

Revenue (1898) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 84

Dated 11 th February 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning an increase to the
tax of kamams in certain villages of
the Dharmapuri Taluk, Salem Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT, No. 525.

*Printed
11/2/98*

610

From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue
Madras

No. 138 Mis

Dated - 15th January 1898.

Received 19/1/98

Registered 20/1/98

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Govt., with the Board's recommendation, Salem Collector's proposal to raise the pay of certain karnams in the Dharmapuri Taluk.

Order No. 840 of 11th Feb 1898. 28 9/2/98

The collector's proposal which is supported by the Board of Revenue is sanctioned.

2. It is presumed that the additional cost can be met from the provision in the Village Service Fund Budget.

8/3/98

To the Bd. of Rev. (L.R.)
u u A.G.

17 Feb 98.

25/1/98.

23-2-98

27/2/98

3,000
10-12-96.

170

No. 138 Misc., dated 15th January 1898.

Read Letter from C.H.Mounsey Esquire, Collector

Madras, No. 6621, dated 17th December 1897.

:0:

52

Submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Collector's proposal to raise the pay

of karmams

Present Establishment				Proposed Estbt.			
Name of Taraf	Name of village	Average Beriz	No. of kar-nams	Pay	No. of kar-nams	Pay	Remarks
(1) Totihalli	(1) Totihalli	Rs.				Rs.	
	(2) Guddahalli						
	(3) Bathalahalli	1516	1	35-0.5	1	48	
	(4) Battagathana-halli						
(2) Kasunahalli	Kasunahalli	126	1	2-15.4	1	36	
(5) Guddanahalli	(1) Guddanahalli						
	(2) Alakanahalli	522	1	11-14.6	1	56	
(4) Annamalahalli	Annamalahalli	723	1	16-2.4	1	36	
(5) Kithanahalli	Kithanahalli	751	1	16-11.4	1	36	

lages in question, are paid from the village Service Fund.

(True Extract)

Receiv

Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with Collector's
Letter and statement in original to be ret'd,
Copy to the Collector of Salem.

Md.R.H.

Government (1898) of Madras.
REVENUE.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 84, 11th February 1898.

FEBRUARY.

Pay of Karnams.

Sanctioning an increase to the — in certain villages of the Dharmapuri taluk, Salem district.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis.
No. 138, dated 15th January 1898:—

Read—the following letter from C. H. MOUNSEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 17th December 1897, No. 6621 :—

I have the honour to submit the following proposals for raising the pay of the karnams of the marginally-possessed:

1. Totihalli,
2. Gandaganahalli,
3. Battalahalli,
4. Battagathanahalli,
5. Kasuvanahalli, one karnam.
6. Guddanahalli,
7. Alakanahalli,
8. Annamalahalli, one karnam.
9. Kithanahalli, do.

villages have been surveyed and were settled by the Settlement Department in 1886. But the pay of the village establishment was not revised. The karnams are being paid from the time the villages were bought in by Government, at the rate of 2½ per cent. on the beriz of the villages and the munsifs at 1¾ per cent., which were the rates, respectively, obtaining in the district prior to the introduction of the settlement. The vettis are paid with reference to the deductions made in the accounts at the time of the permanent settlement. There are no separate talayaris.

2. A statement showing the average beriz of the villages for the five years ending fasli 1306, the present and proposed salary of the establishment as recommended by the Sub-Collector, is herewith submitted. The Sub-Collector proposes to revise the salaries of the munsifs and vettis also, and provides talayaris for the proposed tarafs. I am of opinion that, as in the case of the Bommidi villages of the Kadathur mitta, in the Uttankarai taluk, bought in by Government, in regard to which the Board in Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1686, dated 13th April 1896, sanctioned an increase of salary to the karnams alone, the karnams' case may be dealt with at present; and the pay of the munsifs and vettis may be revised at the revision of village establishment for the whole district sanctioned in Board's Proceedings, No. 296, dated 3rd August 1896. My reason for saying so is chiefly due to the fact that work of these classes of servants has not increased in any way, whereas the karnam's work has increased considerably. They have to prepare accounts for the villages in the forms prescribed in the manual, attend to survey work and be at the taluk at the time of jamabandi and for the reconciliation of village and taluk accounts about the end of the fasli, &c. The scale of pay to the karnams is as shown in columns 14 and 15 of the statement enclosed, and it is fixed with reference to the pay drawn by the karnams of the adjoining Government villages similarly circumstanced. This proposal deprives one karnam (of the village Kasuvanahalli, of which the beriz is Rs. 126) of his appointment. His claims will be considered in reference to a vacancy which may occur in future.

3. The proposed scale will be brought into effect from January 1898.

[illegible]

Resolution—Mis. No. 138, dated 15th January 1898.

Submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Collector's proposal to raise the pay of karnams in the villages noted below may be approved as a temporary measure, pending the revision of village establishments of the district:—

Name of taraf.	Present establishment.				Proposed establishment.		
	Name of village.	Average beriz.	Number of karnams.	Pay.	Number of karnams.	Pay.	Remarks.
(1) Totihalli	(1) Totihalli	RS.		RS. A. P.		RS.	The present and proposed salaries are for the year.
	(2) Gandaganahalli						
	(3) Battalahalli						
	(4) Battagathana-halli						
	(5) Kasuvanahalli						
(2) Kasuvanahalli	(1) Kasuvanahalli	126	1	2 13 4	1	36	
(3) Guddanahalli	(2) Guddanahalli	522	1	11 14 6	1	36	
(4) Annamalahalli	(2) Alakanahalli	723	1	16 2 4	1	36	
(5) Kithanahalli	Annamalahalli	751	1	16 11 4	1	36	
	Kithanahalli						

2. It is presumed that the establishments of the villages in question are paid from the Village Service fund.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Collector's letter and statement,
in original, to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of Salem.

ORDER—No. 84, Revenue, dated 11th February 1898.

Miscellaneous.

The Collector's proposal, which is supported by the Board of Revenue, is sanctioned.

2. It is presumed that the additional cost can be met from the provision in the Village Service Fund budget.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue),
with enclosures.

„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

40 Copies.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

138

REMARKS No.

REMARKS TO G. No.

REMARKS TO GOVERNMENT

REMARKS TO GOVERNMENT

REMARKS TO GOVERNMENT

PAPERS NOT FILED IN, BEING MEANT FOR DEPOSIT
IN ORIGINAL.

REMARKS

A = not to be printed.
B = not to be printed.
P = printed only.
Q = printed only.

3-12-10