

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4089

DATED 13 Oct 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the employment of additional
establishments in connection with Plague work
in the districts of Bellary and Kurnool

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public (189) Department.

CU CURRENT No. 653

Read also B.P. L.B. no
1018 Ref dy 12. 12. 98
(Cmo 6766 98P)

Order misc. No 4089 dy 13. 12. 98

The entertainment

employment of the addi-
tional establishments
applied for in the papers
read above is sanctioned.

11/13/98

13/10/98

From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue,
Madras.

Ref No. 989
2 SEP 2 1898

Dated 5th September 1898.

Received 22

Registered 23

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Board of Rev. L.B. No 1018
Acct General Chro
the Financial Dept
with prop & state
ment

Requesting sanction of Govt
for the entertainment of a temporary
clerk on Rs. 45 in the Collector's
office, Kurnool, for the disposal of
work in connection with the Plague.

19-12-98


13. 12. 98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE),

Madras, 6th September 1898

READ—the following :—

1. letter from Mhammad Raza Khan Esquire, Acting Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue Madras, C.No.2010/genl.of '98, dated 20th July '98 and Reference No.869, dated 6th August 1898.

2. Also letter from the Accountant-General, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, No.4032, dated 20th August 1898.

Reference No. 989 dated 5th September 98.

The proposition statement received from the Collector of Kurnool with the first letter read above for the entertainment of a temporary clerk on Rs.45 in his office for the disposal of work in connection with the Plague is submitted for the sanction of Government with reference to Regulation 28 of the Additional Plague Regulations as modified in Government Order No.641, dated 2nd July 1898.

2. The charge can be met from savings out of the provision of Rs.648 made against item 14 of Appendix F to Board's Proceedings No.336, dated 12th October 1897.

Lineal D. Smith

AG, Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Govt.R.D., thro' the Accountant-General with proposition statement.

Md.R.H.

Asla

*g.c. 1181
29.7.98*

*1034
11.12.97*

Revenue
Political (1898) Department.

Thro The Accountant General CURRENT No. *6466.*

From

The Board of Revenue, Land
Revenue, Madras.

Order

No. 1018 Misc. REF

Dated 12th September 1898

Received 30 —

Registered 2 *Oct*

Enclosures 3.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submg. to Govt. for sanction proposi-
tion statement for the entertainment
of temporary establishment in the --
Collector's office, Ballary, for dis-
posal of work in connection with the
Plague.

No.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FROM

E. A. Edmon Esquire

COLLECTOR OF
BELLARY.

TO

THE *Secretary to the Commissioners*
of Land Revenue
Madras

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No. 135 P dated 24th April 1898 and 52 P dated 22nd July 1898, I have the honor to forward herewith a proposition statement in duplicate for the additional Establishment entertained for plague work as directed in G.O. No. 641 P dated 2nd July 1898 and request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the same.

1186
29788

2. I beg to add that a third clerk on Rs 20 included in the proposition statement will be entertained in as day or two.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Yours most obedt Servt
[Signature]
Bellary Collector

[Signature]



Revenue Board Office, Land Revenue
Madras, dated 12th September 1898.

Read the following papers:--

- (1). Again Board's Proceedings No. 1236 Misc. dated 9th May 1898, recording letter from the Collector of Bellary reporting on the appointments made with reference to the Plague regulation 28 (addl.)
- (2). Again Board's Proceedings No. 2027 Mis. dated 28th July 1898, recording letter from the Collector of Bellary reporting that an Accountant on Rs. 25 a month has been appointed to check the bills &c. received from the officers on Plague duty.
- (3). Also letter from E.A. Elwin Esq., Ag. Collector of Bellary to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, Dis. No. 19/acc. dated 1st September 1898. *R.*

-----:o:-----

Reference No. 1018 dated 12th September 1898.

The proposition statement received with the letter read above for the entertainment of temporary establishment in the
1 clerk on Rs. 45. Collector's office, Bellary, for the disposal -
1 ----- 20 of work in connection with the Plague is sub-
1 ----- 20 mitted to Government for sanction in continua-
1 accountant 25 tion of Board's Reference No. 989, dated 1st --
110 September 1898.

2. The charge can be met from savings out of the provision of Rs. 2220 made against items 24 & 25 of Appendix F to Board's Proceedings No. 336, dated 12th October 1897.

Lionel Swinden
Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, R.D., through the Accountant-General with letter & proposition statement in original.

C.B.
K.S.A.

1898
REVENUE DEPT.
Encl. No. 3
Cur. No. 6766

Revenue Dept

Read Board's Refe no 989 of
5.9.98 (enc b537.498)

Notes

With the current read
above the Board of Revenue ~
Submits, for the sanction of ~
Government with reference to Plague
Regulation No 28 in G.O. b41 (P)
2.7.98, the proposition state-
ment forwarded by the Collector
of Hurnool for the entertainment
of a temporary clerk on Rs 45 in
his office for the disposal of work
in connection with the Plague.
The Board does not enter into
the question whether the appoint-
ment is necessary but merely
proposes to meet the charge ~
from savings out of the provision
of Rs 648 made under Temporary
Establishment in the ^{Land Rev} Budget
Estimates of the current year.
If the Local & munl. septs. ~
considers the appointment to be
necessary, the proposition state-
ment may ^{it is submitted} be approved and ~
transferred to the Financial Dept.

