0 3,000—8-8-96.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4926 & 4927

DATED 14th December 98

17 P

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving with remarks, the Board's recommendations for the conduct of the work of boundary line clearing between the Hyderabad territory & the Kistna Dt and of the rectification of the boundary between certain villages.

Letter

Enquiring whether the Hyderabad Durbar will be prepared to accept certain revised proposals for the conduct of the work of boundary line clearing between the Hyderabad territory & the Kistna Dt and the rectification of the boundary between villages.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Circ	1020/99	Sec.	7-12-98				

O/18 2,000—30-3-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 7629

Jull

M. S. No. 1234/4-2-03 to be stitched with Rep. 4926 misd 14.12.98

From

The Resident at Hyderabad

~~Order~~ Encl. R. no. 1576.

No. 3581

Referred to the Board of Revenue for very early remarks.

Dated 4th Nov. 1898

Received 7th ——

Registered 8th ——

Enclosures

Spare copies

(By order.)

(signed) R. E. Marjoribanks,

Offg. Under Secy to Govt.

Fort St. George,
11th Nov. 1898.

To

The Board of Revenue (Land Rev.)

DES. 11-11-98

SUBJECT.

Requesting that the Boravancha boundary may be settled in the presence of the officers deputed by the two Governments for the clearing of the Hyderabad-Kistna line.

Rec

7.3.24 II J

BACK PAPERS.

G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE

~~Financial~~ (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 7831

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Madras.

Order

No. 1283 RIT.

Dated 15th November 1898

Received 16/11

Registered 17/11

Enclosures —

Spare copies

Ltr. to Collr. of Kistna, Rc. proceedings of even no. & date.

SUBJECT.

Submg. to Govt. with the Board's support the proposal of the Collr. of Kistna to move H.H. the Nizam's Govt. to nominate an officer for --- checking the demarcation of the --- boundary between the villages of -- Mallavalli & Collapalli.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Office of the Board of Revenue,
(Land Revenue),
Madras, dated 15th November 1898.

Read letter from R.Morris Esq., Ag.Collector of Kistna to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, R.C.No.1166-01., dated 31st October 1898.

Reference No.1285 dated 15th November 1898

In his letter read above the Collector of Kistna reports that M.R.Ry.D.Sesha Charlu, Special Deputy Collector for Survey, proceeded to Mirzapur on the 11th ultimo in accordance with G.O.No.3489 dated 26th August 1898 for checking the demarcation of the boundary between the villages of Mallavalli and Mirzapuram but that no officer of H.H.the Nizam's Government met him there. He accordingly requests that H.H.the Nizam's Government may be moved to nominate an officer for the purpose and recommends that the date on which the two officers should meet should be left to them to settle.

2. Mr.Morris suggests that Collapalli may be fixed as the next place of meeting as the special Deputy Collector reports that Mirzapur is a small village. The Board supports the Collector's proposals.

Lionel Davidson
Ag.Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

C.B.

Jota

REVENUE

Marine (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 823/

From

The Board of Revenue,

Land Revenue,

Madras.

Order

No. 7367 Ref.

Dated 1st December 1898.

Received 2/12

Registered

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting, with remarks, to Government the proceedings recording the result of the meeting of Messrs. Saiyid Asadullah and D.Sesha Charlu, the officers deputed to clear the boundary between Hyderabad and Kisna-

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE),

Madras, ~~1st December 1898~~ / ~~189~~ 1898

READ— Endorsement of R.Morris,Esquire,Acting Collector of Kistna,R.C.No.5433/genl. of 1898,dated 17th November 1898,submitting copy of letter from the Special Deputy Collector for Survey,Kistna about the clearing of the Kistna—Hyderabad boundary line.

Read also Official Memorandum from Ag.Under Secretary to Government,Revenue Department,No.288,dated 24th November 1898.

Reference No. 1367 dated 1st December 1898.

