

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1223

DATED 24th March 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning, with remarks, the
grant of a piece of land adjoining the *Waldland*
Chhat *Waldland* to be parcel one of
the proprietor of the estate.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 126

Ref. No. 30

The Govt. considers that pending the approval of the revised rules for the disposal of these lands plots, all proceedings in cases arising under existing rules should be stayed.

Dated 15th February 1899

Received ? 171

Registered

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

To the Board Mrs. L. R.

Note: Please see decision in

FD. 232 A. Kirta shorpara Bg

$$\begin{array}{r} 23.2.99 \\ 23.2.99 \\ \hline 23.2.99 \end{array}$$

Supporting the proposal of the Col-
lector of the Malabar District and
opposing the
~~proposal.~~ Woodlands estate, Kolathoor,
to Mr. Pasano, subject to the payment of
an assessment of Rs. 2 per acre.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE),

Madras, 18th February 1899.

READ— letter from S.G. Roberts Esqre; Head Assistant Collector in charge of the Nilgiris, Petn. NO. 1085/28, dated 13rd January 1899.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Reference No. B. 10/20 dated 18th February 1899

The collector of the Nilgiris reports that Mr. Pascoe, one of the proprietors of the Woodlands estate, Kolakombe, has applied under the Supplemental rules appended to Board's Standing Order NO. 35, for the grant of about 4.2 acres in settlement NO. 369. The Collector himself is ordinarily competent to grant lands under the rules referred to, but as the plot applied for in the present case, has been registered in the village accounts as "Government revenue forest and village grazing ground" he requests the sanction of Government for the grant.

2. It is stated that the land in question has not been reserved under the Forest Act V of 1882, that it is an elongated narrow strip forming one end of settlement NO. 369 and surrounded on three sides by the Woodlands estate, that it is of practically no use as a village grazing ground, not being accessible to the cattle of the villagers except by a passage through reserved forest. The Collector adds that there is enough pasture available in the remaining portion of settlement NO. 369, and that the plot applied for is of no use to any one except the proprietors of the Woodlands estate which surrounds it on three sides. The District Forest Officer has no objection to the grant.

5. In these circumstances, the Board supports the Collector's proposal to grant the plot in question to Mr. Pascoe subject to the payment of an assessment of Rs. 2/- per acre and ^x the rate prescribed in rule X of the Supplemental rules. also of the value of the trees standing thereon as estimated by the Forest Department.

Lonel Drichson

Ag. Secretary.

D 5
1 1,000-7-9-02.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 12 67

From the Board of Rev. L. R.
Ref B. 11.30 Dated 18. 2. 1897.

FOR ORDERS.

To the Under Secretary

In the above, the Board of Rev. supports a recommendation of the Cllr. of Nilgiris to grant to Mr. Pascoe, one of the proprietors of the Woodland estate, Kelakombe, a portion of Settlement No. 369, measuring 4.2 acres and surrounded on three sides by the said estate. Mr. Pascoe's application is made under the "Supplemental rules for the disposal of small plots of lands adjoining existing holdings in the district of Nilgiris," ~~grants under these rules, but~~ ^{grants under these rules, but} is competent to sanction ~~the~~ ^{the} present case ~~however~~, the land applied ~~has been~~ ^{is} registered in the village accounts as "Govt. revenue forest and village grazing ground" ^{the} disposal of which would

printed at pp. 87-89 of
appendix to B. S. G. (No. 33.)

vide Rule 1

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with plan to be ret'd.

9/10/97

seem to require the sanction of Govt. It is stated that the land is of practically no use as a village grazing ground, not being accessible to the village cattle except by a passage through reserved forest, and that there is enough ~~of~~ pasture available in the remaining portion of settlement No 369.

There would thus seem to be no objection to the grant of the site, ^{but it is submitted that} ~~it is however a question~~

~~whether~~ the disposal of the ^{present} application ~~must not be made to~~

await the disposal of draft rules now under consideration, which ~~have been~~ revised so

~~as to~~ provide for a periodical revision of assessment. ~~It~~

~~Finally be noted that~~ In order to prevent delay in the disposal of applications for land, the Board of Rs directed, in para 2 of B. P. 1230,

^{7.5.91} that waste lands in the ~~Wynad~~ Shewaroy, the Nilgiris and in the Wynad ~~shall~~ continue to be disposed of as heretofore, subject to the proviso, to be satisfied

vide Rule XVIII of the A Rules at p. 67 of the appendix to B. P. G. (1933)

printed at p. 7 of B. P. ⁶³⁰ ~~28.10.11~~

by the Collr to all applicants and bidders that the sale of each lot ~~will be~~ ^{will} be conditional on the acceptance by the purchaser of a patta drawn up in accordance with the recent orders of the Govt of India, in lieu of a ~~title deed~~ title-deed in the existing form. These instructions have been approved by Govt, but cases like the present where ~~it is a question whether~~ disposal is not by sale, ^{will not} ~~of~~ in cases like the present ~~will~~ be covered by the Collector's notice.

Rev
2.3.99

28
2-8-99

Levy

There seems no reason why Mr Pascoe should not get the land on patta subject provision but he should if it is submitted he ~~is~~ required to pay ~~a~~ a price (to be fixed by the Collector) per acre as well as the

value of the trees.

Note the case where
Mr W.K. Arbutnot
was granted a piece
of land for a tea
factory.

11/13/89
H.M.
10/31

As I understand it this is a
piece of waste land available
for backwash, and then there is
no special ground for putting
up to auction -

Part II, rule 10, does not seem to
contemplate the demand of a
price in addition to the amount
in cases such as this -
If we leave it to the lot to fix a
price to bid. apparently fix a
nominal sum & have the then
make the disposal more regular
or less open to objection?
I wd. simply sanction the
Board's recommendation -

W.
14-3-99.

To C.S.

Please see draft order on

sheet below.

2. Should it not be expressly
stated that the assessment
is only for revision?
16-3-99

2 Asst
11/13/89
H.M.
10/31
Please see 11/13/89
16-3-99

11/13/89
H.M.
10/31

FOR ORDERS.

in p. 42
12.1.99

Recd Bd's Ref B. No. 30 of 18.2.99
(Cus 1267).

Order No. 10223 of 24 March 1899

The Board's recom-
mendation is sanctioned.
The usual stipulation
that the assessment
imposed is subject to periodical
revision

11/13/89
H.M.
10/31
To
The Board of Rev. L.R.
with the plan
No. 28-3-99
W.S.
16-3-99
R.S.
18-3-99

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.D. --

A == Copy in full.
B == Not to be copied.
F == Copy abstract only.
Q == Copy heading only.