

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3996 & 3996 A
Confidential & 1061

Dated 7th Oct 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Concurring in the Board's view that the
Advocate General should be retained for
half of post in the Dornala Suit, furnishing
the Board with certain records and addressing
the Govt of India for certain papers.

Letter to the Govt of India
Rev & Agri Dept.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

7261 7261 348	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

*How can a notice
be Capital?*
Confidential

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4585

From

The Ag. Collector of
Kurnool.

P.C.
Dec: No. 464 of 1898.
gl

Dated 24.6.98

Received 30

Registered 30

Enclosure /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting the notice
of suit received in this
office with B. P. No 1350
Miscellaneous dated 13-3-98

21/30698

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Confidential.

R.C. No. 464 of 1898.

ENCLOSURES. /

KURNOOL COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

KURNOOL,

Dated 24th June 1898.

FROM

Muhammad Raza Khan Esquire,

M. COLLECTOR OF KURNOOL,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO Government,
Revenue Department,
Madras.

SIR,

As instructed by the
Board in para 8 of its Reference
No. 656 dated 15th June 1898,
I have the honour to submit
herewith the notice of suit
received in this office with
B.P. No. 1350 Miscellaneous
dated 13th March 1894.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Raza Khan
M. Collector
25/6/98

25.6.98.

189 .

CORRESPONDENCE DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

KURNOOL,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO

Dated

Received

189 .

No.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

Revenue
Public

(1898) Department.

Confidential

CURRENT No. ~~74~~ 6584
conf no 74

From Board of Revenue
Land Revenue

Order

No. 2639 *Ami*
Conf No 84

Dated 23^d September 1898

Received 25th do

Registered 26 do

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Informing Govt by wire
that the original of statement No II
referred to in para 13 of Mr Ross's letter
of 2^d October 1893 is not traceable
and that an extract of the entries
relating to Dornala Subba Naidu in
the copy of the statement since found
in the Vol. of registers of pensions is
being despatched both to Govt &
to the Govt Solicitor by today's
post.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CONFIDENTIAL.

200 copies
20-7-96.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 23^d September 1898

No. 2639/m

Const. No. 84

READ Telegram from the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department, dated 21st September 1898.

Resolution

The following deferred telegram will
be despatched to Government.

"Your telegram 21st. Original of Ross's statement not traceable. Copy since found in the
Volume of registers of pensions. Extract sent today's
post, and copy going direct to Government's Solicitor."

(True Extract)

Lionel Davidson
Ag Secretary

To
The Secretary to Government, Rev. Dep^t
with an extract from Ross's statement
Government Solicitor.

20-7-96

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CONFIDENTIAL.

200 copies
20-7-96.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 23^d September 1898

No. 2639/m

Conf. No. 84

READ Telegram from the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, dated 21st September 1898.

Resolution

The following deferred telegram will
be despatched to Government.

"Your telegram 21st. Original of Ross' detailed
statement not traceable. Copy since found in the
Volume of registers of pensions. Extract sent today's
post, and copy going direct to Government Solicitor."

True Extract,

Lionel Danks
Ag Secretary

To The Secretary to Government, Rev. Dep^{ty}
with an extract from Ross' statement
Government Solicitor.

20-7-96
Copied

CONFIDENTIAL.

1896.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF

Proceedings, dated

1896.

No.

Conf. No.

Abstract.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6606

From Mahomed Razabhan Esq.
Collector of Kurnool

Order

Dis No. $\frac{3580}{\text{gave}}$ of 98

Dated 19th September 1898

Received 24th "

Registered 26th "

Enclosures ~~one~~ two

Spare copies "

SUBJECT.

Submitting with remarks
an extract relating to Subha
maid of Dornaulah from the
statement showing the
amount of fixed pension
and of Dikhhur paid to
Captain Poljan of the Kurnool
Division

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of the Telegram from
Secretary Board of Revenue
Land Revenue.

"Please see para five and
Revenue General's letter of 9 May 1883
printed at page 3 of Government
G.O. No. 122
ala
ut
rils
u =

[Faint handwritten notes and bleed-through from the reverse side of the page, including the word "Intro" and various illegible phrases.]

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

"Please see para five and
Seven Glennys letter 9" May 1883
printed at page 3 of Government
... .. Bant

Total of fixed pay me
and average debt

Amount of Veriz			Amount of Annual Pension.			Average of 11 years or from fasti 1212 to fasti 1222			Average of 9 years or from fasti 1214 to fasti 1222			Total of Column 4 and 5		
Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs
2245	35	13	708	15	-	105	29	72	105	29	72	813	44	72

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Copy of the Telegram from
Secretary Board of Revenue
Land Revenue.

"Please see para five and
seven of my letter of 9th May 1883
printed at page 3 of Government
Order Communicated with Board
Proceedings 11090 Miscellaneous 22nd
December 1883 regarding Daxuala
pollicum Submit to Government
Daxacamund Direct Copy entries
regarding Daxuala Subhanayudu
in detailed Statement No: 2 men-
tioned para 13 Collector Ross
letter 2nd October 1873.
(True copy)

For Collector

Dated 19th September 1898.

Di. No. 3580

ENCLOSURES. 2

FROM

Ahomed Raza Khan Esq. M. A.

COLLECTOR OF KURNOOL,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO

Government
Revenue Department
Cota Camund.