In accordance with Government Order No.3802, dated 21st September 1898 Messrs.Saiyid Asadullah,Assistant Superintendent,Survey Department of His ~~Excellency~~ Highness the Nizam's Government and D.Sesha Charlu,Special Deputy Collector,Kistna, the officers deputed to clear the Kistna—Hyderabad boundary met at Mukteswarapuram on the 1st November 1898.The proceedings recording the result of their meeting are herewith submitted to Government.

2. As the clearance is to proceed along the line of boundary settled by Messrs.Pano and Taylor,the officers above named are of opinion that their presence is not necessary while the work of clearance is being carried out by the special surveyors appointed on both sides and that any disputed points may be settled by them on its completion.They have accordingly resolved that the Surveyors should immediately commence the clearance of the boundary from the Muthyalu alias Mukteswarapuram junction and that they or their successors should meet again for the settlement of disputed points after the completion of the work.Mr.Saiyid Asadullah having intimated to the Deputy Collector that he has received instructions from his Government to settle the Mullavalli-Mirzapuram and Boravancha-Ramannagudem disputes also,the latter officer suggests

Vide Board's Reference No.1283 15-11-98
Govt.Endt.No.1576,C/11-11-98

that these as well as other disputes regarding the boundary in question should be settled after the completion of the work of clearance. The Board recommends that these proposals should be approved and the proceedings of the meeting confirmed.

3. The Deputy Collector further requests that as he may possibly be on leave when the next meeting takes place, the Special Deputy Collector, Guntur, by designation and not by name, may be appointed to settle the disputes. The Board supports the proposal.

Lionel Davidson

Ag. Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, With the proceedings of the meeting.

M.R.H.

Proceedings of the meeting between Moulu Syed Asudulla, Assistant Superintendent, Nizam's Survey and Settlement Department, and D. Seshacharlu, Special Deputy Collector for Survey, Guntur, Kistna District, deputed for the clearance of the Kistna Hyderabad Boundary.

---------O---------

We the undersigned, met at Muthyala otherwise Muktesvarapuram, on the 1st November 1898, in accordance with the orders of two Governments contained respectively in No. 260, dated 26 Aban of the Superintendent Revenue Survey and Settlement, Nizam's Dominions, and Collector of Kistna's disposal No. 5273/gl., dated 13th October 1898.

Of us Mr. Asudulla stipulated that in accordance with the instructions contained in the Hyderabad Resident's letter No. 1472, dated 14th March 1898, to the Government of Madras, both of us should be present inspecting the boundary line clearing all the while it lasts. the following circumstances which render such continued presence unnecessary as explained by the other of us Mr. D. Seshacharlu were then considered.

1. The present line clearing presumably follows the boundary line already settled by Messrs. F. Fano and H. R. B Taylor, and in the absence of any disputes requiring our presence the appointment of Surveyors to immediately conduct the line clearing in accordance with the settled boundary

would be quite sufficient.

2. The boundary clearing might occupy about 3 months, and the presence of officers on the boundary, where both territories have been surveyed and there appear, no disputes, for such a long period serves no good purpose.

3. The Collector of Kistna has ordered in his letter R. C. No.962/gl., dated 15th April 1898, that a Special Surveyor on each side may be deputed for the boundary clearance with disputed points ~~usurpe~~ reserved for our settlement; so that the continued presence of the British Officer is not practicable unless he should be relieved of his other duties, and specially deputed for this work, which, in his opinion, seems unnecessary.

In consideration of the above circumstances, ~~they~~we have arrived at the following agreement for the present conduct of the work:-

1. That Surveyors from both sides be immediately put on the clearance work from the Muthyala junction.

2. That these Surveyors go on with the clearing work in accordance with the sanctioned estimate and with the boundary lines as already settled and marked with stone on the ground.

3. That half of the clearings be appropriated by H. H. the Nizam's Territory and the other half ~~of~~ by the British.

4. That where any disputes should arise in the course of their work they should leave ~~the~~ that portion of the work alone and proceed with the rest until the whole boundary is cleared according to the estimate as

far as practicable.

5. That as the boundary of each village of either Dominions is cleared statements be taken from the village officers of the villages of both Dominions affected testifying to the effect that their villages boundaries have been cleared in accordance with the settled boundary.

