10 · 2,000—5-2-99.

V.E.

Revenue (1899) Department.

Miscellaneous
~~ROUTINE~~ SERIES.

G.O., No. 1393

DATED 13th April. 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving and sanctioning the Board's recommendation that Mr. Kenworthy Brown may be engaged to defend, on behalf of Govt, the Peniyar temporary patta suits in the Dist. Munsif Court at Tirumangalam, Madura Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (189 9) Department.

CURRENT No. 2326

From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue, Madras

For Order

R. No. 83 Ref.

Case to whether the Board's recommendation that a Barrister, (Mr Brown) should be engaged may be approved.

Dated 5th April 1899.

Received } 6 " "
Registered }

Enclosures —

Spare copies —

SUBJECT.

Recommending that Mr. K. Brown Barrister-at-law may be instructed by Government to defend on its behalf the Periyar temporary patta suits in the District Munsif's Court at Tirumangalam.

Dep/6/4. 6/7/99

[illegible] Department

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
fp.	694	Mis. Rev.	15-2-99
fp	1154	Mis. Rev	20-3-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Office of the Board of Revenue

Land Revenue

Dated 5th April '99.

Read the following letter from J.G.D.Partridge Esquire, Acting Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenge, Madras, R.O.C.No.2998/genl. of '98 dated 21st March 1899.

Referring to the Board's telegram dated the 16th February 1899 and my letter R.O.C.No.2998/genl. of 1898 dated the 1st Instant, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the local Government Pleader's letter No.157 dated the 20" Instant stating that the original suits Nos.475 of 1898 and 27 of 1899 (Vide also Board's Proceedings No.873 Misc.dated 10th March 1899) on the file of the District Munsiff of Tirumangalam will not come on for actual hearing on the 25th Instant and that another date will be fixed for it. As soon as the actual date of hearing is known I shall duly communicate it to the Board. The Government Pleader, Madras has also been similarly informed.

refd to in fp 694 15-2-99

fp 1154 20-3-99

Read also letter from E.B.Powell Esquire, Government Pleader, Madras, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, No. 322 dated 21st March 1899, informing that the Periyar Angami Patta cases O.S.Nos.475 of 1898 and 27 of 1899 on the file of the District Munsif's Court, Tirumangalam have been adjourned at his request.

Reference A.No.83 dated 5th April 1899.

In para 3 of its Proceedings No.585 Misc. dated 14" February 1899 the Board recommended that the Government Pleader, Madras, or if he was going on leave, Mr.K.Brown, might be instructed to appear on behalf of Government and defend the Periyar temporary patta suit O.S.No.475 of 1898- in the District Munsif's Court at Tirumangalam. The Government issued the necessary instructions to the Government

leader who appeared on its behalf at the time of the settlement of issues. Another suit of the same nature having been subsequently posted for hearing in the same Court, the Board requested Mr.Powell to conduct the defence of that suit also. The final hearing of the two suits having since been adjourned till after the 25th instant and the Government Pleader having gone on leave, the Board considers that in view of the importance of the question involved in the suits Government ought to be represented by a Barrister and accordingly recommends that Mr.K.Brown may be engaged on its behalf. His fee would be Rs.1000 plus expenses for two days absence from Madras and Rs.250 per day for each subsequent day.

2. The early orders of Government are requested as the suits will very probably be posted for final hearing shortly.

Lionel Edmondson

Acting Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Md.R.V.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Code Class. | Monthly Number.

To (Office) | From (Office)

Words. | Day. | Hour. | Minute. | Official Instructions.

To (Person) | From (Person)

64 Word Form. F.C 2.

Recd. from ... I.N. | Sent to ... I.N.

At ... Hrs. ... Mts. by ... | At ... Hrs. ... Mts. by ... | Telegraph Master.

C & P—No. 202 T—8 12 97—3000·00

Code Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number.

To (Office) Telephone

From (Office) Burrard

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
	12	17	24	

To (Person) Capt Scott R.E.

From (Person) Scott
A. Land Rev

Please communicate orders on the reports of Messrs Pinhey & Brooks regarding Crique Temporary Petrol Pipe-line

C.R.V.
13/4/99

To the Under Secy.

Please see draft Go. submitted below.

C.R.V.
13/4/99

Issue today
by
13/4

64 Word Form.

F. C 2.

Recd. from LN.

At Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to LN.

At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

C G P—No. 202 T—312 97—2000:00

Revenue Department

Read. Bd's Ref. A. No 83 dy 5.4.99 (C.no 2326)

To the Hon'ble Secy.

Orders are requested as to whether the Board's recommendation that a Barrister, (Mr. K. Brown) may be engaged on behalf of Govt. for the defence of O.S. No 475 of 98 and 27 of 1899 on the file of the Dist. Munsif, Tirumangalam, may be approved. His fee, the Board reports, would be Rs 1,000 plus expenses for 2 days' absence from Madras, and Rs 250 per day for each subsequent day. If the Ag. Govt Pleader, Madura be engaged, he would draw Rs 175 per diem and actual travelling expenses, plus the Regulation fees to which he is entitled in all civil suits

Vide page 4 of Proc. under G.O 781 Jud. dt 2.5.89 V.

suits. The Regulation fee in the present suits in which the amount or value of the claim aggregate Rs 4500 would amount to Rs 225 at 5 p.c. vide rule (33) a.(i) at page 215 of the Civil Digest put up. The Board considers that in view of the importance of the question involved in the suits, Govt. ought to be represented by a Barrister.

Hon.

For orders

11/4/99

C.R. 10/4/99.

vide telephone from the Board to Z.

?

The Board's recommendation must be approved I suppose - The refresher @ Rs 250 per diem seems to me exorbitant, & as time will probably be adjournments goodness knows to what the fees may amount - However it cant be helped -

11-4-99.

Please see draft submitted below

C.R. 13/4/99

C 11/4/99.

Revenue Department

Board's Ref. A No 83 dt 5 April 99
(C. No 2326 of 1899)

Gd. Mis. No 1393 of 13th April 1899.

The Board's recommendation ~~in para 2 of the Ref read above~~ is approved & sanctioned.

fvo.

11/
13/4/99

Jmc

P

$ V at once
13/4/99

Please look at para 2.

To the Board of Revenue (with)
" Advocate General
Copy to the Govt Pleader, Madras

13-4-99

C.R. 13/4/99

*When given for copying*_____
*When copied*_____
*When examined*_____ } 189 .
*When signed*_____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT W[illegible] TO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.