SIR,

Adverting to Board's Telegram dated 18th instant a Copy of which is herewith enclosed, I have the honour to submit herewith an extract of the entries relating to Subba Nayudu of Dornal from the Statement received with Board's Proceedings No. $\frac{1157 \text{ Dis}}{12. 2. 1893}$ in para 2 of which this Statement is said to have been the same "as Statement No 2 in Collector Mr. Ross's letter dated 2nd October 1893". I may however state

Dated 19th September 1898.

No. 3580

genl

ENCLOSURES. 2

FROM

Mahomed Raza Khan Esqiro

COLLECTOR OF KURNOOL,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO

Government
Revenue Department
Cothacamund.

SIR,

Adverting to Board's
Telegram dated 18th instant a Copy
of which is herewith enclosed, I
have the honour to submit herewith
an extract of the entries relating to
Subba Nayudu of Dornal from
the Statement received with Board's
Proceedings No. $\frac{1157 \text{ mis}}{12. 2. 1883}$ in para 2
of which this Statement is said to
have been the same as Statement
No. 2 in Collector Mr. Ross's letter
dated 2nd October 1813. I may however
state

1898
REVENUE DEPT.

Encl. No.

2

1606

state that the Statement received
with the above Board's Proceedings
and from which an extract is now
submitted is dated 21st February 1844.

It could not therefore have formed
an enclosure to Mr. Ross's letter
of 2.10.1813 but was evidently
the amended Statement forwarded
with that officer's letter of 21.2.1844
which date is identical with
that of the Statement.

2. I beg to add that no copy
of Mr. Ross's letter dated 2.10.1813
or of Statement No 2 said to have
been referred to therein is forthcoming
in my office.

I have the honour to be

Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Richard
Low Collector.

Copd
20.9.98

189 .

CORRESPONDENCE DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

KURNOOL,

To

THE SECRETARY TO

Dated

189 .

Received

No.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

$\frac{0}{18}$ 2,000-30-3-08.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6729.

From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue.

Order

No.

Dated 30th Sept.

Received 1st }
Registered 1st } Oct.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that copy
of the written statement
of the Dornala Suit has
not yet been received
and that the Board
will telegraph re: Counsel

By
11/10/98

189 .

CORRESPONDENCE DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

KURNOOL,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO

Dated

189 .

Received

No.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

$\frac{0}{18}$ 2,000-30-3-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6729.

From

*The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue.*

Order

No.

Dated 30th Sept.

Received 1st }
Registered 1st } Oct.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Stating that copy
of the written statement
of the Dornala suit has
not yet been received
and that the Board
will telegraph*

Consume

*by
10/10/98*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Code }
Class. }

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS

Monthly
Number.

To
(Office)

Ootacamund

From
(Office)

Madras

Words.
24

Day.
30

Hour.
18

Minute.
28

Official
Instructions.

Triplicane

To
(Person)

Secretary to Govt
Rev. Department.

From
(Person)

Board Land
Rev.

Copy written statement dornala
suit not yet received
will telegraph regarding of counsel
tomorrow

32 Word Form.

F.C.L.

Recd. from

Sent to

L.N.

At Hrs. Mts. by

At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

OOTACAMUND 30-9-98

U.G.P.—No. 295 T—1510 96—6000000.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6441.

Feb.
From

The Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)

Order

No.

Dated 1 Oct

Received 3

Registered 3

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Recommending that the
Advocate-General be retained
for Dornala suit.

G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Code
Class.

To
(Office)

Words

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official
Instructions.

From
(Office)

From

(Person

To
CPR

32 Word Form:

Recd. from

LN.

Sent to

LN

1128

Rlt3. by

14

Hr

00TACAMUN

2. Telegraph Master.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6442.

To:

From

The Collector of
Kurnool.

Order

No.

Dated / Oct 2

Received 3

Registered 3

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the written
statement in Dornala Suit is
not received and that ^{the suit} it is
adjudged to November.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS Monthly Number.

To Ootacamund From Kurnool

Words. 21 Day. 1 Hour. 16 Minute. 7 Official Instructions. 155.

To Secretary to Government rev. Sept. From Collector

Written statement in dornal suit not received suit adjourned to november on

Ux. never mind posting the date to day. bit tel to be depending that we will

Reed. from LN. Sent to LN. OOTACAMUND 170-98

at Hrs. Mts. by

00 P-No. 196 T-1210 96-6000000.

Confidential
Revenue
Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6830

Conf. no 70

From *Board of Revenue*
Land Revenue

Order

No. 2712 Mis
Conf. no 94

Dated 3^d October 1898.

Received 4th 1898

Registered 4th 1898

Enclosures —

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Recommending to Government
that the Advocate General
be retained for the Dornala
Palayam District Court No. 12 of 1897
on the file of the District
Court, Mysore.*

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
-----	-------

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
-----	-------

CONFIDENTIAL.

1,000
27-11-96.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),

Dated 3^d October 1898

No. 27/2 Am
Conf. No. 94

READ Telegram from the Secretary to Govern-
ment, Revenue Department, dated 30th September
1898.

Resolution.

The following Telegram will be
despatched to the Secretary to Government, Revenue
Department.

In continuation yesterday's Telegram
Board recommends that Advocate General
be retained for Darnala Suit."

/ True Extract /

Lonel Davidson
Ag. Secretary

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

10
C. S. L.
Copy

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
-----	-------

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
-----	-------

CONFIDENTIAL.

1,000
27-11-96.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),

Dated 3^d October 1898
No. 27/2 Am
Confl. No. 94

READ Telegram from the Secretary to Govern-
ment, Revenue Department, dated 30 September
1898.

Resolution.

The following Telegram will be
despatched to the Secretary to Government, Revenue
Department.