6. That on completion of the entire clearing work, we or our representatives meet again and after settling any disputes that may have arisen in the conduct of the work, send in a joint report of the completion of the work.

(Signed) Asadulla,

Assistant to the Superintendent,
Revenue Survey, and Settlement,
Warangal, and Elgondal Districts,
H. H. the Nizam's Dominions.

(Signed) D. Seshacharlu,

Special Deputy Collector for
Survey, Guntur, Kistna Dt.

(True copy)

for Collector.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 8339

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Madras.

Order

No. 2977 Routine.

Dated 6th December 1898.

Received

Registered

Enclosures /

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Recording letters from the -- Collr. of Kistna reporting that the clearing of the boundary line between Haidarabad & Kistna has been started & that it has already been proposed to settle the Bezavanchi boundary dispute also referred to in the letter from the Resident at Haidarabad to the Government of -- Madras dated 4.11.'98, No. 6781.

1898
REVENUE DEPT.
Bundle No. 1
Case No. 8339

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2,000
15-7-98.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS.

22 P

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

No.2977 Routine Dated 6th December 1898

Read the following papers:-

(1).Endorsement of Government,Revenue Department,R.No.1576,dated 11th November 1898;and the following reference thereon,No.1294, dated 16th November 1898.

Forwarded to the Collector of Kistna for very early remarks.

(2) Also letter from R.Morris Esq.,Ag:Collector of Kistna,Dis.No. 6550-General,dated 26th November 1898,reporting that it has already been proposed to settle the Boravancha boundary dispute - as reported in his Reference on Cur.No.5455/C1.of 98,dated 17th. November 1898.

(2) Also letter from the same officer,Dis.No.6551-C1.,dated 24th. November 1898,reporting that the clearing of the boundary line -- between Haidrabad and Kistna has been started.

Resolution

Recorded with reference to Board's Reference Order No.1367 dated 1st December 1898.

/True Copy and Extract/

Lovell Dowdeswell

Ag.Secretary.

Copy to the Secretary to Government,Revenue Department,with one enclosure returned.

C.B.

Jrfa

189 .

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

Subject.

Political Office.

No. 23 P of 189 .

From Sir Trevor Chichele-plowden, K. C. S. I.,

Resident at Hyderabad,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Revenue Department,

Dated Hyderabad Residency, the November *189 .*

Sir,

I have the honour to refer to your letter No.3490, dated 26th August 1898, intimating that M. R. Ry. D. Sesha Charlu the officer deputed by the Government of Madras for the work of clearing the Hyderabad Kistna boundary line has also been ----- deputed to check the demarcation of the boundary between the village of Mallavalli and Mirzapuram.

2. His Highness the Nizam's Minister has now requested that this opportunity may also be taken to settle the Boravancha boundary in the presence of the officers deputed by the two Governments for the clearing of the Hyderabad-Kistana line. His Highness' Government do not desire to reopen the question of this boundary which has already been finally settled; but, in view of the complaint made by the Collector of Kistna that the Jagirdar of Boravancha has encroached and planted stones in consequence of which 150 acres of land belonging to Ramanaguden has

H.R.P.O.—No. 642-F—9-7-98—500—[C.O'C.]

has been included in his Jagir, it is thought desirable that these stones should now be planted in their original places in the presence of the officers of both Governments. Once this is done the Minister states that arrangements will be made to prevent the Jagirdar from future interference with the boundary stones.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Trevr. Chichele - Plowden

RESIDENT.

1098

1

8339

$\frac{O}{18}$ 4,000—7-10-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 8452

From

The Resident at Hyderabad

~~Order~~

To the Undersecretary.

No. 7413

The file of papers relative to the clearance of the Hyderabad-Kistna line is now with Lcs. [illegible] doer [illegible] under [illegible]

Mund 12.12.98

RJ 13/12/98

12.

Stan Whatley Collins 13/12/98

Dated 8th Dec. 1898

Received 10th " "

Registered 12th " "

Enclosures 1

Spare copies.

