

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue
Annual

Public (1899) Department.

1660
CURRENT No. ~~1660~~

N

From
The India Office
London

Order Endorsement: - N° 667, d/7-3-99.
Transferred to the Revenue
Department.

Indicative No. 1

Admiralty
Under Secretary.

Dated 2/2 2. 99
Received
Registered } 84. 3. 99
Enclosures one.
Spare copies -

ML.
6/3/99

20 Mis. no 1042 d/11th March '99.

Communicated to the Comptroller
for necessary action.

ML
16/3/99

The Comptroller of the Treasury
Adm. General.

9/3/99

The Revenue Department

SUBJECT.

Requesting that the sum of
£ 100. 12. 6 incurred on ac-
count of costs on behalf of
the Respondent in the appeal
a Privy Council preferred
by the Rajah of Bobbili
may be recovered.

16/3/99
15-3-99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 1062

110.

INDIA OFFICE,

LONDON. 2nd FEBRUARY 1899.

JUDICIAL,

No. 1.

TO HIS EXCELLENCY THE HONOURABLE THE GOVERNOR

IN COUNCIL FORT ST. GEORGE.

Sir,

With reference to the Letter of Your Excellency's Government, No. 1 of the 26th January 1897, I have now to report the course of the proceedings taken in the matter of the appeal to the Privy Council preferred by the Rajah of Bobbili.

2. On the 7th January 1898 this Department was informed that the appeal was dismissed for non-prosecution but through the action of the Appellants Solicitors the case was re-instated on the undertaking of the Appellant to proceed with the case with despatch.

On the appeal coming on for hearing on the 26th April last Counsel for the appellant applied for a postponement on the ground of the death of the Respondent. This was confirmed by a telegram from your Government of the 26th

April

vide Sir Arthur
Wilson's letter
4/27-4-98.
K E

X
No.4110 (Mis.)
Dated 20th
October 1898.

H F

vide letter under
Encl No 2053
G. 11-5-98

April last. The cause therefore stood abated, and in reply

to a communication from my Legal Adviser it was stated in a

X
letter from your Secretary that the Court of Wards had no
further interest in the Chidikada Estate.

3. It only remains therefore for your Government to take
what steps may be open to it to recover the sum of £180.12.6
on account of costs incurred on behalf of the Respondent,
as shown in the enclosed Bill. This I request may be done.

4. Copies of the Appellant's and Respondent's cases have
already been furnished to Your Excellency's Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient humble Servant,

George Handley

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 189
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 189 .

9

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1042

DATED 11th March 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Comm. to the Court of wards Despatch
from the Secy. of State regarding the
recovery of the costs incurred on behalf
of the Respondent in the Chidikada
Privy Council Appeal.