

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4363

DATED 7th Novr. 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that the Board of Revenue should be kept fully informed of all cases in which Rev Subordinates undertake work outside their official duties so that it may exercise its power of Control and Check in the matter and ^{hence} regretting to adopt the suggestion made by it.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Financial
REVENUE

Public (189⁸) Department.

2357
CURRENT No. 58/3



From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue,
Madras.

No. 2312 Misc.

Order

To the Under Secretary

The question
raised in para 3 is, it is
submitted, one for considera-
tion in the Financial Dept.

20. VIII. 98

Secy

transferred to the Fin. Dept?

Dated 16th August 1898

Received 19/8

Registered 19/8

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Returning duly countersigned cer-
tificate granted to M.R.V.R. Rama-
chandra Rao Avergal, Deputy Collector
Madura District for payment of remun-
eration due to him for his services
as an Examiner in the University Exa-
minations and suggesting certain mo-
dification of Article 91 (a), Civil
Service Regulations.

CURRENT No. 58/3

Encl. 1304

Transferred to the Finan-
cial Department

at Under Secy to Govt.

20th Aug 1898

Recd 30/8/98


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1572 Rm	30-3-98	Mis	30-3-98
6537	29-8-98	"	
533/4	14-6-98	"	
R1052	5-8-98	"	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 2357



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

No. 2212 Misc., dated 16th August 1898.

Read letter from J.G.D. Partridge, Esquire, Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, R.O.C. No. 2216/ J. of 1898, dated 31st July 1898.

-----:0:-----

Resolution

M.R.R.Y.R. Ramachandra Rao Avergal, Deputy Collector, Madura District Division accepted the appointment of an Assistant Examiner of the Madras University in 1897 and was granted a remuneration of Rs. 578. In his letter No. 414, dated 23rd March 1898, the Collector reported that most of the work for which the remuneration was earned, was done by the Deputy Collector when he was on privilege leave and that the small portion he had to do when he returned to duty was done out of office hours and without prejudice to his duties. The Board accordingly recommended and Government sanctioned all the acceptance of the remuneration under Article 91 (c), Civil Service Regulations (Vide Board's Proceedings No. 871, dated 25th March 1898 and Government Order No. 1512 Rev., dated 30th March 1898).

2. In the letter read above, the Collector of Madura reports that the payment of the remuneration to the Deputy Collector has been objected to by the Accountant-General for

want of a certificate from the Board as the Head of the Department under Article 91 (a) 2 of the Civil Service Regulations. The certificate required is accordingly furnished to the Collector as requested.

3. The Board takes this opportunity to suggest that the expression Head of the Department occurring in Articles 91 and 89 of the Civil Service Regulations may be authoritatively declared to include the Collector of a district so as to enable officers occupying that position to grant the certificate required in ^{the} case of their subordinates when employed as Examiners in connection with the University or other public Examinations. The Board has only second hand information as regards the work done in such cases and the formality of its countersignature in the certificates referred to may well be dispensed with.

(True Extract)

James Davidson
Ag. Secretary.

To

The Collector of Madura, with certificate countersigned.

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Md. P. Y.

End File

27/10/12

C. No. 2357. & 2763

Ch...

Suggestions to declare Collector heads of Dept. for the purpose of Art. 89 & 91 of C.S.R.

Please see the Ag. opinion.

which appears to be correct as the rules stand. As pointed out by the Board the countersignature in such cases can be no more than a formality which is a collection of some knowledge of the circumstances of the case. It seems to be a question, therefore, whether India should not be asked to declare Collectors to be heads of Dept. for the purpose of articles 91 & 89 of the C.S.R. as regards officers & subordinates to them.

23/10/12

I must say that I agree with the A.G. - The H. should maintain a control over such matters.

H.V.
7/10/78

Does the Ag. Cbr "Madura Division" by the way? The Ag. Cbr of Madura knows the H. & 2nd Cbr of Cbr of Madura I know - But who is this officer?

