

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4979

DATED 16th December 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording the reply given to a question put at the meeting of the Legislative Council on the 13th December 1898 regarding the alleged prosecution for the alleged firing of a reserved forest near Chhatrapur in Godavari D.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Question proposed to be asked
at the meeting of the Legislative
Council to be held on the
13th December 1898.

The Hon'ble N. SUBBA RAO PANTULU :—

XXXIII. (a) Is it a fact that in May last Messrs. Hogg and Francis of the 4th Hussars, Secunderabad Division, came for shikari purposes to the Chintalapudi division in the Gódvári district and set fire to a reserved forest at Mankinavarigudem, about 12 miles off Chintalapudi, and that they were ordered to be prosecuted for the said act by the District Forest officer?

(b) Will Government be pleased to state the result of the proceedings instituted against them?

(c) Is it a fact that, on the same occasion, they went to Chintalapudi and that, at about 8 p.m. on the 17th May, one of them, Mr. Francis, accompanied by some armed servants entered the compound of the Deputy Tahsildar of Chintalapudi during the absence of the said officer, and, without any sufficient cause, beat his cook and peon, who were then attending on his sleeping children?

(d) Is it a fact that, at about 10 p.m. on the same day, Mr. Hogg broke open the door and entered into the house of the Deputy Tahsildar and insulted the Deputy Tahsildar by using foul language and by other acts, and that when the police came, Mr. Hogg's attendants, about ten in number, who had accompanied him on the occasion armed, drew their swords, presented their guns and threatened to fire, and that Mr. Hogg created disturbance in spite of the presence of the local officers including the hospital assistant, the sub-registrar, the forest ranger, and the inspector of police and others,—all because the village officers apparently failed to meet instantly the demand of Messrs. Hogg and Francis to be supplied with some men and cots, which the Deputy Tahsildar had ordered the village officers to supply as soon as he received intimation of the same.

(e) Whether Government is aware that the conduct of Messrs. Hogg and Francis under the colour of the British uniform created a commotion in the village and that the police were helpless on the occasion.

(f) Is it a fact that the Deputy Tahsildar brought the above circumstances to the notice of the District Collector and Magistrate, Gódvári, and asked for permission to prosecute Messrs. Hogg and Francis at the expense of the State, and that the said District Collector and Magistrate either declined to grant permission as requested or recorded the report of the Deputy Tahsildar?

(g) If Government is not in possession of the facts mentioned above, will Government be pleased to call for a report in the matter and take such steps as it may consider proper to maintain the prestige and dignity of the offices held by its civil officers at Chintalapudi?

Secy. The Legislative Dept. asks this
Dept. for a draft answer to the
above question, pleadingly
because questions (a) & (b)
relate to a forest prosecution.
Nothing is known about
this in this office. Perhaps
Jude may have received
a report from the District

mayhate. Should the
Sd & also be asked?

llh
7.12.98

Telephone to the Board
ask them if they
have any information
at present in their
Office.

It is

Telephone
Sd Secy R.

Have you any
information concerning
~~prosecution~~ a
prosecution
of two Russian Officers
Hoff & Francis by the
District Forest Officer
Godavari for the
alleged firing
of a reserved forest
near Chinatalapudi
in Godavari. If so
I am to ask that the
to the Govt
it may be commd very
urgently as they are
regimed with reference to
a question proposed to be
asked at the next Legl Council meeting Dec 11/98

Despatch sharp
llh
7/12

for concerning any complaint
made against the
Officer of the Dy Tahildar
of Chinatalapudi

llh
17/2.0
7.11.98
Please to reply
by 8.11.98

0

8

8-12

This question has
come before me
already, I suppose
from C.S. in
Judicial -
I think Chief Sec-
proposed that a
report be called for -
No objection to this
I think llh
8-12-98

Secy

The Board knows
nothing of the matter
and has received no
report.

llh
7/12/98

Hill

he may reply:-

The Govt has no in-
formation of the facts & matters
alleged in the question, nor
so far as can be ascertained
has the Board of Revenue
received any report.
The Govt sees no sufficient
ground for calling for a report.
as to the truth
of the allegations...

Sd Secy R

INDIAN ~~TELE~~ TELEGRAPHS. *Monthly Number.*

From
(Office)

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

**Official
Instructions.**

From _____
(Person)

No information regarding your
condition is at this point. I
am so tired and think it is un-
wise to say anything that might
be misinterpreted.

64 Word Form.

CONF

LN.

Sent to

LN.

48

Pr2

Mts. by...

41

Exs.

Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

The Hon'ble Mr. N. SUBBA RAO PANTULU :—

XXXII (a) Is it a fact that in May last Messrs. Hogg and Francis of the 4th Hussars, Secunderabad Division, came for shikari purposes to the Chintalapudi division in the Gódvári district and set fire to a reserved forest at Mankinavarigudem, about 12 miles off Chintalapudi, and that they were ordered to be prosecuted for the said act by the District Forest-officer?

(b) Will Government be pleased to state the result of the proceedings instituted against them?

(c) Is it a fact that, on the same occasion, they went to Chintalapudi and that, at about 8 p.m. on the 17th May, one of them, Mr. Francis, accompanied by some armed servants entered the compound of the Deputy Tahsildar of Chintalapudi during the absence of the said officer, and, without any sufficient cause, beat his cook and peon, who were then attending on his sleeping children?

(d) Is it a fact that, at about 10 p.m. on the same day, Mr. Hogg broke open the door and entered into the house of the Deputy Tahsildar and insulted the Deputy Tahsildar by using foul language and by other acts, and that when the police came, Mr. Hogg's attendants, about ten in number, who had accompanied him on the occasion armed, drew their swords, presented their guns and threatened to fire, and that Mr. Hogg created disturbance in spite of the presence of the local officers including the hospital assistant, the sub-registrar, the forest ranger, and the inspector of police and others,—all because the village officers apparently failed to meet instantly the demand of Messrs. Hogg and Francis to be supplied with some men and cots, which the Deputy Tahsildar had ordered the village officers to supply as soon as he received intimation of the same?

(e) Whether Government is aware that the conduct of Messrs. Hogg and Francis under the colour of the British uniform created a commotion in the village and that the police were helpless on the occasion?

(f) Is it a fact that the Deputy Tahsildar brought the above circumstances to the notice of the District Collector and Magistrate, Gódvári, and asked for permission to prosecute Messrs. Hogg and Francis at the expense of the State, and that the said District Collector and Magistrate either declined to grant permission as requested or recorded the report of the Deputy Tahsildar?

(g) If Government is not in possession of the facts mentioned above, will Government be pleased to call for a report in the matter and take such steps as it may consider proper to maintain the prestige and dignity of the offices held by its civil officers at Chintalapudi?

The Hon'ble Mr.

XXXIII. The Government has no information ^{on the subject} of the matters alleged in the question, nor so far as can be ascertained, has the Board of Revenue received any report.

The Government sees no sufficient ground for calling for a report ^{but} as to the ^{will be called} truth of the allegations.

P. O. No. 4979 of 16th Dec 1898.

Recorded

16th Dec 1898

checked
current
16th Dec 1898

Ra

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
189 ,

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.