In continuation yesterday's Telegram
Board recommends that Advocate General
be retained for Dornala Suit."

(True Extract)

Lonel Davidson
Ag. Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

10.
L.S.L.
Copied

CONFIDENTIAL

1896.

Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

(THE COLLECTOR OF

Proceedings, dated

1896.

No.
Conf. No.

Abstract.

Code
Class.

INDIAN



TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly
Number.

137.

To
(Office)

Words.

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official
Instructions.

From
(Office)

To
(Person)

From
(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

(Person)

32 Word Form.

Registers sanads available from 9
April 1802 to 14 November
1806 nothing about dornala traceable
no means finding whether copy
despatch 8 of 63 was
sent to india despatch registers
not forthcoming

Recd. from

LN.

Sent to

LN.

At

Hrs

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

CGP-No. 1 T-17 9 27-2000000.

OOTACAMUND 21-9-98

Sadebho

Telegraph Master.

F.C.L.

To the Registrar

The Under Secretary
wants you to ascertain and
report by wire

(1) whether any and if
to what light is thrown
by the Register of ^{anxiety} Canada
maintained in the Record
Office of the ~~Government~~
that such a register ~~exists~~
the special conditions of
each ~~country~~ ~~was~~ ~~originally~~
(~~contained~~) on the ~~latest~~ ~~Domala~~
~~Sanat~~ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~for~~ ~~sale~~

(2) for what period or periods
(i.e. from what date to what
date) have we such registers
or registers and ~~memorials~~

(3) whether a copy of the
Govt's despatch No. 179 of 27
January 1863 (and ~~Govt~~
No 179 Rev of 27. 1. 63) for-
warding to the Secy of State
the Domala ~~claim~~ ~~pretender's~~
Memorial was at the time
sent to the Govt of India

The Under Secy also
wants

CONFIDENTIAL

To the Registrar

The Under Secretary
wants you to ascertain and
report by wire

(1) whether any and if
so what light is thrown
by the Register of ^{or register} Canada
maintained in the Record
Office of the ~~Government~~
that such a register ~~exists~~
the special considerations ~~of~~
each ~~State~~ ~~was~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~
(concerned) on the ~~late~~ ~~of~~ ~~Canada~~
~~and~~ ~~the~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~case~~

(2) for what period or periods
(i.e. from what date to what
date) have we such registers
or registers and minutes

(3) whether a copy of this
Govt's despatch No. 2 of 27th
January 1863 (vide Govt.
No 179 Res of 27. 1. 63) for-
warding to the Secy of State
the Dornala ~~also~~ ^{preluding}
Memorial was at the time
sent to the Govt of India

The Under Secy also
wants

want specimen of Kaluliyat
forms of ^{the years} ~~dates~~ between 1801
and 1810 (both years inclusive
to be sent up by return of post.

BMM
19-IX-98

Please see telegram despatched
to the Under Secretary today.

~~Supplemental~~ Copies of despatches
to the Secretary of State are usually
sent to the Govt. of India. The
original despatch not being available
& the despatches registers of 1863
also not being available there
is no means of finding whether
Copy of despatch No 8 of 1863 has
sent to India.

Specimens of Kaluliyat asked
for are not available. There are
a few cancelled Kaluliyats of
1805 which are sent by post today.

BMM
21/9/98

Read again C.O. No. 3801 dated 21"
Sept. 1898.

(2) " " 3880 dated 30"
Sept. 1898.

(3) " also letter from Ag. Collector
of Kurnool, R.C. No. 464/genl., dated
24th June 1898 (C. No. 4535 of 1898).

(4) " B.P. (Land Revenue) Dis. No.
2630 Confdl., dated 23rd Sept. 1898.

(5) " letter from the Collector of
Kurnool Dis. No. 3580/genl. dated 12th
September 1898 (C. No. 6606).

(6) " Telegram from the Board of
Revenue, Land Revenue, dated 30" Sept.
1898 (C. No. 6729).

(7) " Telegram from Do. Do.
dated 1" ^{Oct.} 1898 (C. No. 6771).

(8) " Telegram from the Collector
of Kurnool dated 1st ^{Oct.} September 1898
(C. No. 6772 of '98)

(9) " Board's Proceedings No. 2712
Confdl. dated 3rd Oct. 1898.
(C. No. 6830 of '98).

Order Dis. No. 3996 dated 13th Oct. 1898

In view of the importance
of the suit under consideration, His Ex-
cellency the Governor in Council agrees
with the Board of Revenue in considering
that the Advocate General should
be retained to appear on behalf of the
The Board and the Govt. Solicitor
be requested to have the necessary an-
rangements made accordingly.

MA
7.10.98

2. A selection of the records relating to the subject matter of the suit ~~will~~ ^{will} be forwarded to the Board of Revenue as detailed in the list appended. The Board is requested to make timely application for any ~~re-~~ ^{in the Govt office,} records, which, it may be advised, are necessary to the suit. ~~A list of the papers sent is appended.~~

3. The original of the despatch to the Secretary of State No. 8 dated 27th Jany. 1863 and a copy of Subba Naidu's memorial therein referred to (vide G. O. 179, Revenue, dated 27th January 1863), are not forthcoming in the records of the Government Office. The Govt. of India will accordingly be requested to furnish this Government with copies of them if they are available in the India Secretariat.

Send my Memo
with a Ds.

S/S

done
12/10/98

sent 12/10/98

He
5/10/98

S/S
12/10/98

To the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.

" Government Solicitor.

