

Revenue (1898) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 43

Dated 24 January

[Despatch Abstract.]

Resurvey.

Accepting the Board's recommendation for the —  
of Sattanapalle, Vinukonda, Narasaraopet and  
Palnad taluqs, in the Krishna district; directing the  
publication of a notice under Sec. 5 of the Madras  
Survey & Boundaries Act/V of 1897; requesting  
the Lt. Col., Madras Survey, to submit returns  
under that act.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date.    | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|----------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
| 10   | 561 | Food        | 12-27-97 |      |     |             |       |

| <u>File</u> | No.   | Department. | Date.  | C.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|-------------|-------|-------------|--------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
| 993<br>998  | 20542 | Rw          | 6-2-28 |      |     |             |       |

1897.

Recd. 13 Dec

Regd. 14

Printed December th

*Enclosures*

1897.

*Spare copies*

Proceedings, No. 730, 30th November 1897.

**NOVEMBER.**

*Resurvey.*—Submitting to Government, with Board's recommendation, letter from the Collector of Kistna submitting proposals for the — of Narasaraopet, Vinukonda and Palnad taluks, Kistna district.

~~10 m~~  
~~14.12.97~~  
~~10.12.98~~

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE  
(REVENUE SETTLEMENT, LAND RECORDS AND AGRICULTURE).

READ—the following letter from J. K. BATTEN, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement and Director of the Department of Land Records and Agriculture (through the Superintendent of Survey), dated 27th August 1897, Ref. No. 8304-Ver. :—

In continuation of this office R. C. No. 8304-Ver. of 1896, dated 24th March 1897, disposed of in B.P., Mis. No. 2744, Revenue Settlement, dated 12th April 1897, regarding the resurvey of the taluks of Narasaraopet, Vinukonda and Palnad forming my General Deputy Collector's division, I have the honour to state that, on further consideration, I think it advisable to have the taluks resurveyed. From the correspondence in the office, I find that it was with great reluctance that Mr. Atkinson agreed to the proposal of the General Deputy Collector that the resurvey was not necessary.

2. From the statement enclosed, the Board will observe that there are several missing or damaged stones to be replaced. Those that stand on the ground do not bear distinguishing marks. Moreover, large unsurveyed areas have come under the plough since the last survey of the taluks, and their survey and sub-divisions have been made by karnams who possess very little knowledge of survey work. There are also a large number of sub-divisions which have not been surveyed.

3. Under these circumstances, I hope that the Board will agree with me in considering that the resurvey of the three taluks is necessary before the resettlement can be undertaken.

ENCLOSURE.

| Taluk.             | Field stones and boundary offsets. |                     | Theodolite stations. |                     | Area of unsurveyed blocks. | Extension of cultivation which might have caused inclusion of large areas from unsurveyed blocks into ayen. |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                    | Planted.                           | Missing or damaged. | Planted.             | Missing or damaged. |                            |                                                                                                             |
| Narasaraopet .. .. | ..                                 | 27,366              | 1,372                | 736                 | ACS.<br>36,884             | ACS.<br>18,442                                                                                              |
| Vinukonda .. ..    | 10,403                             | 2,356               | 5,359                | 2,356               | 68,857                     | 1,734                                                                                                       |
| Palnad .. ..       | ..                                 | 57,589              | 11,744               | 3,600               | 123,465                    | 60,855                                                                                                      |

Endorsement by H. O'C. CARDOZO, Esq., Superintendent, Madras Survey, dated 10th September 1897, No. 383-B.R.

Forwarded.

2. Resurvey of three taluks, Narasaraopet, Vinukonda and Palnad, may be sanctioned as recommended by the Collector.

3. These taluks were surveyed nearly 30 years ago and maintenance of boundary marks and survey records has fallen hopelessly into arrears. Resurvey prior to resettlement is necessary.

RESOLUTION—No. 730, dated 30th November 1897.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In the circumstances reported by the Collector and the Superintendent of Survey, the Board recommends that the resurvey of the remaining three Government taluks of the district, viz., Narasaraopet, Vinukonda and Palnad, be sanctioned.