SUBJECT:

Forwarding copy of a translation from His Highness the Nizam's Minister re: the attendance of the two officials deputed by the two Governments for the purpose of clearing the boundary line between the Hyderabad Territory and the Kistna Dt.

Rest

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Political Office.

No. 7413 of 1898.

From Sir Trevor Chichele-Plowden, K.C.S.I.

Resident at Hyderabad,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Revenue Department.

Dated Hyderabad Residency, the 8th December 1898.

Sir,

In continuation of the correspondence ending with my letter No. 6582 dated the 4th November 1898 regarding the clearing of the boundary line between Hyderabad territory and the Kistna District, I have the honour to forward translation of a rukka No. 2675 dated the 30th November 1898 and enclosure received from His Highness the Nizam's Minister.

It would appear that the Collector Kistna District has expressed

the

the opinion that the presence of the representatives of the two Governments on the spot is not necessary till the work of clearing the line is completed.

2. From the letters marginally noted however it seems to have been the intention of the Government of Madras that the representatives should remain on the spot and settle the work for which they have been deputed.

No 3064 dated 20th July 1898.
No 3803 dated 21st September 98.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

T. Chicheley-Plowden
Resident

K

Translation of Purka No 2675, dated 30-11-1898 A.D. / 15-7-1316 A.H. from His Highness the Nizam's Minister, Hyderabad to the Resident, Hyderabad.

2588

In continuation of the 2nd paragraph of my purka No. 2374 dated 31-10-1898 A.D. / 14-6-1316 A.H. regarding the meeting of the Officials of the two Governments in connection with the clearing of the Hyderabad-Kistna boundary line, I have the honor to forward for your information, a copy of a Communication No 35 received from the Board of Revenue of His Highness' Govt. together with a copy of a purkar received from the Settlement Officer of the Warangal and Elgandal districts stating that the Deputy Collector of Kistna is of opinion that the attendance of the two Officials deputed by the two Governments for the purpose of clearing the boundary line, is not necessary at the spot.

The Board's purkar is to the same effect

Translation of a Copy of a purkar from the Settlement Officer of the Warangal and Elgandal districts to the Nazim of the Settlement,

His

His Highness' Government № 259 dated the 3rd Dai 1308 Fasli

I have the honor to forward a report from the Assistant Settlement Officer Saiad-ulla Sahib who was deputed to the spot as desired by you for the purpose of clearing the Kistna boundary line. This Officer met the Deputy Collector, Kistna who is reported to have informed the said Officer that the presence of the officials is not necessary till the completion of the work, while from the proceedings received from the Government of Madras a copy of which was forwarded to me it would appear that their presence is necessary at the spot. The expenses to be incurred during our Officials stay at the spot have been sanctioned by His Highness Government. I beg to add that if the two Officials were allowed to remain at the spot other cases connected with Mallavah and the Dispute of Barwanchate could have been settled at one and the same time. I beg you will be so good as to inform me whether the presence of the Officials of His Highness' Government is necessary or not at the spot till the completion of the work.

(True Translations)
C D Lethbridge
Offg Extra Assistant Resident.

858
1
8452

24 60

to the Secretary

As for ¶ 6 of Office note

in C. Dis. 2933/167-95 Rev Z.

This might have been all said in two lines! JB

Very well JB

Dis. 8452 Y

With reference to the Secretary's instructions that the draft letter to the Resident at Hyderabad will go from Political, attention is respectfully invited to C. Dis. 408. Pol. dy 28-6-95 from which it will be seen that "communications to "Residents in Native States which "are not under the control of this "Govt" should "invariably come "from the Secretarial Departments "concerned.". It is therefore submitted that the letter to the Resident may be sent from the Rev. Dept direct.

2. The Resident's letter № 7413 dy 8.12.95 may be recorded with reference to the letter now going to the Resident. The present communication would not necessitate any alteration in the draft already passed by the Secy.