(Please see next sheet for draft letter).

To

The Secy. to the Govt of India,
Revenue & Agricult. Dept.

Sir,

This Govt. with its despatch No. 8 dated 27th January 1863 forwarded to the Rt. Hon'ble the Secretary of State a copy of its Proceedings No. 179 dated 27th January 1863 and a memorial dated 20th October 1862 from one Subba Naidu of Dornala in the Kurnool District claiming a hereditary pension. Copies of the despatch, Proceedings and memorial were, there is reason to believe, forwarded at the same time to the Government of India.

2. In 1882 Subba Naidu claimed the estate of Dornala on the ground that it was a Zamindari granted to his ancestors sanad i milikut istrinarar. ~~After some correspondence and enquiry in the course of which it was found that the original of the despatch of 27th January 1863 and duplicate of the memorial of October 1862 were missing from the Government records,~~

3. ~~The~~ ^{made in 1882} claim was found to be based on forged and fictitious documents and was ~~rejected~~ ^{rejected} by this Government in 1883. ~~The~~ decision was upheld by the Government of India and the Secretary of State (vide Mr. Muir Mackenzie's letter dated 11th March 1892 No. 540/1 and Endorsement No. 446 dated 1st March 1893).

4. A son of Subba Naidu has now instituted a suit against the Hon'ble the Secretary of State for India in Council to recover the alleged Zamindari. ~~By the~~ ^{By the} ~~Secretary of State for India in Council~~ ^{Secretary of State for India in Council} ~~to recover the alleged Zamindari.~~ ^{to recover the alleged Zamindari.} ~~By the~~ ^{By the} ~~Secretary of State for India in Council~~ ^{Secretary of State for India in Council} ~~to recover the alleged Zamindari.~~ ^{to recover the alleged Zamindari.}

12/10/98

sent
12/10/98

referred to above and the memorial ^{it} ~~are~~
are likely to throw light on the
fraudulent nature of the plaintiff's claim.
and accordingly to request that with the
permission of His Excellency the Governor
General in Council an early search may be
made for the copies of the documents in
question, ^{which} ~~believed~~ ^{were} sent to the
Government of India, and that if found, they
may be transmitted to this Government.

Mr
Stuart
8/12/07

List of papers

Sent to the Board with G.O.
Misc No Rev. of Oct.
1898

- (1) File of papers (containing
copies of 16 papers) marked as
"Enclosure to Board's Reference No.
656 dated 15th June 1898"
Contn No 15
- (2) Original and two English
translations of a Marathi
letter to Suba Naidoo Bahadur,
purporting to be signed by Mr
Charles Ross, Collector, and dated
6th January 1224 * ^{marked} (Exhibit F
in the memorial of 1891)
- (3) Original and English Trans-
lation of a Telugu letter addressed
to Suba Naidoo Bahadur
purporting to be signed by Sir
Thomas Munro and dated
13th March 1211 * (Exhibit B)
- (4) Original and two English
Translations of a Marathi
letter addressed to the same
person and purporting to be
signed by Sir Thomas Munro
and 8th January 1212 *
^(marked Exhibit C)
in the memorial of 1890

referred to above and the memorial ^{Sub accompanied} it ^{for}
~~are~~ are likely to throw light on the
fraudulent nature of the plaintiff's claim.
I am accordingly to request that with the
permission of His Excellency the Governor
General in Council an early search may be
made for the copies of the documents in
question, ^{which are} ~~believed to have~~ ^{were} sent to the
Government of India, and that, if found, they
may be transmitted to this Government.

W. L.
Sturges
8/10/98

List of papers
sent to the Board with G.O.
misc no. Rev. dt Oct.
1898

- (1) File of papers (containing
copies of 16 papers) marked as
"Enclosure to Board's Reference"
656 dated 15th June 1898
Conf. no 15
- (2) Original and two English
translations of a Marathi
letter to Suba Nairao Bahadur,
purporting to be signed by Mr
Charles Ross, Collector, and dated
6th January 1224 & ^{marked} (Exhibit F
in the memorial of 1882)
- (3) Original and English Trans-
lation of a Telugu letter addressed
to Subba Nairao Bahadur
purporting to be signed by Sir
Thomas Munro and dated
13th March 1211 & (Exhibit B)
- (4) Original and two English
Translations of a Marathi
letter addressed to the same
person and purporting to be
signed by Sir Thomas Munro
and 8th January 1212 &
^{marked} (Exhibit C
in the memorial of 1882)

(5) Original and an English translation of a mahratti letter addressed to the same person and purporting to be signed by Sir Thomas Munro

and dy 25th Aug 1215⁷ (Exhibit E)
in the memorial of 1882

(6) Two documents (i) original and English translation of a Persian Cowli namah dy 27th Shawal 1206 Hijra and (ii) a "Cowli nama Barmay" dy 15th Muharam 1216

(N.B. items (2) & (3) above are sent by post insured. enclosed in a separate cover.)

(7) alleged original Nabuliyat for Dornala dated 15th Feb 1803
(8) Extract from Statement

no 1 referred to in major Munro report on the Poligars of the Ceded districts dy 20th Mar 1802.

"Enclosure to Board's Refr n^o 656 Confd 15th dy 15th June 1898"

(8) Letter from the Coll^r of Kurnool dy 11th Mar 1898

(9) Planis in O.S. no 12 of 1897 on the file of the District Court of Kurnool & Schedule

(10) Extract from statement prepared in 1802 (dy 20th Mar 1802)

(i) given as 27 Shawal 1146 in translation appended to memorial of 1882.