3. The total area of the Government villages in these taluks is 1,634 \* square miles as noted in the margin. The particulars of boundary marks reported in the Collector's present letter differ considerably from those given in his jamabandi return. A statement showing the figures according to the latter return is appended for the information of Government.

4. If the resurvey of the three taluks referred to above is sanctioned, it is requested that they may be included in the notification to be issued for the resurvey of the Sattenapalle taluk—vide B.P., No. 9611, dated 30th November 1897.

(True Copy and Extract.)

R. B. Srinivasan  
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department. ✓

„ Collector of Kistna.  
„ Superintendent, Revenue Survey.

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner, Revenue Settlement No. III Party.


APPENDIX.

| Taluka.            | Total number of boundary marks. |                   |              | Missing.             |                   |              | Out of repair.       |                   |              |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                    | Theodolite stations.            | Boundary offsets. | Field marks. | Theodolite stations. | Boundary offsets. | Field marks. | Theodolite stations. | Boundary offsets. | Field marks. |
| 1                  | 2                               | 3                 | 4            | 5                    | 6                 | 7            | 8                    | 9                 | 10           |
| Narasaraopet .. .. | 1,372                           | 1,238             | 19,353       | 193                  | 342               | 19,353       | 543                  | 379               | ..           |
| Vinukonda .. ..    | 3,203                           | 2,820             | 101,827      | 122                  | 371               | 17,537       | 885                  | 1,651             | 7,528        |
| Palnad .. ..       | 7,517                           | 9,287             | 232,028      | 2,276                | 4,467             | 50,646       | ..                   | ..                | ..           |

1367  
930 87 30.11.97

# Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 10457



Order

From the Board of Revenue  
Revenue Settlement and  
Records and Agriculture,  
Madras

No. 9611 his

Dated 30<sup>th</sup> November 1897

Received 3 Dec

Registered 4

Enclosures

Spare copies

## SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government  
letter from the Collector of  
Kistna requesting to move  
Government for issuing a  
notification under Section  
5 of Act IV of 1897 regarding  
the re-survey of Sattenapa  
Taluk - Kistna District

Awaiting  
B.P. 230  
30.12.97  
Recd 15/12/97

11/12/97

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |



## Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 30<sup>th</sup> November 1897.

No. 9611 Miscellaneous

READ

the following letter from the Collector of Kistna Ref No 213 dated 27<sup>th</sup> October 1897: —

Referring to Board's Proceedings No 3463 vis dated 17<sup>th</sup> May 1897 Communicating Government Order No 235 Revenue department dated 3<sup>rd</sup> April 1897 sanctioning the Resurvey of the Sattenapalli Taluq, I have the honor to invite the attention of the Board to Section 5 of the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act IV of 1897, and to request that the Board will be pleased to move the Government to issue a notification regarding the Survey of the Sattenapalli Taluq under that section.

Resolution

Submitted to Government

with reference to Government Order  
no 235 dated 3<sup>rd</sup> April 1897, with the  
request that Government will be  
pleased to order the publication  
of a notification under section  
5 of Act IV of 1897 for the resurvey  
of the Government villages in the  
Sattenapalli Taluk.

2. If the resurvey of the marginal  
noted taluqs recommended in Board's Proceedings to  
Sarasamapet  
Vinikonda  
Palnad  
730 Press dated 30 November 1897

is sanctioned, the Board request  
that those taluks may also be  
included in the notification.

3. The Board observes that  
no rules have yet been framed  
under section 32(d) for the  
publication of notifications  
under the Act.

( True Copy & Entzt )

N. V. Srinivasan  
Secretary

To  
The Secretary to Government  
Revenue Department  
Collector  
Tna.

D 1,000-8-4-97.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 10451 and 10710 of 97

From the Board of Rev. R.S. &c

Dated 10<sup>th</sup> Nov: 1897.

FOR ORDERS.

