

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4355

DATED 7th Nov 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving and sanctioning the recommendation of the Bd of Rev. that quit rent be paid from the Village Servants of Ayas Mangadu, North Arcot Dt from the time of the enfranchisement of the Village Servants to the time when their services were dispensed with, maybe repaid.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue
Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 7084

Order No. 4255 d/9th Nov 1898 From
H. M. A. 5.11.98

The Board's recommendation is approved
and sanctioned

The Board of Revenue, Land
Revenue, Madras.

REF. No. 163 (Petition)

Dated 15th October 1898

Received 17.10.98

Registered 18.10.98

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Soliciting the sanction of ---
Government for the refund of quit-
rent levied from the villages of ---
Ayen Mangadu, North Arcot District -
from the time of the enfranchisement
of the village service inams to the
time ^{when} ^{were} their services ^{being} dis-
penssed with.

To

The Bd. of Rev. L.R.
A.G.

31/10/98

29.10.98

C. 4/10/98

19/10/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.
<i>R.R.</i>	537	<i>R.</i>	27-6-1898
	1372		10-12-84

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Office of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)
Madras, dated 15th October 1898.

Read the following papers:-

(1). Petition from Srinivasa Chari, the village moniam and other --- village officers of Ayan Mangadu village, Arcot Taluk, North Arcot District, dated 20th April 1898; and reference thereon No. 72, dated 15th May 1898.

(2). Also letter from J. X. Batten Esq., Ag. Collector of North Arcot - to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, Dis. - No. 2115-Var, dated 14th September 1898, submitting report regarding the amount of remuneration claimed by the village officers of Ayan Mangadu village of Arcot Taluk.

Reference No. 163 (Patn) dated 15th October 1898.

The Board resolves to address Government regarding the petition read above dated 20th April 1898 which has been presented to it by one Srinivasa Chari and five other individuals, --- claiming arrears of remuneration for services rendered by them as village servants of the Government village of Mangadu in Arcot --- Taluk, North Arcot District.

2. There are two villages by the name of Mangadu in - Arcot Taluk, one a jaghir and the other a Government village. Until 1802 the Jaghir and the Government village together formed a single unit but in that year the village was sub-divided and in 1806 the larger portion bearing an assessment of Rs. 2406 was granted as a - jaghir to one Samarkhan Bahadur while the remainder consisting of 18.70 acres of ryotwari land and 253.15 acres of minor inams was - treated as a Government village. In 1883 the ryotwari lands which - lay scattered among the lands of the jaghir village ^{were} transferred to the Jaghirdar on condition of his paying an additional jodi of Rs. 41.9.0, being the assessment of the land less 10 per cent allowed for the trouble of collection. In consequence of these changes - all that is now left of the Government village is the extent of --

255.15 acres consisting of village service and other minor inams which yield a revenue of Rs.262 per annum as shown in the margin.

Quit rent on village service inams for Fasli 1303.	Rs.79
Do.---Do.other inams.	94
Land Cess for Fasli 1303	51
Village Cess ---Do---	58
(Madras Act IV of 95 having been introduced in the village).	Rs.262

5. The village service inams referred to in the last para appear to have been enjoyed as such by the present petitioners till fasli 1299 when they were enfranchised and quit-rent was imposed on them. All the village service inams in the ryotwari

villages of the North Arcot District were enfranchised about the same time and a scheme of fixed salaries for the village servants of the District was framed by the Collector and the Board and sanctioned in G.O.No.537 dated 27th June 1889. The Government village of Ayan Mangadu was however not brought under this scheme, by the then Collector, Mr. Le Manu, who appears to have thought that it was inadvisable to separate it from jaghir Mangadu, as the lands of the two villages were interspersed with one another and formed ^{but} one block. In 1896, the Collector Mr. Wynne brought to the notice of the Board that the village officers of Ayan Mangadu complained that although they paid quit-rent for their inams they received no salaries for the duties discharged by them in regard to the Government village. The Board considered that the maintenance of a separate establishment for a village with so limited an area was quite unnecessary and decided in its Proceedings No.1656, dated 8th May 1897 that the Government village of Ayan Mangadu should be clubbed with the neighbouring ryotwari village of Pongadu. This arrangement which involved no extra cost to the Village Service Fund was carried out with effect from the 24th March 1898 from which date the services of the petitioners have been dispensed with.

4. The Board has ascertained that the Petitioners while discharging the ordinary duties of village servants in a Government village have received no remuneration either in the shape of inams or of salaries from the Village Service Fund for a period of 8 years, 8 months and 25 days i.e. from the time of ^{the} enfranchisement of their inams to the date of the clubbing of Ayan Mangadu with the adjoining village of Pongadu. During this interval the petitioners were paid certain

fees

Average amount paid by the Jaghirder during the last five years.