(ii) given as 1205 Hijra 15th Nov: in translation appended to do. Both are marked A.A in the appendix to the above memorial

(Exhibit A)

dy 20th Mar 1802) in Col Munro's time showing the Poligars both in the Collector's division and in other subdivisions (Signed by Mr C.A. Galton, As. Collector)

(11) Copy of the Advocate General Mr P.O. Sullivan's opinion dy 13th March 1886

(12) Copy of the As. Advocate General Mr H.R. Shephard's opinion dy 21st August 1885

(13) The original of Subba Naidu's petition to Govt dy 14.1.82

(14) The original of G.O. no 1563 dy 25th August 1863 with Subba Naidu's memorial to the Secy of State and a covering petⁿ to the local Govt dy 15.3.63

(15) The Govt Office Record Keeper Mr Hunt's note to the Registrar, Rev Dept, dy 14.10.84 re: missing records as well as an extract from the Ooty list dy 13.5.85 & 1869 copied by Reference J. Chin-natambri mudali (now dead) with the remarks of the Record Keeper and P.S. Ganapati

(1802)

By collector) and the initials
of the then Secy Mr Wilson.

(16) ^{Three} ~~Two~~ papers of 14th May
1801, ^{8 Jan 1862} and 29th May 1803 (with Enc. of 21.5.1803)

taken from the Govt Office
records showing the ^{authentic} signature
of Sir Thomas Munro

(17) a paper of Kurpah 20th
1813 (taken from Govt
office records showing Mr
Charles Ross' ^{authentic} signature)

(18) Three papers no^s 879,
880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890,
and 891 of 30.11.21 showing
the ^{authentic} initials of Sir Thomas
Munro.

(19) Originals of

- | | | |
|--------|-------------------|----------------|
| (i) | G.O. Misc no 1474 | Rev: of 1.3.80 |
| (ii) | 2788 | .. of 7.3.80 |
| (iii) | 4403 | .. 17.7.90 |
| (iv) | 485 | .. 21.1.91 |
| (v) | 747-48 | .. 6.2.92 |
| (vi) | 1470 | .. 17.3.92 |
| (vii) | 5097 | .. 23.11.92 |
| (viii) | 964 | .. 8.3.93 |

(20) Notice under Sec 424 of the CPC
from Chidambaram Rev^d Grade
Pleader, Kurnool to the Secy to

2. File of papers relating
to the enquiry made in
1885-6 into the forgery
as to the forged
documents presented
to me for support of
the plaintiffs former claim
as to the tampering
with the Govt records
in this connection
(as per separate list)

2B. Copy of ^{Office letter} telegraph
message to Registrar
Rev Secy made from
Dny 9-19-12-58
Reply ^(21.12.58) from Registrar
also Office from the
Same 9-21-12-58

Govt. Rev Dept. of 26th Feb 1894
~~Rev Secy to Govt~~
My
4/10/88

My 10/8

- ④ Originals of.
- | | | |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| i | G.O. Misc no 3858 | Rev: of 29.7.88 |
| ii | no 525-26-27 | Pol of 3.8.85 |
| iii | no 708 | Pol of 7.10.85
(with copy) |
| iv | 6798 | Rev: of 7.12.85 |
| v | 158 | Pol of 15.2.86 |
| vi | 2707 | Pol of 8.10.86 |

By collector) and the initials
of the then Secy Mr Wilson.

(16) ^{Three} ~~Two~~ papers d/ 14th May
1801, ^{8 Jan 1862} and 29th May 1803 (with Enc. 21.5.1803)

taken from the Govt. Office
records showing the ^{authentic} signature
of Sir Thomas Munro

(17) a paper d/ Durpah 20th
1813 ~~1813~~ (taken from Govt.
office records showing Mr

Charles Ross' ^{authentic} signature

(18) Three papers no^s 879,
30.11.21, no 887, Apr 1880

and 510 d/ 30.11.21 Show
the ^{authentic} initials of Sir Thomas
Munro

(19) Originals of

(i) G.O. no 1474 Rev: d/ 1.3.80

(ii) 2788 .. d/ 7.3.80

(iii) 4403 .. 17.7.90

(iv) 485 .. 21.1.91

(v) 747-48 .. 6.2.92

(vi) 1470 .. 17.3.92

(vii) 5097 .. 23.11.92

(viii) 964 .. 8.3.93

(20) Notice under Sec 424 of the CPC
from Chidambaram Rev. 1st grade
Pleader, Kurnool to the Secy to
Govt.

On Postal Service.

To

Sender's Name and Address } The Head Clerk, Revenue
Secretariat with the Government
Shenoi's Hill

Name of Office of posting. } OOTACAMUND

2. File of papers relating
to the enquiry made in
1885-6 into the forging
as to the forged
documents presented
to me for in support of
the plaintiff's claim
as to the tampering
with the Govt records
in this connection.
(as per separate list)

23. Copy of ^{Office letter} telegraphic
message to Registrar
Rev. Secy. Madras from
D.D. 7.19.11-58
Reply ^(21.12.58) from Registrar
also Office from the
Same d/ 21.12.58

Govt. Rev. Dept. d/ 26th Feb. 1894
~~Rev. Secy. Madras~~
Mly
4/10/98

24. Originals of.
- i G.O. no 3858 Rev: d/ 29.7.8
 - ii .. no 525-26.27^{Pol} d/ 3.8.85
 - iii .. no 708 Pol d/ 7.10.85
(with copy)
 - iv .. 6798 Rev: d/ 7.12.85
 - v .. 158 Pol d/ 15.2.86
 - vi .. 2707 Pol d/ 8.10.86

D. O.



7th Oct. 1898.