With the first issue  
of the papers noted above  
(Cue 10451), the Board of Rev. R.S. &c  
submits a letter from the  
Collector of Kistna, Mr. Ballen,  
requesting that a formal  
notification might be issued under  
Sec 5 of the Madras Survey and  
Boundaries Act IV of 1897  
directing the resurvey of the  
Sattenapalli Taluk sanctioned  
in G.O. n. 235 Rev. dt 3<sup>rd</sup> Apr: Sept  
1897; and with the second  
paper (Cue 10710) it submits  
a proposal made by the same  
officer to resurvey the Narsa-  
rapet, Vinikonda and Palnad  
Talugs. The Board supports  
the latter proposal and  
that if it be sanctioned  
that a consolidated no

2.107/98

57180

FOR ORDERS.

16

large area of lands newly taken  
up which has practically been not  
surveyed

Surveyed

2

1

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

3. It is submitted

Yes - Palms are in a  
warm state more Pattona-fellie

28. XII 97

P.V.O.  
 Secy  
 Resurvey seems necessary  
 for the efficient carrying  
 of the settlement. It is  
 also useful employ. to  
 Survey Dept. <sup>a considerable</sup> may be calculated.  
 1888

Levy  
The present state of  
the Demarcation stones  
seems very bad. 6,692  
Theodolite stations are  
missing or damaged out  
of a total of 18,455. i.e.  
over one third of the  
total. Resurvey seems  
therefore necessary to  
efficient resettlement.  
of the area. The  
work, with besides, useful  
employ the Survey Dept.  
till its work is pronounced

W.S.  
5.2.98 17.1.98  
Lapet.  
P.R. 7.1.98

Yes, no. money of the karnam  
chiefly supervised as in the  
other bullocks is necessary. The  
registrar's notification should  
be issued.

13/1/98

Office

Please prepare notn  
accordingly and draft B.O.  
How about Sec 32 (b). Was  
not the Board asked to pre-  
pare and submit draft rules.

Ind H.E.M.  
16.1.98

Note for the Under Secy

The Supt was asked  
to submit draft rules (viz.  
the Board of Rev: (para 6 of  
G.O. no 561 Rev: dt 13<sup>th</sup> July  
1897) and he has not done  
it yet. So far as the Govt  
notn is concerned it is sub-  
mitted that no rules have  
to be made (vide Sec 21 of  
the Madras General Clauses  
Act I of 1891). It would  
however appear that a notn  
under Sec 6(1) of the Act IV  
of 1897 cannot be issued by  
the Supt before <sup>are</sup> rules <sup>are</sup> pro-  
mulgated under Sec 32(d).  
Orders are solicited as to whether  
the Supt's attention should be  
specially drawn to the matter.

B.M.  
17.1.98

B.M.  
17.1.98

65/5

P. TO

Secy

In G.O. No 561 Rev D 13 July 87  
the Supt. was asked to  
submit draft rules under  
cl (a) & (c) only of  
Sec 32 of the Act. It seems  
clear to submit that  
rules are needed under  
the other clauses of  
the Act. Section also is  
under clause (d) for  
the manner in which  
the notification to be  
issued under Sec 6(1)  
should be published  
must, submit to  
be laid down by rules.  
As pointed out in the  
note above it with  
notice of the notification  
under sec 5 is published  
in the Fort St George Gazette.  
I submit the Supt may  
be called upon to expedite  
the draft rules already  
called for & asked to  
submit draft rules under  
clauses (b), (c), (d) & (e) of  
Section 32. These rules  
should of course be drafted on the

10/1/8

proceeding should most expedient  
& convenient manner under the Act

Des. 4-2-98

The Board of Rev: R.S. 26.7.98  
Supt. Madras Survey  
Fort. Pleas  
copy to the Board of Rev: L.R.

17.1.98

48  
Read Cnr. 10451 and 10710  
of 97

P.S.

Order No 43 of 24<sup>th</sup> Jan. 1898.

The Board's recom-  
mendation contained in the  
second of the papers read  
above is accepted and the  
following notification will be  
published in the Fort St George  
and the Krishna District Gazette.  
"Under the powers  
conferred  
under Sec 5 of  
the Madras Survey and Bound-  
aries Act IV of 1897 (M.) No. 2.  
the Governor in Council is  
pleased to direct that a  
survey of the Govt lands in  
the Sattinapalle, Vinnkondy,  
Narsaraopet and Palnad  
Talugs shall be undertaken  
by the Madras Survey Dept."