Secy
13-12-95
RS
13-12-95

Secy
Mr order
Letter may it is submitted
issue in Rev acc to
precedent & practice.
The subsequent comm[n]
from the Resident may be read
at head of the Proc & acknowledged in

the letter. The necessary additions have been made.

13.12.98

D/4 1,000—8-4-97.

4911 / 15-12-98

299

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read the following papers:-

1. B.d's Ref No. 1283 dy. 15-11-98 (Encs 7831)
2. Do No. 1367 dy 1-12-98 (Encs 8231)
3. B.P. R. No. 2977 dy 6-12-98 (Encs 8339).

From

the Board of Rev. L. R

Dated 189

FOR ORDERS.

To the Undersecretary

The above papers relate to proposals for the clearance of line of boundary between the Hyderabad territory and the Kistna Dt and the determination of the settled boundary between (1) Mallavalli and Nuzapuram and (2) Boravancha and Ramanagudem villages.

2. The main line of boundary between Hyderabad and Kistna as well as the village boundaries referred to above were settled by Messrs Robinson & Framjee Jhajurchedjee in 1885-86 and were subsequently inspected and demarcated by Messrs Fano and Bentley Taylor in 1894-95. In submitting to Govt the Joint report of Messrs Fano and Bentley Taylor, the Board of Revenue observed in para 2 of B.P. No. 2765 / 31-1-95 that the Collector

vide G.O. A 1059 / 10-11-87, B 830 / 27-11-88 and C 749 / 22-7-92

vide G.O. 371 / 15-6-95 +

printed in F.O. 371 / 15-6-95

FOR ORDERS.

should "see that the boundary
"marks are inspected and the lines
"between stone and stone cleared at
"least once a year and that the
"marks are all carefully preserved".
The Collector thereupon submitted
an estimate (Rs 1078) of the cost of the first
clearing of the line, and this was
submitted to Govt in B.P. No. 2579/13.7.97. in C. Ors No. 4064/5-8-97 J
the Resident at Hyderabad was
thereupon addressed in view of its
being ascertained whether the Hyderabad
Darbar would agree to bear a moiety
of the cost of the first clearance, to
permit the British authorities in
Kistna to carry out the entire work,
and also to entrust the work of re-
clearing the line in future to the
British authorities, the Darbar
bearing half the cost of all such
rechearings. The Darbar,
agreed
to the
estimate for the clearance, on the
condition
that the boundary
was fixed (cleared?) in the presence of
one of their Settlement officers,
and stated that they had
issued orders to the Settlement
Officer to carry out the work
of clearing the line conjointly

vide Resident's letter No. 1472/4.3.98
at p. 3 of C. Ors No. 141/10.3.98. T

under Govt No. 2048/10-6-98. D

vide letter dy 20.7.98 No. 3084. E

D

with the Madras Govt.
A similar procedure should,
it was suggested, be followed in
the case of future clearings of the
boundary.

3. In a subsequent letter
dy 24.4.98, the Resident intimated
that the Nizam's Minister desired
to be informed of the name of the
Officer deputed to carry out the
work and of the time and place
at which the representatives should
meet, the date of meeting being
so fixed as to allow of sufficient
time to enable the Nizam's Minister
to arrange for the deputation of
an Officer for the purpose. The
Govt, in consultation with the
Board of Revenue accordingly in-
ed the Resident that it has
nominated Mr. D. Sesha Charlu,
Special Dy Collector, Kistna Reserves
for the work & that this officer would
be directed to meet the Hyderabad
representative on the 1st Sept 1898.
at Muktevaraparam in the
Nandigama Taluk. The Resident
having also suggested, at the instance
of the Nizam's Darbar, that occasion
should be taken to

