

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4980

DATED 16th December

[Despatch Abstract.]

Coming to the Board of Rev. Profs: P.W. Dept. Comg
to the officers & dept. concerned with the Chief Engr. for
irrigation stating, with remark, that the second
appeal in the Palla-pollicum Channel case was dis-
missed on technical grounds without reference
to the merits of the case & sanctioning the payment
of fees amounting to Rs 205, to the advocate-
General & the Govt. Pleader

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue Dep^t

IRRIGATION.
ESTABLISHMENT.


Government (1898) of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Current No. 8017

Recd. } 23. 11. } 1898.
Regd. }

Enclosures

Spare copies



G.O., No. 888 I., 11th November 1898.

Sh
16/12

NOVEMBER.

G.O. No. 4980 of 15 Dec 1898

Communicated to
the Board of Revenue.

RS
25-11-98

My
25/11/98

mine

17 To the Board of Rev (Land Rev)

Pallapolliem Channel Case

RS
24-11-98

24.17.12.98

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation stating, with remark, that the second appeal in the — was dismissed on technical grounds without reference to the merits of the case, communicated to the officers and department concerned; and the payment of fees, amounting to Rs. 205, to the Advocate-General and the Government Pleader, sanctioned.

When is the
judgment?
h
27th
not received.

Ensil
25-11-98
then!

RS
24-11-98
h
30th

Obtained and put up.

RS
13-12-98.

See
25/12

No. 888 I., 11th November 1898.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Government Pleader, dated 21st October 1898, No. 956.
" Advocate-General, dated 26th October 1898.

No. 283 I.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

The Advocate-General and the Government Pleader, Madras, forward copies of the decree and judgment in the appeal suit referred to in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 718 I., dated 19th September 1898. The appeal was dismissed on technical grounds without reference to the merits of the case. The payment of the fees claimed, aggregating Rs. 205, may be sanctioned.

3rd November 1898.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

ORDER—No. 888 I., dated 11th November 1898.

Communicated to the officers and department concerned, with reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 718 I., dated 19th September 1898.

2. The payment of the fees, viz., Rs. 205, is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

J. T. Gillespie

Under Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" all Superintending Engineers.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" W. C. Lewis, Esq.
" the Revenue Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

In the High Court of Judicature at Madras.

Thursday
Tuesday, the first day of September,

One thousand Eight hundred and Ninety-eight.

Present:—

The Honourable Mr-H.H. Shephard, Officiating Chief Justice -
and

The Honourable Mr. Justice Moore .

Second Appeal No. 1092 of 1897.

1 Putti Ranganna Char, 2 Putti Krishnier, 3 Moonoosami } Appellants
Naidu, 4 Singa Aiyangar, 5 Koss Venkata Royar, 6 Ragunatha } (Plaintiffs 1, 2
4 to 7 and 9)
Narasinga Rao, 7 Muthusami Mudaliar

W. C. Lewis Esquire, Late Executive Engineer
Coimbatore District.

} Respondent
(1-Defendant)

Second Appeal against the Decree of the District Court
of Coimbatore in Appeal Suit No. 84 of 1896 reversing the
decree of the court of the District Munsif of Karur in
Original Suit No. 925 of 1894.

This Second Appeal coming on for hearing the Court
delivered the following:—

Judgment:— We dismiss ~~this~~ this appeal on the ground that there
are no proper parties before us. Against Mr. Lewis who has left
the District an injunction would be admittedly useless.

We express no opinion on the merits.

We must dismiss the appeal with costs.

By the Court

(Signed) J. T. Gillespie,

Dy. Registrar,
Appellate Side.

(True Copy.)

M. A. Jayarama Murthy
Head Clerk
P. W. Secretariat

Exd.
NE

In the High Court of Judicature ^{at} Madras.

~~Tuesday~~ ^{Thursday}, the first day of September,

One thousand Eight hundred and Ninety-eight.

Present:-

The Honourable Mr. H. H. Shephard, Officiating Chief Justice,

and

The Honourable Mr. Justice Moore.

Second Appeal No. 1092 of 1897.

1. Putti Rangannachar, 2. Putti Krishnier, 3. Moonnoosami
Naidu, 4. Singa. Aiyangar, 5. Koo Venkata Royar

6. Ragunatha Narasinga Rao, 7. Muthusami Mudaliar

W. C. Lewis Esquire, Late Executive Engineer,
Coimbatore District.

Appellants
(Plaintiffs
1, 2, 4 to 7 and
9)
Respondent
(1st Deft)

Second Appeal against the Decree of the District Court
of Coimbatore in Appeal Suit No. 84 of 1896 reversing the
Decree of the Court of the District Munsif of Karur in
Original Suit No. 925 of 1894.

Decree:- This Second Appeal coming on for hearing: Upon peru-
sing the grounds of Appeal, the Judgments and Decree of the
Lower Appellate Court and Court of First Instance and the
material papers in the Suit.; and upon hearing the arguments
of Mr. K. Brown Counsel and Mr. K. Narayana Rao, Vakil for the
Appellants and of the Honourable the Advocate General for the
Respondent this Court doth order and decree that the decree
of the Lower Appellate Court be, and the same hereby is con-
firmed, and this Appeal dismissed and this Court doth further
order and decree that the Appellants do pay to the Respondent
Rs. 53-5-9 for his costs in opposing this Second Appeal.

Memorandum

Memorandum of Costs.

Respondents. (1 Defendants.) Costs Rs. A. P.

Stamp for Vakalatnama. " " "

Pleader's fee on Rs. 100 under 31. (a) H.C.R.
dated, 1st May, 1893. } 5 " "

One printed copy purchased
Translation charge } 48 5 9

To be paid to the Respondent. (1st Left.) by } 53-5-9
the Appellants. (Pliffs. 1, 2, 4 to 7 and 9). }

(Signed) J. T. Gillespie &

Dy. Registrar,

Appellate Side.

(True Copy)

M. A. Jayarama Iyengar
Head Clerk, P.W. Secretariat.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O. No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
E = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.