My dear Forbes,

I think that special arrangements should be made for the protection of the documents, especially e.g. the one in wh. you showed that Munro's name to, must

have been forged.

If our records have been
tampered with before

they may be again, and

there may be a lot

of money to be made

by a dishonest subordinate.

The A.G. pro who is

new to the country

ought to be warned

of the danger of theft.

Yours sincerely
H. Brundage

DD. No 135.
8. 4. 28

Confidential

Wh. may have some
assistance in giving
a connected statement
of the facts

D.O.

my dear Davidson,

With reference to
G.O. Misc No 3996. Rev. dated
the 7th inst, I am to enclose
for the Board's information
a copy of a memorandum
by Mr Forbes on the subject
matter of the Bomala Suit

I am particularly to
invite attention to para 16
of the memo. The impor-
tant original documents
in the suit including the
one referred to in para 16
of the memo will be sent
to you by post, insured. I am
to request that they may be
carefully checked on receipt
and the result communicated.

I am directed to con-
vey a caution as to the
necessity for keeping all
important documents con-
nected with this suit in safe
and careful
custody. You will see that
the records were once tampered
with

80. 40 135.
8. 4 98

Confidential

Subd 12. 4. 98

Wh. may need some
assistance in giving
a connected statement
of the facts

P
Q = Copy

D.O.

My dear Davidson,

With reference to
G.O. Misc No 3996 Rev. dated
the 7th inst, I am to enclose
for the Board's information
a copy of a memorandum
by Mr Forbes on the subject
matter of the Bonala Suit

I am particularly to
invite attention to para 6
of the memo. The impor-
tant original documents
in the suit including the
one referred to in para 6
of the memo will be sent
to you by post, insured. I am
to request that they may be
carefully checked on receipt
and the result communicated

I am directed to con-
vey a caution as to the
necessity for keeping all
important documents con-
nected with this suit in safe
and careful
custody. You will see that
the records were once tampered
with

with and this might be attempted again. I am also to ask that the Advocate General maybe warned in this connection as to the danger of theft in this country.

Ind. N. 2. 11. 1898

SP

To: David Davidson Esq. JCS.
of Secy to the Board of Rev. Ld.

D. O. No. 38

Revenue Board Office,
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.
13th October 1898.

My dear Majoribanks,
In reply to your D.O. No. 135, dated 8th October 1898, I write to say that all the documents relating to the Dornala suit have been received and duly compared with the list attached to G.O. No. 3996, Revenue, dated 7th October 1898. They have been placed under seal and ^{secured} in the Board's iron safe. The Advocate General will be duly warned as requested.
Yours sincerely,
David Davidson

Confidential.

Revenue Department.

Memorandum.

1. The principles of Major Munro's settlement of the principles of the settled districts are explained in para 14 of his letter to Board of Revenue dated 30th March 1902 (vide No. 2 of papers - 1st III enclosed with Board's Proceedings dated 15th June 1902).

The service on which the palisades were held was dispensed with, and the tenure resumed; an allowance or pension being paid to the ex-paligars for their maintenance. In certain cases the management of the resumed villages was left to the ex-paligars to the extent of collecting the land revenue assessed thereon, receiving for this duty an extra allowance of ten percent (10 paise) upon future increases of the revenue.

2. The Dornala was one of those thus dealt with. Its history is given in the Memorandum which accompanied Major Munro's letter, and which will be found in print on pp. 505-6 of the Fifth Report to the House of Commons from the Select Committee on the affairs of the East India Company (Madras Vol. 1). The details of the settlement are set out in the Tabular Statement, accompanying the same letter, which will be found printed at the end of the Fifth Report. Dornala is No. 13 on the list of

Exhausts accompany the Current Board's Proceedings

P =
Q = Copy

will and this might be
attempted again. I am also
to ask that the Advocate
General may be warned in
this connection as to the
danger of theft in this
country.

Ind. N.R.M. / 8.8.98

SP

To Lord David on En. J.C.

of Secy to the Board of Rev. Ld.

D. O. No. 38

Revenue Board Office,
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

13th October 1898.

My dear Majoribanks,

In reply to your
D.O. No. 135, dated 8th October 1898, I write
to say that all the documents relating
to the Donnala suit have been received
and duly compared with the list
attached to D.O. No. 3996, Revenue, dated
7th October 1898. They have been placed
under seal and placed in the Board's
iron safe. The Advocate General
will be duly warned as requested.

Yours sincerely
Donell Donnan

Confidential.

Revenue Department.

Memorandum.

1. The principles of Major Munro's
settlement of the poligars of the Ceded
districts are explained in para 14 of
his letter to Board of Revenue dated 20th
March 1902 (vide No. 2 of papers - list
III enclosed with Board's Proceedings
dated 15th June 1902).

The service on which the poligars
were held was dispensed with, and the
tenure resumed; an allowance or pension
being paid to the ex-poligars for their
maintenance. In certain cases the average
rent of the resumed villages was left
with the ex-poligars to the extent of
collecting the land revenue assessed
thereon, receiving for this duty an ex-
tra allowance of ten percent (10 paise)
upon future increases of the revenue.