the demarcation of
to check the boundary between the
villages of Mallavalli and Nuzzapuram
with the Object of ascertaining whether
or not it had been correctly demarcated
in accordance with the settlement
made by Messrs Fraser & Robinson
in 1885-86, the Resident was in-
formed in reply that Lally D. Sasha-
chalam, the Officer deputed for
the line clearing work, would
be instructed to meet the Nizam's
representative for this purpose at
Nuzzapuram on the 11th Oct 1898.
In its Ref. No 962 of 26.8.98, the
Board of Rev: brought to notice that the
Supdt. Rev: Survey, Warangal
(Hyderabad) had represented that
for the meeting at Muktesvarapuram
the date fixed (1st Sept 1898) ~~was~~
would not suit the Nizam's
representative and that it might
be altered ~~to~~ if possible to 1st Nov
1898; the change of date was approved
~~by this Govt~~ and the Resident ~~at~~
~~Hyderabad~~ informed ~~accordingly~~.
A further communication was promised
as to whether this change of date would
interfere with the arrangement for
the meeting at Nuzzapuram on 11th
Oct 1898.

vide letter No. 3662 / 16.6.98 in Cons. 3489 / 26.8.98.

Letter to Resident No. 3490 / 26.8.98.

in Cons. 3802 / 21.9.98

vide letter No. 3803 Rev d/ 21-9-98.

vide Resident's letter in Cons. 4383 / 10.11.98

H

Boards Ref No. 1367 / 1-12-98 & 1283 / 15-11-98

vide copy of Prop: submitted with B.P. Ref 1367 / 1-12-98

4. In reply the Resident intimated that the Nizam's Ministers considered that as the dates fixed for the meeting would expire before the dates could be communicated to the officers concerned through the head offices, fresh dates might be fixed for the meeting by the Survey Dy Colly and the Settlement Supdt. Hyderabad, direct. From the Board's Prop: noted on the margin, it will however be seen that the meeting arranged for the 1st November at Muktesvarapuram did actually take place & that the Special Dy Colly Visiting had also previously proceeded to Nuzzapuram on 11th Oct 1898. but no officers of the Nizam's Administration met him there. The representatives who met at Muktesvarapuram on the 1st November are of opinion that as the work of clearance should proceed along the settled boundary, it would be sufficient to have the line cleared by surveyors, all disputed points being left for their settlement on the completion of the line clearance

Mr. Dy. Secy Charles,

work. The Survey by Colls, also suggests that the determination of the Mallavalli-Nuzzapanam boundary as also that of Boravancha-Ravanamgudem villages (the settlement of which has also been urged by the Durbar) may be taken up at the time of the meeting of the representatives appointed on the completion of the clearance of the main line of boundary between Hydrabad and Kistna, and that as he may probably be on leave at the time when the next meeting should take place, the Spl Dy Collr, Guntur, may be appointed by designation and not by name as of the Officer of the British Govt nominated to meet the Nizam's representative for the work.

A draft order and letter to the Resident in accordance with the above proposals are submitted.

Secy
13/12/98

vide Resident's letter No 65-81 4-11-98 under Encl 8337.

Draft
7-12-98
RS
5-12-98

May issue. The draft to the Hyderabad Resident will go from Political Dept
13/12/98

Rev. Dept.

Read the following papers:—

(1). Board's Ref. No. 1283 15-11-98 (Encl 7831).

(2) Board's Ref No. 1367 1-12-98 (Encl 8231)

(3) B. S. R. No. 2977 6.12.98 (Encl 8339).

(4) letter from Resdt Hyd No 7413 dated 8 Dec 98

Order Mss No 2926 of 14th Dec 1898

The Board's recommendations in paras 2 and 3 of the Proc: no 1367 dated 1-12-98 above are approved. The Special Dy Collector should be clearly made to understand that the work of the representatives at the disputed points is limited to the determination of the boundary settled by Messrs Francis and Robinson in 1885-86 and subsequently inspected and demarcated by Messrs Fanshawe and Bentley Taylor, and that the disputes already settled should on no account be reckoned afresh: The date & place of meeting of the British & Hyderabad representatives may be left to be settled by them in communication with each other.

2. The following

~~letter will be addressed to the~~ Resident at Hyderabad will be addressed.

Ms
12/12/98

S/7/12/98

To

The Board of Rev. L. R.

Copy to the Supdt. Madras Survey.