Club

NO. 1292 of 12/10/12

Revenue
Ag.
11/10/12

To Under Secretary

With reference to

Am's query above it is sub-
mitted

(1) that the charges of
the Dep^t Collector in Madura
are as shown below:

Head Quarters }
Deputy Collector } Melur
Madura }
General Duty Dep^t } Madura
Collector, Madura } Tirumangalam &

(2) that the expression
Madura Division is apparently
used to denote the General
Duty Dep^t Collector's charge there

It may be mentioned here that
Mk. Ramachandra Rao, is now
in charge of the Coimbatore Sub.
Collectorate.

The F.D. having sent
the papers back to the R.O.
the Order it is presumed should
issue in the latter Dept. The
question raised was, it was changed

a general one. It has however been
ascertained from the F.D. that the
retransfer has been made intentionally

As this is an administrative question
involved in this matter, it is accordingly submitted.

Very truly
yours
28/11/28

R.P. 321
28.11.27
Page 8 A

cf.
Para 1 of Madura
General Duty Dep^t Col^r
Order dated 25.3.23
no. 60. 3748 B
16.11.28

G.
25
200-20-8-28.

C. No. 2357

Financial DEPARTMENT.

SUBJECT.

~~HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.~~

~~THE HONBLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.~~

~~THE HONBLE MR. ARUNDEL.~~

FOR ORDERS

Suggestion to declare
collectors heads of depart-
ments for the purposes of
art 89 and 91 of the C. S. R.

Under Art 89(a) an
honorarium may be granted
to an officer from
Imperial, or Provincial,
or from Local funds ad-
ministered by Govt. When
the Head of his department
certifies that his work is
special and outside the ordi-
nary course of the officer's
duties and that it does
not interfere with the dis-
charge of those duties.

Under Art 91(a) an
officer may receive a fee
from a private person or
private body or a public
body.

for

for work done for it, provided—
(1) he has undertaken
the work with the knowledge
and sanction in writing
of the Head of his Depart-
ment;

(2) that the head of the
Department certifies
that it can be carried
out without detriment
to his official duties; and

(3) that the acceptance
of a fee and the amount
of the fee are approved
by the authority having
power to sanction its
acceptance.

Under clauses (b) and (c)
respectively of these two
articles, the Head of a Depart-
ment is competent to sanction
the acceptance of an hono-
rarium or fee up to a
limit of Rs. 100.

The Board of Revenue
in the Head of the Land
Rev. Dept. records the
action and the same
is accordingly submitted.

Very truly
yours

the two articles. It now
recommends that the expres-
sion Head of the Department
occurring in the two ar-
ticles may be authorita-
tively declared to include
the Collector of a district,
so as to enable officers
occupying that position to
grant the certificate requir-
ed in the case of their sub-
ordinates when employed
as examiners &c. &c.

Reason the Board assigns
for the suggestion is that
it has only second-hand
information as regards the
work done in such cases.

As however the articles
in question do not provide
for such delegation of
power to Collectors, it is
doubtful what view the
Audit Office will take of
the matter. It is submitted
therefore that the current
may be referred to the
Accountant General
for

for remarks in the first instance.

17/9/98

12.9.98

No. 2038722-9-98

To:

The A.G. u.o.

Curb.

20.9.98

9.8.98

There can be no doubt that the

Board of Revenue in the Head &

the Dept. in this case, & then

in the rule which will allow of

the delegation of that authority to

any subordinate officer or

its own division. But it should

be delegated to the Dept. of the

post office. But it is

now to Collectors it is

not clear what view

audit office will take of

the matter. It is submitted

therefore, that the current

may be referred to the

Accountant General

for

Maly

Recd B.P. 2212 on 8.16.8.98
Genl Enclt Rev No 1304 d 29.8.98
Enclt Enclt No 1292 d 12.10.98

27/11/98

J.O. Rev No 4363 d 7.11.98

The Govt considers that the Bd should be kept fully informed of all cases in which ^{subordinates} Rev ~~officers~~ ^{officers} undertake work outside their official duties so as to enable it to exercise its power of control & check in the matter; and accordingly refers to the matter to the satisfaction adopt the suggestion made in the last para of the Prop. read above.

MU
28.11.98

8.11.98
To the B.P.R. J. H. 11/6