

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3995

DATED 7<sup>th</sup> October

[Despatch Abstract.]



## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

[  $\frac{G.}{10}$  ]  
DUU-22-2-98.Revenue  
Pol (1898) Department.

D.O. CURRENT No. 137

Confidential No. ~~137~~

From

Lt Col. D. Robertson.  
Resident in Mysore.

No.

Transferred to the Revenue  
Department.Cund. Chief Secretary  
13.9.98Din  
12-9-98

D.O.

My dear Thomson,

In forwarding a copy of a D.O. from the Resident Regarding the services of Mr. in Mysore, dated 7th instant, Hawkins of the Salt & Shikari I am to ask whether you can Dept. spare the services of a trained Abkari officer for employment in Bangalore and in that event to request you to submit his name to Govt. The Resident

Sept 17/98

Dated 7-9-98

Received 12-9-98

Registered 12-9-98

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT

13.9.98  
Resident



2

will be asked to state how long  
the services of the officer will be  
required.

KO.  
1579198

15/9/8

BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

Confidential



Sept. 7. 1898

My dear Stokes

I write to let you

know that we shall not  
require Mr. B. Hawkins of  
the Salt and Abkari Dept.  
after the 1<sup>st</sup> October, when  
the term of his deputation expires



D.O. from the Resident in Mysore  
dated 7-9-98 (Cm D.O. no 157).

To Secy

The period of Mr. H. Hawkins' deputation to Bangalore expires on 1-10-98. (Q.O. nos 2684 dated 24-6-98 and 2761 dated 30th idem). The Resident in auto a trained <sup>even after that date</sup> Alkari officer, ~~not~~ but not Mr. Hawkins. He does not say how long the services of the new officer will be required.

2. May the D.O. be forwarded to the Board with the request that the name of a trained officer may be ~~referred~~? Submitted to Govt.

KD  
14/9/98

Yes: if they can spare  
one  
S. L. 14.9.8

To Secretary

Please see a draft D.O. to Mr. Thomson submitted on the docket sheet of the curriculum.

KD  
15/9/98

*[Signature]*

22.9.98



D.O. from Mr. Thomson, of  
19<sup>th</sup> & 26<sup>th</sup> Septs 1898 (nos 141 and 150)

To Secy

In the above Mr. Thomson recommends that Mr. Dittmas ~~may~~ may be sent for service in Bangalore.

H.H.

If Mysore finally takes Dittmas he will be retired from our Service. He was 55 on 18<sup>th</sup> inst., & has been permitted to remain on till 31 March 99. (see letter pte)

SH 19/9/98

30/9/98  
F.H.  
3.10.98

To Secy

As Mr. Dittmas is to be retired, and as Mr. Thomson has already addressed the Resident, it is presumed that he will arrange for Mr. Dittmas' appointment as Akhari Officer, Bangalore. Please a draft D.O. below.

Yes - as altered

0  
18 2,000-30-3-98

Revenue (1898) Department.

D.O. CURRENT No. 141.

From

J. Thomson Esq. I.C.S.

Order

No.

Dated 19<sup>th</sup> Sept.

Received 21<sup>st</sup> ..

Registered 21<sup>st</sup> ..

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that has written to Col. Robertson asking for how long he wants an Akhari Officer.



BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

27

Revenue Board Office,  
(Separate Revenue),  
MADRAS.

19. 9. 1898.

*Capt.*

My dear Father, I have

received yours of 16th in  
-copy copy of in from  
at Rotterdam.

I hope to save time  
and trouble, written him  
direct to ask for how  
long he wants an abkari  
office. When I know  
that I can act to more  
advantage you sincerely  
Thomson.



27

| G O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |



Revenue (1898) Department.

D.O CURRENT No. 150

Order

From J. Thomson Esq. J.C.S.  
Commissioner of Salt  
Abkari Dep Rev.

No.

Dated 26  
Received 28  
Registered 29<sup>th</sup> } Sept 1898

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that Mr. Dittmas  
might be sent for service  
under the Mysore ~~Govt~~  
Darbar, at Bangalore



Revenue (1898) Department.

D.O. CURRENT No. 150

Order

From J. Thomson Esq. I.C.S.  
Commissioner of Salt  
Abkani Sepkos.

No.