2. The Supt, Madras  
Survey will be requested to  
expedite the submission of  
the draft rules called for in  
para 4 of G.O. No 561 Rev:  
of 13<sup>th</sup> July 1897 and also sub-  
mit at an early date  
the same to the Board of Rev.

P. TO

under clauses  
draft rules (b), (c), (d) and (f)  
of Sec 32 of the act <sup>in respect of such matters as are required</sup>  
<sup>in connection with</sup>  
~~observed that the~~ <sup>apparently</sup> cannot issue  
a not<sup>n</sup> under Sec 6 (1) until  
the manner in which it should  
be published <sup>is prescribed</sup> is decided by  
a rule under Sec 32 (d).

in connection with  
the immediate working  
of the Act.

20.1.98

21.1.98

21.1.98

# Government {1898} of Madras. REVENUE.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1898.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 43, 24th January 1898.

JANUARY.

Resurvey.

Accepting the Board's recommendation for the — of Sattenapalle, Vinukonda, Narasaraopet and Palnad taluks, in the Kistna district; directing the publication of a notification under section 5 of the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act IV of 1897; and requesting the Superintendent, Madras Survey, to submit certain rules under that Act.

## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

**READ**—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), Mis. No. 9611, dated 30th November 1897:—

**Read**—the following letter from the Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement and Director of the Department of Land Records and Agriculture, dated 27th October 1897, Ref. No. 213-B. and G.:—

Referring to Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 3463, dated 17th May 1897, communicating G.O., No. 235, Revenue Department, dated 3rd April 1897, sanctioning the resurvey of the Sattenapalle taluk, I have the honour to invite the attention of the Board to section 5 of the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act IV of 1897, and to request that the Board will be pleased to move the Government to issue a notification regarding the survey of the Sattenapalle taluk under that section.

**Resolution**—Mis. No. 9611, dated 30th November 1897.

Submitted to Government with reference to G.O., No. 235, dated 3rd April 1897, with the request that Government will be pleased to order the publication of a notification under section 5 of Act IV of 1897 for the resurvey of the Government villages in the Sattenapalle taluk.

2. If the resurvey of the marginally-noted taluks recommended in Board's Proceedings, No. 730, Press, dated 30th November 1897, is sanctioned, the Board requests that those taluks may also be included in the notification.

Narasaraopet, Vinukonda.

Palnad.

3. The Board observes that no rules have yet been framed under section 32 (d) for the publication of notifications under the Act.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. V. SRINIVASIAH,  
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,  
" Collector of Kistna.

**READ**—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture), No. 730, dated 30th November 1897:—

**Read**—the following letter from J. K. BATTEN, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement and Director of the Department of Land Records and Agriculture (through the Superintendent of Survey), dated 27th August 1897, Ref. No. 8304-Ver.:—

In continuation of this office R. C. No. 8304-Ver. of 1896, dated 24th March 1897, disposed of in Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 2744, Revenue Settlement, dated 12th April 1897, regarding the resurvey of the taluks of Narasaraopet, Vinukonda and Palnad forming my General Deputy Collector's division, I have the honour to state that, on further consideration, I think it advisable to have the taluks resurveyed. From the correspondence in the office, I find that it was with great reluctance that Mr. Atkinson agreed to the proposal of the General Deputy Collector that the resurvey was not necessary.

2. From the statement enclosed, the Board will observe that there are several missing or damaged stones to be replaced. Those that stand on the ground do not

bear distinguishing marks. Moreover, large surveyed areas have come under the plough since the last survey of the taluks, and their survey and sub-divisions have been made by karnams who possess very little knowledge of survey work. There are also a large number of sub-divisions which have not been surveyed.

3. Under these circumstances, I hope that the Board will agree with me in considering that the resurvey of the three taluks is necessary before the resettlement can be undertaken.