2. The Donnala was one of
those thus dealt with. Its history is
given in the memorandum which accompanied
Major Munro's letter, and which will
be found in print on pp. 565-6 of the
Fifth Report to the House of Commons
from the Select Committee on the Affairs
of the East India Company (1894-95).
The details of the settlement are set
out in the Tenth Statement, accompany-
ing the same letter, which will be
found printed at the end of the Fifth
Report. Donnala is No. 13 on the list of

Exhausts accompany the Current
Board's Proceedings

and is there shown as a paliam consist-
-ing, from 1800-1802, of 40 villages yield-
-ing a net basis of Gantaroy pagodas
2,282-0-6, 'Seshachalapatti Naidu, the son
of the previous poligar, being in receipt
of an allowance from the rents of 850
Gantaroy pagodas and continuing in the
management of the paliam villages.

3. In 1813, Mr. Ross, the Collector
of Guddeah, in a letter dated 2nd
October 1813, proposed that these poli-
gar allowances or pensions should be regu-
larly shown as such in the returns, in
para 13 of the letter he refers to a de-
claration in which no doubt
Dornala appears. It is not forthcoming,
but the Board has telegraphed that the
collector of Kurnool has been wired to
forward a copy if in the records.

4. Mr. Ross' recommendation was
approved by the Board of Revenue (Proc. 2
Nov. 1813) who asked him to submit pro-
posals for fixing the pensions that were
liable to fluctuation.

5. Mr. Ross accordingly with his
letter of 21st February 1814 submitted
amended registers.

In these Dornala Suba Naidu is en-
tered as in receipt of allowances in lieu
of resumed offices and privileges just
as all the other ex-poligars whose ser-
vice tenures had been extinguished,

The grant in respect of which the
allowance is shown as "Dornala &c. 30
villages". In column 104 of Munro's
Tabular Statement of 1802 the number is
given as 40. The variation is not ex-
plained, but in the course of years,

No. 6 ibid.

No. 7 ibid.

and is there shown as a paliam consist-
-ing, from 1800-1802, of 40 villages yield-
-ing a net basis of Ganteroo pagodas
2,322-0-6, Seshachalapatti Naidu, the son
of the previous paligar, being in receipt
of an allowance from the rents of 350
Ganteroo pagodas and continuing in the
management of the paliam villages.

5. In 1813, Mr. Ross, the Collect-
or of Gudnapah, in a letter dated 2nd
October 1813, proposed that these poli-
gar allowances or pensions should be re-
gularly shown as such in the returns. In
page 13 of the letter he refers to a de-

No. 5 in list III of enclos-
ures to Board's Proceedings. -tailed statement in which no doubt
Dornala appears. It is not forthcoming,
but the Board has telegraphed that the
collector of Kurnool has been wired to
forward a copy if in the records.

4. Mr. Ross' recommendation was
approved by the Board of Revenue (Proc. 2
Novr. 1813) who asked him to submit pro-
posals for fixing the pensions that were
liable to fluctuation.

5. Mr. Ross accordingly with his
letter of 21st February 1814 submitted
amended registers.

In these Dornala Siva Naidu is en-
tered as in receipt of allowances in lieu
of resumed offices and privileges just
as all the other ex-paligars whose ser-
vice tenures had been extinguished.

The grant in respect of which the
allowance is shown as ^{centered} "Dornala Ac. 39
villages". In column 104 of Munro's
Tabular Statement of 1802 the m

given as 40. The variation is
explained, but in the course of

many causes this slight difference may be imagined.

In the same column of the register registered as "Subba Haidu" is shown as "Subba Haidu" as made in 1830, i.e., he had been allowed to remain for the land and for the collection of revenue.

6. In 1838 Subba Haidu 8881 in his own name as his wife and family ^{were} listed as "Subba Haidu" as made in 1830, i.e., he had been allowed to remain for the land and for the collection of revenue.

7. In 1861 the wife of Subba Haidu 8881 in 1861 (G.O.) notified the land as "Subba Haidu" as made in 1830, i.e., he had been allowed to remain for the land and for the collection of revenue.

8. In 1862 Subba Haidu 8881 in 1862 (G.O.) notified the land as "Subba Haidu" as made in 1830, i.e., he had been allowed to remain for the land and for the collection of revenue.

In this petition no notice is given of any evidence or of any fact that might be proved in court. In the original petition it was stated that the land was "Subba Haidu" as made in 1830, i.e., he had been allowed to remain for the land and for the collection of revenue.

Whether the copy had been made or not is not known; but it is clear that the land was "Subba Haidu" as made in 1830, i.e., he had been allowed to remain for the land and for the collection of revenue.

Subba Haidu 8881 in 1861 (G.O.) notified the land as "Subba Haidu" as made in 1830, i.e., he had been allowed to remain for the land and for the collection of revenue.

was forwarded to the Government of India, and application will be made to that Government to transmit it here, if it was

The tenor of the memorial is, however, abundantly clear from the minute of Sir Thomas Pym, Member of Council, which very fully criticizes it; and it is impossible to believe that so important an allegation if made would have been passed over.

The Government forwarded the memorial to the Secretary of State (G.O. 27th May, 1863, No. 170).

9. Meantime six months later, presented with his petition of 15th March 1863 what he called a supplementary petition addressed to the Secretary of State.

In this for the first time any allegation of a Zamindari claim is put forward: it being ingeniously suggested that the original possession of the family consisted of a Zamindari of 38 villages and a jagir or service tenure of 18 villages.

But his allegations did not yet go so far as to assert the grant of a Zamindari by the British Government.

In para 3 he says "both these estates were resumed by Sir Thomas Munro who, on such resumption, granted the pension which is the subject of your memorialist's present claim. Both estates were private property which Suba Mahon consented to cede to the Government of the late East India Company".

