0/9 2,000—6-3-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4568

DATED 21st November 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Communicating to the Board of Revenue G.O. Public Works Department remarking that Government receives opinions for the present regarding the possibilities of irrigation from the Tungabhadra and that pending submission of the preliminary report on the Bhavani project, the approximate amount of the outlay required for that project cannot be specified; and ordering that a copy of the proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India.

Revenue 1898 Department
Laurent Pappu.

IRRIGATION.

Government (1898) of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Go 219 98 3-3-97
" 752 98 30-9-98
" 1089 98 23-12-98
" 750 98 21-9-98
Gl. 3982/98 6-10-98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Recd. 11 Nov 1898.
Regd. 15 " "

Enclosures

Spare copies

21/11/98

G.O., No. 851 I., 31st October 1898.

OCTOBER.

Endorsement No 4568-721 2 Nov 1898
Communicated to the Board of Revenue.
21-11-98

The Tungabhadra and Bhavani Projects. / Letter Board of Rev (Rev. Dept.) dd 24-11-98 Rec'd 18-11-98 RS 19-11-98

Remarking that Government reserves opinion, for the present, regarding the possibilities of irrigation from the Tungabhadra and that, pending submission of the preliminary report on the Bhavání project, the approximate amount of the outlay required for that project cannot be specified; and ordering that a copy of the proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India.

24/15/11/98 CS

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following papers:—

From O. C. LEES, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (Civil Works—Irrigation), to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch, dated Simla, 17th September 1898, No. 499 C.W. I.

I am directed to invite a reference to this office letter No. 41 I., dated 5th February 1897, in which it was stated that the Government of India were not prepared to extend the sanction accorded to the further improvement and extension of the Kalingaroyen channel, until the receipt of further explanation regarding the adequateness of the project, and to request that the Government of India may be informed of the present state of the case.

2. As a forecast of future requirements for expenditure on productive irrigation works is now under consideration, I am also to ask whether any investigations have been made regarding the proposed Tungabhadra project in Bellary, the Bhaváni project in Tanjore, or any other proposed productive irrigation work, and whether the result is to show that it may be possible to recommend the prosecution of either of these schemes as productive works, and, if so, what is the approximate amount of the outlay that may be required, and when the preliminary reports may be expected.

No. 256 I.

Note by the Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

In its letter printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 219 I., dated 3rd March 1897, the Government of India intimated that it was not prepared to extend the term of sanction to the estimates for the improvements and extension of the Kalingaroyen channel as doubts were expressed as to the adequateness of the project estimate, the necessity for the work in the event of the Bhaváni reservoir project being constructed, and the possibility of passing water down to the 89th mile, but, pending the receipt of further explanation, permitted the resluicing of the channel being proceeded with. The Government of India now inquires what is the present state of the case. In G.O., No. 752 I., dated 30th September 1898, an estimate, amounting to Rs. 17,83[illegible] has been sanctioned for resluicing the channel for 15 out of 57 miles, the total length of the channel, and the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, has been directed to expedite the submission of the estimate for resluicing the remaining portion of the channel. Until this work has been carried out and it could be seen what water could be made available for the extension of the channel, the submission of the further explanation called for by the Government of India will have to be deferred.

Vide last paragraph of Superintending Engineer's report printed in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 1089 I., dated 23rd December 1896.

2. The Government of India also asks whether any investigations have been made regarding the proposed Tungabhadra and Bhaváni projects or any other proposed productive irrigation work and whether the result is to show that it may be possible to recommend the prosecution of either of these as productive works. The Tungabhadra project is still under investigation. In G.O., No. 750 I., dated 29th September 1898, the preparation of an estimate to inform Government what the cost of a dam and channel would be was ordered after a rough but yet sufficiently detailed investigation has been made by the local officers. Definite proposals regarding the Bhaváni project will soon be made. The undersigned doubts if either of the above projects can be shown to be productive. There is no other proposed productive irrigation work now under investigation.

(Signed) J. C. LARMINIE,
Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

5th October 1898.

ORDER—No. 851 I., dated 31st October 1898.

Pending submission of the report and estimate alluded to, the Government reserves opinion regarding the possibilities of irrigation from the Tungabhadra.

2. The submission of the proposals for the Bhaváni project is awaited. Pending the submission of the preliminary report thereon, the approximate amount of the outlay required cannot be specified (probably over 100 lakhs).

3. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

[Here enter No. 852 I., dated 31st October 1898.]

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineers, III and IV Circles.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" the Revenue Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

*When given for copying*_____
*When copied*_____ } 189 .
*When examined*_____
*When signed*_____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.