G.O. 1186 Rev
29.7.98

Item 14 in page 54
of G.O. 1039 Rev
11.12.97

Secy The question also
arises whether such cases

R
L
C

Mr. Plague should not be debited to a special head & allotments provided therefor. The provision in the Land Rev. Budget was not intended to meet such charges.

11/6
29/8/18

Plague expenditure has nothing to do with revenue collection or administration any more than it has to do with magisterial expenditure. It will not fail.

provide funds outside the Land Rev. Budget. If a proposal by collectors for Plague establishment be directed from collectors to L.R. Dept.

29/8/18

to Fin. Dept. as

A. J.
Plague
C. M.
note

Plague

Financial

NOTE FOR THE C.A.

The collector of Kutch, seeks sanction for the entertaining of a temporary clerk on Rs 45 in his office for plague work. It is proposed by the Board of Revenue to meet the charge from savings in the Land Revenue budget. The Revenue considers that the charge should be met from funds provided outside the Land Revenue Budget as Plague expenditure has nothing to do with revenue collection or administration any more than it has to do with magisterial expenditure. In other words, it is of opinion that expenditure on Plague should be debited to a special head from which allotments should be provided. The charges from Provincial funds are classified under two main heads, Direct and Indirect charges & the distinction between these two classes of expenditure under Plague

NOT quite. Rev. considers that Plague expenditure should be from sources outside the Land Rev. Budget.

is based on the same principle,
as under ^{A.D.} ~~Famine~~, viz, —
when new appointments
are created exclusively for
plague duty the charges are
treated as Direct, but when
new appointments are
made merely with the object
of strengthening existing
Establishments to cope with
the additional work caused by
plague, the charges are treated
as Indirect & debited to the
Ordinary Services heads.

G.O. no 698 dtd 27/5 Aug 1898

Further the Comptroller General
is also of opinion that special
medical expenditure on account of
plague should be debited to new
heads specially opened under
24 Medical in the accounts but
that all expenditure on additional
Police or Revenue Establishments
as well as the cost of any addl
staff for the ordinary hospitals
& dispensaries should be
charged to the appropriate
service heads, as though the
additional appointments may
be due to the plague —

27/5/98

G.O. no. 720 dtd 27/4 Sept 1897

[74]
500-30-7-98

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

In accordance with the prin-
ciples approved in G.O. No. 698, d/15th
August 1898, the ^{cost} ~~charge~~ of the plague
clerk in the office of the Collector
of Kurnool is an indirect charge which
must be booked against 3 Land Revenue
as explained in paragraphs 2, 3 and 4
of the foregoing note. The Board of
Revenue in the present case reports
that the extra cost can be met from
savings in its budget and such being
the case, it is not necessary to make
an additional grant.

Cost
27/5/98
14/11/98

To the Under Secretary.

Please see draft on the
docket. The draft order, may
it is submitted, be sent to
the L. & M. Dept for

concurrency, as suggested
in page one of the office
note.

S.S.
51088. What has been done
on G.O. Finl Lgs & 15-8-98
in the Rev Dept?

W
11088

To Under Secy

Please see G.O. misc
3077 Rev. of 1st Sept 1898. The
G.O. was recorded therein
and copies sent to the three
Depts of the Board of Rev.

W.M.
7.8.98 (This order has been
put up in the first instance)

yes. I had no
recollection of it
J.P.

Secy

The principle that
indirect plague charges
should be debited to the
ordinary service head
is as doubt correct.

~~As the Board has the amount~~
~~available, there seems no~~
~~objection to sanctioning it in~~
~~the future all purely Plague~~
~~app^{ts} will be made by the~~
~~P.C. H.T.~~
~~11.10.98~~
~~T.R.D.H.O.~~
As the Board has the amount
available, there seems no
objection to sanctioning it in
the future all purely Plague
app^{ts} will be made by the
P.C. H.T.
11.10.98
T.R.D.H.O.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
10 OCT 1898
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

If the charge is to be
debited to a service head, the
application had better come
direct through us. We may
eg. in some cases think that
the charge funds belong
to the Revenue service head &
when the Bd proposes to debit
the expenditure all wrong
charged. We are the custodians
of those Funds.

In this instance before
sanctioning the expense we
must have the concurrence
of Lt M. as to the necessity
of it.

J.P. 10/10/98

Lt M. rep.

It is necessary. But in
future all purely Plague
app^{ts} will be made by the
P.C. H.T.
11.10.98

T.R.D.H.O.

To Under Secy

The expenditure
it is said can be met from
savings in the budget grant.
The establishment is temporary
and the papers have already
been seen by the F.D. G.
Draft G.O. Sanctioning the
Board's proposal is accord-
ingly submitted on the docket
of the current.

Wm
13.12.28

Secy

13.12.28

Yes Issue 13/10/28

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.