~~See also para 11 of the memorial.~~

~~The whole argument is this memorial.~~

See also para 11 of the memorial.

The whole argument in this memorial is an appeal to the Govt. to reverse the decision refusing the petitioner an adopted son pension in view of the ancient greatness of the family and of the fact that if the estates had not been resumed the petitioner would not now be destitute.

There is no assertion of the creation of a Zamindari by the British Government, or any claim for restoration, or any demand for an account.

10. The Secretary of State rejected both memorials (vide G.O. 9th Sept. 1863, No. 1683 and 24th Decr. 1863 No. 2568).

11. Matters remained thus for nearly 30 years, until 1882 when Subba Union for the first time put forward a definite claim to Doonala as a Zamindari conferred to petitioner's grandfather by a regular Samad-i-Milkiyat-i-istimara transmitted to him by Munro in 1812 (1813) that the Zamindari was duly resumed and that the pension was duly paid till 1815 when the Zamindari falling ill the management of the estate was taken over by Government. Col. Munro arranging that he should receive an annual payment of 350 Rs. payable twice a year of 10 and 25 Rs. In other words that the pension which was paid to the grandfather and his father was not an allowance in respect of a resumed pension, but a trust arrangement.

12. With his memorial he forwarded a number of documents purporting to be of ancient date and confirmatory of his

No. 5 in list IV of Encls.
to the Board's Procs.

No. 6 of list ibid.

No. 7 ibid.

Vide also Record Keeper's
Memoranda dated 14th
Octr. 1884 and 15th
June 1885.

claim

In para 3 of the memorial he suggested a reference to the records. During the course of the enquiry which was instituted, a qualitative or counterpart of the same signed by the grantee, was produced from the record room.

13. The Government was completely misled and in G.O. 8th Decr. 1883, No. 1540 it acknowledged itself convinced of the genuineness of the memorialist's claims, and they accordingly recommended to the Government of India the continuance to him of a hereditary pension of Rs. 2000 a year.

14. Some correspondence ensued with the Government of India in the course of which the memorialist's case was further considered with the result that Govt. in G.O. 10th Sept. 1884, No. 1033 R., directed further inquiry to be prosecuted.

15. The result of this inquiry is recorded in G.O. dated 3rd June 1885 No. 1608. The memorialist's documents were pronounced to be fraudulent and the recommendation of the Government of India was withdrawn. The memorialist's application was absolutely and unconditionally rejected.

16. There is one point about the original documents referred to in the petition of 1882, which I have just discovered. It appears to put beyond dispute the fraudulent character of the evidence on which the claim is founded.

Document marked B purports to be written by "Mistake Colonel Sir Thomas Munro Sahib" and it is dated Rasli 1211 which is A.D. 1802.

No. 5 in list IV of Encls.
to the Board's Pcecs.

No. 6 of list ibid.

No. 7 ibid.

Vide also Record-keeper's
Memoranda dated 14th
Octr. 1884 and 15th
June 1885.

claim

In para 3 of the memorial he suggested a reference to the records. During the course of the enquiry which was instituted, a substantive or counter-part of the same signed by the grantee, was produced from the record room.

13. The Government was completely misled and in G.O. Bth Dept. 1883, No. 1540 it acknowledged itself convinced of the genuineness of the memorialist's claims, and they accordingly recommended to the Government of India the continuance to him of a hereditary pension of Rs. 2000 a year.

14. Some correspondence ensued with the Government of India in the course of the memorialist's case was further considered with the result that Govt. in G.O. 10th Sept. 1884, No. 1032 E., directed further inquiry to be prosecuted.

15. The result of this inquiry is recorded in G.O. dated 3rd June 1885 No. 1608. The memorialist's documents were pronounced to be manifestly forged. The Government of India was withheld from the memorialist's application and was absolutely rejected.

16. There is one point about the original documents referred to in the petition of 1882, which I have just discovered. It appears that to evade dispute the fraudulent character of the evidence on which the claim is founded.

Document marked B purports to be written by "Mister Colonel Sir Thomas Munro Sahib" and it is dated 12th 1211 A.D. 1302.

Now in 1802 Munro was a single Major
he did not attain the rank of Lieutenant
Colonel till 1804 (See Arbuthnot's Memoirs
Vol. I p. cxvi) nor was he promoted to be
a K.C.B. till 1819 (Ibid p. cxvi)!

17. With reference to the answer to
be filed in the present suit, that pro-
posed by the Board of Revenue seen to
meet the case.

If necessary it may be added with
reference to para 24 of the plaint that
it is true that Subbaiah appealed to
the Government of India and the Secre-
tary of State against the orders of this
Government and that those authorities
declined to interfere.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes,
Secretary to Government.

20th September 1898.

Now in 1802 Major was a single Major
he did not attain the rank of Lieutenant
Colonel till 1804 (See Arbuthnot's Memoirs
Vol. I p. cxxvi) nor was he promoted to be
a K.C.B. till 1819 (ibid p. cxxvi)!

17. With reference to the answer to
be filed in the present suit, that pro-
posed by the Board of Revenue seem to
meet the case.

If necessary it may be added with
reference to para 24 of the plaint that
it is true that Subba Naidu appealed to
the Government of India and the Secre-
tary of State against the orders of this
Government and that those authorities
declined to interfere.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes,
Secretary to Government.

20th September 1898.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DUTTO.

APRHS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DRAFT
 IN ORIGINAL.

S.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 - Not to be copied.
 - abstract only.
 - ding only.