Monigar	Rs.44.8.6 per annum
Karnam	83.2.2.
Telayeri	68.10.0
Toti-fees known as Vilakupichai from the ryots during harvest time.	

fees by the Jaghirder who retained their services for the Jaghir village, but this fact does not affect their claim on the Village Service Fund so far as the Government village of Mangadu is concerned.

5. The quit-rent

paid by the petitioners during the above period for their enfranchised inams viz. Rs.689-11-4 has been credited to the Village Service Fund and the Collector recommends that that quit-rent be now refunded by way of remuneration to the petitioners. The details of the refund proposed are shown below:-

Quit-rent levied on the Monigar's enfranchised Village Service Inam	Rs.51 per annum
Do.---Karnam's	Do.---Rs.19
Do.---Telayeries	Do.---Rs.24
Do.---Totis	Do.---Rs.5
Total	Rs.79

Amount collected for 8 years, 8 months and 25 days. Rs.689.11.4

The Board supports the Collector's recommendation. The charge can be met from savings in the Budget Estimate of the Village Service Fund for the current year under "Anticipated increases owing to the revision of Village Establishments".

W. Swamikanathan

For Ag. Secretary.

13 OCT 1899

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

C.B.

For

255.15 acres consisting of village service and other minor inams which yield a revenue of Rs.262 per annum as shown in the margin.

Quit rent on village service inams for Fasli 1303.	Rs.79
Do.---Do.other inams.	94
Land Cess for Fasli 1303	51
Village Cess ---Do---	38
(Madras Act IV of 93 having been introduced in the village).	Rs.262

5. The village service inams referred to in the last part appear to have been enjoyed as such by the present petitioners till fasli 1299 when they were enfranchised and quit-rent was imposed on them. All the village service inams in the ryotwari

villages of the North Arcot District were enfranchised about the same time and a scheme of fixed salaries for the village servants of the District was framed by the Collector and the Board and sanctioned in G.O.No.537 dated 27th June 1889. The Government village of Ayen Mangadu was however not brought under this scheme, by the then Collector, Mr. Le Manu, who appears to have thought that it was inadvisable to separate it from jaghir Mangadu, as the lands of the two villages were interspersed with one another and formed ^{but} one block. In 1896, the Collector Mr. Wynne brought to the notice of the Board that the village officers of Ayen Mangadu complained that although they paid quit-rent for their inams they received no salaries for the duties discharged by them in regard to the Government village. The Board considered that the maintenance of a separate establishment for a village with so limited an area was quite unnecessary and decided in its Proceedings No.1656, dated 8th May 1897 that the Government village of Ayen Mangadu should be clubbed with the neighbouring ryotwari village of Pongadu. This arrangement which involved no extra cost to the Village Service Fund was carried out with effect from the 24th March 1898 from which date the services of the petitioners have been dispensed with.

4. The Board has ascertained that the Petitioners while discharging the ordinary duties of village servants in a Government village have received no remuneration either in the shape of inams or of salaries from the Village Service Fund for a period of 8 years, 8 months and 25 days i.e. from the time of ^{the} enfranchisement of their inams to the date of the clubbing of Ayen Mangadu with the adjoining village of Pongadu. During this interval the petitioners were paid certain

fees

x
Average amount paid by the Jaghirder during the last five years.

Monigar	Rs.44.8.6 per annum
Karnam	85.2.2.
Talayari	68.10.0
Toti-fees known as Vilakupichai from the ryots during harvest time.	

fees by the Jaghirder who retained their services for the Jaghir village, but this fact does not affect their claim on the Village Service Fund so far as the Government village of Mangadu is concerned.

5. The quit-rent

paid by the petitioners during the above period for their enfranchised inams viz. Rs.689-11-4 has been credited to the Village Service Fund and the Collector recommends that that quit-rent be now refunded by way of remuneration to the petitioners. The details of the refund proposed are shown below:-

Quit-rent levied on the Monigar's enfranchised Village Service Inam	Rs.51 per annum
Do.---Karnam's	Do.---Rs.19
Do.---Talayaries	Do.---Rs.24
Do.---Totis	Do.---Rs.5
Total	Rs.79

Amount collected for 8 years,

8 months and 25 days.-----Rs.689.11.4

The Board supports the Collector's recommendation. The charge can be met from savings in the Budget Estimate of the Village Service Fund for the current year under "Anticipated increases owing to the revision of Village Establishments".

W Swamikanian

Act Ag. Secretary.

13 OCT 1899

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

C.B.

Lot