Revenue Dept.

TR
pr
No.
218



174 27 MAR 1899

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Separate Revenue).

Routine No. 657

Dated 24th March 1899.

Read letter from the Accountant-General,
Madras, No. 1026, dated 15th March 1899.

Resolution.

The statement referred to by the Accountant-General in the letter read above is sent herewith.

(Time Contract)

Assistant Secretary.

The Accountant-General, Madras.
with a statement.

From the Board
of Revenue (R.R.) No.
976 d. 8-3-99
(Code 1937 of 99)

To Secretary.

In para 4
of the current, the
Board asks for order
whether the cost of
the establishment
entertained for the
supervision of the
new distillery at
Vellikuppam should
be borne by Govt
or recovered from
Messrs Parry and Co.
In view of the fact that
the building has been
constructed mainly
at the instance of
Govt, the Board considers
that it is not proper
to charge the firm.

The question as
to who should pay the
cost of the distillery
guards was fully

page 9 of G.O. 686 d. 21-10-95
concl.

This is not correct.
It is expressly stated that
Messrs P. & Co. had this step
under consideration for some
time, and they informed me
that they preferred the suggestion
should emanate from

discussed in 1885-86.
and it was decided
that the distillers should
be relieved of the cost,
the charge being borne
by the Govt. In recom-
mending to the Govt of
India, the scheme for
the better control of
distilleries, it was
assumed, doubtless
with reference to the
decision referred
to above, that the
additional cost would
be borne by the Govt. It
is presumed therefore
that the cost of the
establishment required
for the supervision of
the new distillery
will be met from
the Govt funds.

Please see draft
order below.

H. G.
J. G. 1/4

vide papers printed in
G.O. 1151-52 d. 13-10-85
- 1363-64 d. 10-12-85
- 1074 d. 8-12-86 (para 3)
(para 16 of comr's letter
on page 8 and para 3 of B.P.
on page 29 of G.O. ibid).

para 5 of letter No. 433
11-8-93.

I agree with this
conclusion.

M. 24.4.94

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATE

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.:-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only