0/9 2,000—5-8-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4963–4964

DATED 15th December 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning an estimate of Rs 125-
for the cost of demarcation of the boundary between
the Imlapad & Chilukur villages, Hyderabad District.
Krishna Dt.

Letter

Enquiring whether the Hyderabad
Darbar will be willing to bear a moiety of
the cost of demarcating the Imlapad-Chilu

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cur.	271/99						
Inco	249 7-7-99						
Cur	3714/99						
G.O.	2137	Revenue	17-8-99				

REVENUE

~~Political~~ (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4053.

Order

From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue, Madras.

No. 1155 Ref.

Dated 12th October 1898

Received 14

Registered 14

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting the probable cost of demarcating the boundary between Irallapad, Nandigama Taluk and Chilukur, in H.H. the Nizam's dominions.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE),
Madras, 12th October 1898 ~~189~~ .

READ—Endorsement of R.Morris,Esquire,Acting Collector of Kistna,Dis.No.5459/genl. of 1898,dated 26th September 1898, reporting the probable cost of demarcation of the settled boundary between Irallapad,Nandigama Taluk and Chilukur, Nizam's Dominions

---

Reference No. 1155 dated 12th October 1898 ~~1898.~~

With reference to para 2 of Government Order,No.1753 Misc.,dated 23rd April 1898, the Board begs leave to report that the cost of demarcating the boundary between the British village of Irallapad and His Highness the Nizam's village of Chilukur has been estimated by the special Deputy Collector for survey,Kistna at Rs.195 as shown below: ~~cost of 14 pillars~~

Cost of 14 pillars at Rs.12 each	Rs 168
~~Cost~~ Cost of supervision by a surveyor during the planting of stones and of such survey as may be subsequently required	Rs. 27
Total	Rs. 195

The Collector has expressed approval of this estimate and the Board accordingly submits it for the sanction of Government.

(True Extract)

Lowell Davidson.

Ag.Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government,Revenue Department.

Rd.R.H.

Ala

D 1,000—8-4-97.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 705-3/98

From the Board of Rev: Land Rev:

Rf. No. 1155 Dated 12. 10. 1898.

FOR ORDERS.

in C.O. 819, page 4.
28.9.97

To the Undersecy

In the memorandum of the boundary dispute between ~~Krallapad~~ Krallapad (British territory) and Chilukur (Nizam's territory), drawn up by Mr. F.A. Coleridge, Asstt Colr, Kistna, and Mr Mohomed Mushid-ud-din Asstt Supdt, Rev: Survey, Hyderabad, ~~confirming~~ the adoption of a certain line of boundary was suggested for approval and demarcation if approved. The proposed line having been accepted by the Nizam's Govt, the Board of Rev: was instructed ~~to~~ in para 2 of C. Orn. 1753, Rev. dy 23.4.98, to obtain and submit in due course to Govt an estimate of the cost of demarcating this line.

2. In the Progs: read above the Board of Rev: reports that the cost of the proposed demarcation has been estimated by the Survey Dy Collector, Kistna

at Rs 195; and, the Collector of Kistna having approved of this estimate, submits it for the sanction of Govt.

3. The charge is small and may perhaps be debited 3-A. Land Rev, under which a sum of Rs 2800 under 'Supplies & services', Sub-Abstract establishment is provided in the revised estimate for 1898-99 on account of the cost of the purchase of demarcation stones. It is however a question whether, with reference to the Accountant-General's remarks in his letter No. 2839 of 14-9-97 in C.G. No. $\frac{847}{11\text{-}10\text{-}97}$ Fin, the Charge should not be debited to 25- Political - Miscellaneous - Imperial - Demarcation of boundaries between British Territories and Native States. It should also be considered whether a moiety of the Cost should not be borne by the Nizam's Administration.

B.P. $\frac{262}{30\text{-}9\text{-}98}$

S in C.G. $\frac{5468}{23\text{-}10\text{-}97}$ Rev.

Rev
11-11-98

RS
16-11-98.

Secy

The Fin Dept may be consulted as to the head of Debit; & the Resident at Hyderabad advised as regard the question of the State having to bear a moiety of demarcation charges.

RECEIVED
20 NOV 1898

98.

Financial Depart.

Rev. C. No. 7063

Note

The Charge should properly be debited to -5 Political - Miscellaneous - Demarcation of boundaries between British territories and Native States - Imperial. As the Cost (Rs 195 or a moiety thereof if the Nizam's Administration ~~should bear~~ accepts half of it) is small it may, if incurred in the current year, be met from the additional allotment of Rs 5000 applied for in ~~D~~ letter No 903 Fin dt 19th Octr 1898. If incurred in the ensuing year it may be met from the budget provision ~~that will be made~~ for ~~the ensuing~~ that year under 25- Political.

This has not yet been sanctioned and India requires further information but the amount is small & will cause no disturbance

Curl

A

The Accountant-Genl has provided Rs 1,200 in his Miscellaneous budget as to the adequacy of which Rev Dept has been asked no.

HAG
8/12/98

Curl
10.12.98

11/12/98

To. Lit

Please see draft below for approval

RS
15-12-98

Lit
17.12.98

8A 15/12/98

Read B.G. Ry No. 1185 of 12.10.98.
(Cus 705-3)

Order nisi No 4963 9715 1st Dec 1898

The estimate of Rs 195- for the cost of demarcating the Malegaon - Chilakur village boundary is sanctioned.

2. The following letter will be addressed to the Resident at Hyderabad enquiring whether ~~H.H. the Nizam~~ Hyderabad Darbar would agree to bear a moiety of the cost of demarcation.

Sd/ 11-12-98

Sd/ 15-12-98

Sd. 17-12-98

To

The Board of Rlws. L. R.
" Fin Dept
" Accts. General, third Fin Dept.
" Supdt. Madras Survey.

Rlws
13.12.98

RS
13-12-98

Letter

Letter

No. 4964 8715 — Dated 15th Dec. 1898.

to

The Resident at Hydrabad.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 1942 dated the 26th March 1898, I am directed to state that the cost of demarcating the boundary between the British village of Imalapad and ~~the~~ the Hyderabad ~~Nizam's~~ village of Chilukad has been estimated ~~by the Govt Special~~ by Collector ~~of~~ ~~for Surveys, Kistna~~ at Rs 195 ~~per pillar~~ the particulars for which I am directed to detail on the margin, ~~and to~~

2. I am to enquire whether the Durbar ~~Government~~ will agree to contribute a moiety of this cost.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government.

Rev. 17-12-98

via P.D. 1753 23.4.98 T.

Cost of 14 pillars at Rs 12 each	Rs 168
Cost of supervision by a surveyor during the planting of stones and of such survey as may be subsequently required	Rs 27
Total ...	Rs 195

Ms 14/12/98

Secy 13-12-98
RS
13-12-98

SR 15/12/98

P = Copy abstract only.
H = Copy heading only.

*When given for copying*_____
*When copied*_____
*When examined*_____ } 189 .
*When signed*_____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.