## ENCLOSURE.

| Taluk.             | Field stones and boundary offsets. |                     | Theodolite stations. |                     | Area of unsurveyed blocks. | Extension of cultivation which might have caused inclusion of large areas from unsurveyed blocks into ayen. |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                    | Planted.                           | Missing or damaged. | Planted.             | Missing or damaged. |                            |                                                                                                             |
| Narasaraopet .. .. | 10,403                             | 27,366              | 1,372                | 736                 | ACS. 36,884                | ACS. 18,442                                                                                                 |
| Vinukonda .. ..    | ..                                 | 2,356               | 5,359                | 2,356               | 68,857                     | 1,734                                                                                                       |
| Palnad .. ..       | ..                                 | 57,589              | 11,744               | 3,600               | 123,465                    | 60,855                                                                                                      |

Endorsement by H. O'C. CARDOZO, Esq., Superintendent, Madras Survey, dated 10th September 1897, No. 383-B.R.

Forwarded.

2. Resurvey of three taluks, Narasaraopet, Vinukonda and Palnad, may be sanctioned as recommended by the Collector.

3. These taluks were surveyed nearly thirty years ago and maintenance of boundary marks and survey records has fallen hopelessly into arrears. Resurvey prior to resettlement is necessary.

**Resolution**—No. 730, dated 30th November 1897.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In the circumstances reported by the Collector and the Superintendent of Survey, the Board recommends that the resurvey of the remaining three Government taluks of the district, viz., Narasaraopet, Vinukonda and Palnad, be sanctioned.

3. The total area of the Government villages in these taluks is 1,634 square miles as noted in the margin. The particulars of boundary marks reported in the Collector's present letter differ considerably from those given in his jamabandi return. A statement showing the figures according to the latter return is appended for the information of Government.

4. If the resurvey of the three taluks referred to above is sanctioned, it is requested that they may be included in the notification to be issued for the resurvey of the Sattenapalle taluk—vide Board's Proceedings, No. 9611, dated 30th November 1897.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. V. SRINIVASIAH,  
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,  
" Collector of Kistna.

" Superintendent, Revenue Survey.

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner, Revenue Settlement,  
No. III Party.

## APPENDIX.

| Taluka.         | Total number of boundary marks. |                   |              | Missing.             |                   |              | Out of repair.       |                   |              |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                 | Theodolite stations.            | Boundary offsets. | Field marks. | Theodolite stations. | Boundary offsets. | Field marks. | Theodolite stations. | Boundary offsets. | Field marks. |
| 1               | 2                               | 3                 | 4            | 5                    | 6                 | 7            | 8                    | 9                 | 10           |
| Narasaraopet .. | 1,372                           | 1,238             | 19,353       | 193                  | 342               | 19,353       | 543                  | 379               | ..           |
| Vinukonda ..    | 3,203                           | 2,820             | 101,827      | 122                  | 371               | 17,537       | 885                  | 1,651             | ..           |
| Palnad ..       | 7,517                           | 9,287             | 232,028      | 2,276                | 4,467             | 50,646       | ..                   | ..                | 7,328        |

ORDER—No. 43, Revenue, dated 24th January 1898.

## Miscellaneous.

The Board's recommendation contained in the second of the papers read above is accepted and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and the Kistna District Gazettes:—

## NOTIFICATION.

Under the powers conferred by section 5 of the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act IV of 1897 (Madras), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that a survey of the Government lands in the Sattenapalle, Vinukonda, Narasaraopet and Palnad taluks shall be undertaken by the Madras Survey Department."

2. The Superintendent, Madras Survey, will be requested to expedite the submission of the draft rules called for in paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 561, Revenue, dated 13th July 1897, and also to submit, at an early date, draft rules under clauses (b), (c), (d), and (f) of section 32 of the Act in respect of such matters as are required in connection with the immediate working of the Act. The Superintendent cannot apparently issue a notification under section 6 (1) until the manner in which it should be published is prescribed by a rule under section 32 (d).

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,  
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land  
Records and Agriculture).  
" the Superintendent, Madras Survey.  
" " Government Press.  
" Copy to the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

Exd. Shaik Kariem.

65 Copies.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.  
150

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURE TO G. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH BILL.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, AND NO WANT FOR DEBATE  
IN ORIGINAL.

P.R.—

A=Print in full.  
F=Not to be printed.  
P=Print abstract only.  
Q=Print nothing only.