

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1523

Sp 11/3/99

From The Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)
Madras

Order Mis. No. 1044 of 11th March 99

The late Tahsildar's request for the grant to him of a copy of Board's Reference No. 142-Petr. d. 13-9-98, is inadmissible.

11/3/99

Ref. E. No. 8

Dated 28th February 1899.

Received } 2nd March
Registered }

Enclosures —

Spare copies —

To the Board of Rev. L.R.
P.C. 10.3.99.
Des. 15-3-99

SUBJECT.

Requesting the orders of Govt. for the grant to M. A. Raj. C. Cooposwami, late Tahsildar, Dharmapuri, of a copy of a document applied for by him.

Yada

Madras, 28th February 1899.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
50	244	Rw	17.1.99
50	321	Rw	16.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

READ— Endorsement of Robert B. Clegg Esq, ag.
Collector of Salem, No. 214 dated 16th February
1899.

Reference No. 8 dated 28th February 1899.

M. R. Ry. C. Kappaswami Aiga;
late Tahsildar, Dharmapuri, Salem
District, having applied for a copy of
Board's Reference No. 142 (Petition)
dated 13th September 1898 disposed of
by Government Order No. 244 Revenue
Misc. dated 17th January 1899, the
Board requests the orders of Govern-
ment as to whether the copy may
be granted.

Lionel Swetson

ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

CB

Isda

Board's Reference E. No. 8, L.R.

d. 28-2-99. (Cus. 1523/99)

To Under Secretary

M. R. Dy. C. Kuppaswami
Dyer, late Tahsildar,
Sharmapur taluk, Salem
district, who was in June
last reduced by Govt. to
the post of Taluk Head
Accountant, has applied
to the Board for a copy of
Board's Reference No. 142 Peti-
tion d. 13-9-98 with which
it forwarded to Govt. his
review petition disposed of
in G.O. No. 244 Rev. d. 17.1.99.
The Board requests the orders
of Govt. as to the grant
of the copy. In the paper
applied for, the Board
observed as follows:—

"As Govt. is aware, the
"Board considered in April 1899
"that reduction from a
"fourth to a fifth grade
"Tahsildarship was a
Sufficient

G.O. No. 321 D. 1.6.98.

Q

P

R

P

Vide para 4 of B.P. in
G.O. 321 D. 1.6.98. Q

"sufficient punishment. If
 "it were to appear on enquiry
 "that the appellants con-
 "duct as a fifth grade
 "Dahildar for the inter-
 "vening period of over two
 "years has been satisfac-
 "tory, it would in the
 "Board's opinion afford
 "some ground for a favour-
 "able consideration of the
 "present appeal." [see the review
 "petition].
 The late Dahildar having
 failed with the Government
 in obtaining ^{to obtain} a ^{favourable} reconsidera-
 tion of the order redrawing him
 to a Head Accountant's post,
^{probably wishes to}
~~may like to chance an~~
 appeal to either the Govt.
 of India or the Secretary
 of State; and it is appa-
 rently for this purpose
 that he has applied for a
 copy of the Board's Proceedings P
 on his review petition. There
 is nothing confidential,
 it is submitted, in the
 paper 3105

Go. No. 2447 17.1.99. R

Vide Go. No. 2715 d
 27-6-98. U

paper applied for, but
 it is a question for con-
 sideration whether the
 late Dahildar need get
 a copy of it. Orders are
 requested. The Dep. Secy.
 P. 3. 99.
 Are there any precedents
 for granting such ph.
 4/3/89

To Under Secretary

S

In Go. No. 2740 d. 29-6-98
 the Govt. refused Mr. R. R.
 Jayaraja Rao, late Huzur
 Sarshtadar in the Kelgiri
 district, the grant of copy
 of the Board's Proceedings in
 which the Board expressed
 the view that he should not
 be dismissed from the
 Service except on the result
 of a criminal prosecution,
 a view which was overruled
 by the Govt. in ordering his
 dismissal - Go. 2340 27. 4. 98
 Mr. R. R. Sundaram Aiyar,
 late Dy. Dahildar, Ootacamund,
 who was dismissed by Go. No.
 2015. 5. 4. 98 was refused the

T

grant of copy of the Board's
Proceedings in which it
refused to accept the Collector's
recommendation for his dismissal,
but only suspended him for
six months. Attention is
in the present connection
invited to the Under Secretary's
note at pp. 3-5 of the notes
stitched with G.O. No. 4641 d
25.11.98. An extract from
the note referred to is repro-
duced below for facility of
reference. - "The principle....
" is that a dismissed public
" servant should have all
" the papers necessary to
" enable him to make his
" appeal to higher authority,
" i.e. he should know the
" reason for his dismissal.
" But there seems to be no
" good reason why he should
" be furnished with any
" paper showing that dif-
" ferent opinions were
" held as to his case by
" authorities subordinate
" to Govt. or other authority

V

" dismissing him."

76/3/99

Levy

I would submit that
the way asked for may be
refused. It is not relevant
to the merits of the case
that the Bd. on one occasion
made a suggestion as
to the course to be adopted
by the Govt. in disposing
of the Salutaris review
petition.

76/3/99

Certainly: the opinion of a
subordinate authority which
was not acted upon is
not necessarily to be given

8/193/8

To Under Secretary.

Please see draft order
on the docket of the
current.

76/3/99

1/3

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

use
 or dir

an
 to Govt. or other authority

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1044

DATED 11th March 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the request of Mr. Ry. C. Kup-
pawani Dyer, late Sahildar, Dharmapuri,
Salem dt, for the grant to him of a copy of
Board's Refce. No. 142 Petn d 13.9.98, is
inadmissible.