Dated 26  
Received 28  
Registered 29<sup>th</sup> } Sept 1898

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that Mr. Dittmas  
might be sent for service  
under the Mysore ~~Govt~~  
Darbar, at Bangalore.



10

BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

Revenue Board Office,

(Separate Revenue),

MADRAS.

26 9 1898.



My dear Forbes

I write  
 Col Robertson suggesting  
 ditmas for his abkari  
 work and asking for  
 what length of time  
 the office would last  
 He answered that  
 ditmas would apparently  
 suit and send nothing  
 as to the term of the  
 appointment, but showed



Rev. Dept.

13

D.O. for approval.

My dear Thomson,

Orders ~~are~~ <sup>will</sup> issuing & retiring Ditmas at once. if, as I presume, that you <sup>have finally</sup> ~~will~~ arranged with <sup>Col.</sup> Robertson for the appointment of Ditmas as Cause officer, Bangalore.

yours sincerely,

*[Signature]*  
17/10/8

5710198

of 6/10/8  
for which reference  
Desd 7/10



D.O. for approval.

My dear Thomson,

Orders <sup>will</sup> ~~are~~ issuing <sup>for</sup> retiring Ditmas at once if, as I presume, that you <sup>have finally</sup> ~~will~~ arranged with <sup>Col.</sup> Robertson for the appointment of Ditmas as Cruise officer, Bangalore.

Yours sincerely,

*[Signature]*  
17/10/98

5710198

of 6/10/98  
for which offer was  
sent 7/10



# Revenue (1898) Department.

9.0 CURRENT No. 170

From T. Thomson Esq. JCS  
Commt. of S.A. &  
Sep Rev.

Order

No.

Dated 10<sup>th</sup>  
Received 18<sup>th</sup>  
Registered 29<sup>th</sup> } October 1898  
Enclosures } Receipts used  
Spare copies } from O.R.

SUBJECT.

Regarding the retirement  
of Mr. Atkins



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

Revenue Board Office,  
(Separate Revenue).

On Circuit, Camp, Vallam  
10 Oct 1898

My dear Sirs,  
D.O. of 6th regarding  
Ditmas's retirement

Please do not do  
so till we hear further.  
As I understand it, the  
Students proposals have  
to receive the sanction  
of the Govt. of India;  
and the way is  
clear I will not dissent

Page 13 of the D.O.  
file below.

that is, issue orders at  
once retiring Mr. Ditmas.



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

Revenue Board Office,  
(Separate Revenue).

On Circuit, Camp, Vallam  
10 Oct 1898.

My dear Father,  
D.O. of the regarding  
Ditmas's retirement.  
Please do not do  
so till we hear further.  
As I understand it, the  
Students' protests have  
to receive the sanction  
of the Govt. of India.  
and till the way is  
clear it will not suit

Page 13 of the D.O.  
file below.

that is, issue orders at  
once retaining Mr. Ditmas.



BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |



It was to be checked;  
and we can get along  
fairly well here for  
a while. It is the best  
weather that he finds  
I believe.

Yours sincerely  
Chas. W. Mason.



D.O from Mr. Thomson dated 10-10-98  
(no 170)

To Secy

Mr. Thomson suggests that  
orders regarding the retirement of Mr. Dittmer  
may not be issued, until his transfer to the  
Bangalore is assured, and this will take some  
time. No <sup>present</sup> action is ~~the~~ called for on the d.o.

KD  
20/10/98

No. I have already  
taken this precaution by  
altering the original draft  
do.

24/10/98



# Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 171

From J. Thomson Esq: Secy  
Commt. of Salt Abkani  
Hlep Rev:

## Order

To Secy

No.

The G.O. quoted (no 3995 dated  
7-10-98) is put up. As observed by the Secy  
Mr. Palkensky's retention in service has not  
been ~~sancti~~ approved. A d.o. reply is put  
up below for approval.

KD  
20/10/98

12/10/98

Dated 18<sup>th</sup>  
Received 19<sup>th</sup>  
Registered 20<sup>th</sup> } October 1898

Enclosures

Spare copies

## SUBJECT.

Asking for orders re:  
the further retention of  
Mr Palkensky, Dy Coll:  
of Sea Customs, Madras



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

Revenue Board Office,  
(Separate Revenue),  
MADRAS.

October 18<sup>th</sup> 1898.