Rec. 16-12-98

Ms
9.12.98
RS
9-12-98

Rec. 16-12-98

Letter No. 4927 9/14th Dec. 1898

To

The Resident at Hyderabad.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letters Nos. 6581 & 6582 dy 4-11-98 (& No. 7413 dy 2 Dec 98) relative to the clearance of the mainline of boundary between Hyderabad Territory and the Kistna District, and to the checking of the demarcation of certain village boundaries, I am directed to state that this Govt. has received ~~copy of~~ a ~~proceedings~~ Report of the result of the meeting between Mr. Sayyid Asadullah, Assistant Supdt. of Survey, Hyderabad, and Mr. D. Seeta Charlu Garu, Special Dy Collector, Kistna, at Muthyala (Muktesvara puram) on the 1st. idem in accordance with the arrangement communicated in my letter No. 3803 dy 21. 9. 98. The latter officers are of opinion that, as the clearance is to proceed along the line of boundary settled by Messrs Jans and Bentley Taylor, it ~~would~~ only be sufficient to have the line clear ~~ing conducted~~ by Surveyors in accordance with the

vide C.G. 371/15-6-95 & 4064-65/5-8-97

the settled boundary and that it is not necessary that this work should be done in their own presence except at disputed points which are in places. They have accordingly come to the following arrangement relative to the future conduct of the work :—

(a) that surveyors from both sides be immediately put on the clearance work from Mathyala junction and directed to clear the boundary in accordance with the sanctioned estimate and along the lines already settled and marked with stone on the ground.

(b) that half the clearings be appropriated by H.H. the Nizam's Administration and the other half by this Govt.

(c) that if any disputes relative to the boundary arises in the course of their work, the surveyors should leave the disputed portion alone and proceed with the clearance of the rest of the boundary.

(d) that as soon as the boundary of

98 P

of each village is settled, statements should be taken from the village officers ~~of the~~ certifying that their village boundaries have been cleared in accordance with the settled boundary, and

(e) that the British and the Hyderabad representatives should then meet for the purpose of settling and clearing the line at the disputed points.

~~The local British authorities and the Board of Revenue having no objection to the above proposals, I am to say that~~ H.E. the C in C is prepared to accept these, ~~on the distinct~~ understanding that the work of the representatives at the disputed points should be limited to the determination of the line settled by messrs Francis and Robinson in 1885-86, and subsequently inspected by messrs Jano and Bentley Taylor, and that ~~any~~ disputes about

What can this mean?
Hyderabad!!!

should not on any account
be ~~repeated~~ Sir Chas Stevens further
~~be suggested that the checking of~~
~~the demarcation of the Mallavalli~~
~~— Kurnool boundary as also the~~
~~rectification of the Boravancha~~
~~— Ramannapalem boundary which~~
~~has been~~ as proposed in your letter of
No 6581
the 4th November ~~referred to above~~,
may be taken up by the British
and the Hyderabad representatives
when they meet again When the Surveyors
complete ~~the~~ this ~~line~~ clearance work ~~of the line~~
~~work by the Surveyors &~~ the Govt
~~Government in Council~~ has no objection
to this proposal. I may add that
~~also~~ ~~Lt~~ as Mr. G
D. Sibbald Charles Gascoigne, the Officer
now appointed by this Govt for the
work, may probably be on leave
~~at the time~~ when the meeting should
take place, His Exy the
has resolved to nominate
the Special Dy Collector, Guntur, by
designation and not by name as
the officer to work conjointly with
H.H. the Nizam's representative in the
above work, ~~and to enable~~
~~to follow this officer~~
He will also keep ~~his~~ their Resident
in direct communication with
His Highness's representative the
the date and place of ~~meeting~~ them

For the purpose.

3. I am to enquire whether
the above proposals have the
acceptance of H.H. ~~the Nizam's~~
~~Govt~~ Administration.

RS
9-12-98

12/12/98

13/12/8

*When given for copying*_____
*When copied*_____
*When examined*_____ } 189
*When signed*_____

D AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

ENT No.

COURSE TO C. No.

RDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
TER OF GOVERNMENT.										
AMENT WITH DITTO										
NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.										

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.