

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Mis	2425	Rev	6.6.98				
"	4275	"	3.11.98				
"	43	"	5.1.99				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Mis	2086	Rev	9.6.99				
Cons	2644	999					
Rev	457	Rev	13.7.99				

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1532

Lead again Eudt. No. 43 of 5.1.99.
also B.P. (S.R.) Mis. No. 825
of 23.2.99 (C. No. 1532)

Endorsement for 1043 7/11 March 99

The rate of transhipment fee - one anna per bale or package proposed by the Board of Revenue is ~~not~~ ^{Order} approved.

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue, Madras.

Mis. No. 825

The Board of Revenue is requested to be good enough to consult the Chamber of Commerce, Madras, as desired by the Govt of India, as to whether it sees any objection to the levy of a transhipment fee at the port of Madras as one anna a bale or package.

Dated 23rd February 1899.

Received } 2nd March
Registered }

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting to Government that the Board accepts the Government of India's proposal to levy a fee of one anna on each package transhipped at the port of Madras, and stating that, if Government thinks the Board's reasons for doing so sufficient, a suggestion to levy a fee at this rate will be placed before the Chamber of Commerce as desired.

The Board of Revenue (S.R.)
Dec. 15-2-99

Note.

The Board originally proposed to levy a fee of three pices a bale or package; but at the suggestion of the Govt of India it now raises the rate to one anna, which ^{was} ~~is~~ stated to be the lowest rate charged elsewhere.

If the fee is approved by
K.D. 6-3-99 Refer to the C. & C. 8/2/99

1A/33 P.F.O.

23

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
X under G.O.	No. 43 5.1.99		
para 2 of ~~that~~ letter ~~was~~			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	para 3 ~~that~~		
	C. no. 2644 of 99		

To Secy.

In its letter No. 5466

S.R. of 16.12.98, the Govt. of India suggested a reference to the Chamber of Commerce, apparently with the object of knowing whether that body had any objection to the levy of a transshipment fee. It suggested also a reconsideration of the rate of fee before the reference was made. The rate having now been settled, the Chamber of Commerce has to be consulted.

KD

9-3-99

The draft may
be ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~of~~



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue).

Dated 23rd February

1899.

Mis. No. 825

Read endorsement of Government, Revenue Department,

Mis. No. 43, dated 5th January 1899, and

Board's reference thereon No. 38, dated 12th January

1899.

Read also letter from J.N. Atkinson Esquire, I.C.S.,
Collector of Sea Customs, Madras, No. 905 G, dated 9th February
1899.

Resolution.

In its endorsement Mis. No. 43, dated 5th January 1899,
Government called for report on the enquiry of the Government
of India in para 3 of its letter No. 5466, S.R., dated 16th De-
cember 1898, as to ^{the} sufficiency of the rate of transshipment fee
proposed to be levied in Madras. The letter suggested that
the transshipment fee should be so fixed as to provide a reason-
able and certain margin beyond the actual expenditure, and that
the cost of general management and supervision in connection
with transshipment should also be included in the estimate of

under G.O. 43
5.1.99

expenses. It was further pointed out that one anna per package was the lowest rate in other maritime provinces, and suggested for consideration whether the rate of three pies per package proposed for Madras will suffice to cover expenses as explained above.

2. The Collector estimates the average number of packages at 2,000 per mensem. If the rate be fixed at one anna per package this will yield a revenue of Rs.1,500 per annum. As it is found that the boat which has been received from the Kidderpore Dockyard for the use of the Customs officers supervising transhipment is larger and heavier than was anticipated, the following estimate of charges is now framed:-

Interest on Rs.700, cost of boat with fittings, at 6 per cent-----	Rs.42
Interest on Rs.600, cost of a pair of davits with pulleys etc-----	Rs.36
Depreciation at 10 per cent on Rs.1,300 for boat and davits-----	"130
Four boatmen at Rs.10 each per mensem-----	"480
Uniform for the boatmen at Rs.15 each (1 serge and 2 khaki suits each)-----	" 60
	<u>748</u>

If the cost of general management and supervision is taken at one pie per package it would amount to Rs.125 per annum, and the total charge would be Rs.873, leaving a margin of Rs.627.

But the Board doubts whether even four boatmen, as above provided, will be found sufficient. If five men are required, the net revenue will be reduced to Rs.507. The Collector also thinks that an allowance should be made for possible falling off in transhipment. A fee of nine pies per package would meet the proposed expendi-

expenditure and leave a sufficient margin, but ^{till} experiment has been made, it might be safest to start with the fee current elsewhere.

3. The Board would therefore accept the Government of India's proposal to levy a fee of one anna. If Government thinks its reasons for doing so sufficient it will place a suggestion to levy fees at this rate before the Chamber of Commerce as desired.

(True extract.)

G. J. Alankar
Acting Secretary.

28-2-11

Handwritten calculations:

$$\begin{array}{r} 748 \\ 135 \\ \hline 883 \\ 125 \\ \hline 1008 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1500 \\ 1508 \\ \hline 492 \end{array}$$

Handwritten calculations:

$$\begin{array}{r} 873 \\ 125 \\ \hline 998 \end{array}$$

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
with enclosure returned
Copy to the Collector of Sea Customs, Madras.

25

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1043

DATED 11th March 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Board of Revenue
to consult the Chamber of Commerce, Madras as
to whether it has any objection to the levy at the
port of Madras of a transhipment fee at one
anna per bale or package.