My dear Jones

In my proposals regarding  
the retention or otherwise of  
officers liable to retirement  
under the 55 years rule  
(B.P. no 3747, dated 22.9.98) I  
discussed among others the  
case of Mr Paezinsky, the

Under G.O. 3995  
7-10-98



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

Deputy collector of Sea Customs;  
 but G.O. no 3995, dated 7.10.98,  
 makes no mention of him.  
 I shall be glad of news on  
 his case

Yours sincerely

Chomson

To

The Hon. Mr. G. S. Forbes J.C.S.

(Revenue) Secretary to Govt.  
 7 Sept.



Nov. 21. 10. 98

D.O. for approval.

No. 140.

My dear Thomson,

Your d.o. of the 18th instant

regarding Paersonsky. His ~~name~~ <sup>was intended to indicate</sup> ~~was intended to indicate~~ <sup>that he is not mentioned as one of the</sup> officers whose retention <sup>was</sup> sanctioned, ~~he~~ should be retired on the completion of his fifty-fifth year.

Yours sincerely

SB  
21/10/98

KD  
20/10/98

For SL: Genl.  
21. 10. 98

Take note he died  
20. 3. 95  
Genl.



CONFIDENTIAL.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6583

Conf. No. 73

From

The Secretary to the Commission  
Salt, Abkari & Separate Revenue

For Order

Mis. No. 3743

Dated 22<sup>nd</sup> September 1898

Received 24 do

Registered 26 do

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government  
with remarks the list forwarded by the  
Accountant General Madras showing  
the names of certain gazetted officers  
of the Salt, Abkari and Customs dep-  
artment who will attain the age of  
55 years or whose retirement of service  
after attainment of that age will expire  
during the period 1898-1900.



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No.  | Department. | Date.   |
|------|------|-------------|---------|
| mi   | 5225 | Rev         | 7/10/97 |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |



List of Gazetted officers employed under the Madras Government who will attain the age of 55 years or whose ex-  
of Service after attainment of that age will expire during the next official year 1899-1900.

| Name of Incumbent                  | Designation                                   | Date of birth                   | Date of attaining 55 years      | Extension                           |                     | Date of expiry of extension | Remarks |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
|                                    |                                               |                                 |                                 | No and Date of order                | Period of extension |                             |         |
| Salt, Alkari and Separate Revenue. |                                               |                                 |                                 |                                     |                     |                             |         |
| L. B. Shawc Esquire                | Assistant Commissioner or Alkari Sub Division | 31 <sup>st</sup> August 1843    | 31 <sup>st</sup> August 1898    | G.O. No 5225 Revenue 7-10-97        | 7 months            | 31 <sup>st</sup> March 1899 |         |
| F. W. Ditmas Esquire               | Ass't Comm'r Arcot Sub D'n                    | 18 <sup>th</sup> September 1843 | 18 <sup>th</sup> September 1898 | Do.                                 | about 6 1/2 months  | 31 <sup>st</sup> March 1899 |         |
| C. Kiernander Esquire              | Head appraiser Sea Customs Madras             | 8 <sup>th</sup> April 1843      | 8 <sup>th</sup> April 1898      | G.O. No 5836 Revenue dated 13-11-97 | about 1 year        | 31 <sup>st</sup> March 1899 |         |
| J. A. Paczensky Esquire            | Dy. Collector Sea Customs Madras              | 19 <sup>th</sup> April 1844     | 19 <sup>th</sup> April 1899     |                                     |                     |                             |         |

Signed J. Hesketh Biggs  
Accountant General

Fort St George  
Acctt. General's office  
15<sup>th</sup> August 1898

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department through the Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Alkari and Separate Revenue with reference to G. O. Financial No 1113 dated 23<sup>rd</sup> February 1891 and article 803 of Civil Account Code.

(True copy)

Gialhank  
22-9-98 Secy

Ad.  
24/9/98



List of g  
insidious

Proceedings of the Civil Medical Board  
held in the Government General Hospital,  
Madras, on Saturday the 3<sup>rd</sup> September 1898.

The Board, having carefully examined Mr.  
J. W. Dikmas of the Salt, Alkali and Customs  
Department, find that he is physically fit for further  
service -

(Signed) W. R. Browne M.D.  
Brigade Surg. - Lt. Col.,  
President.

T. H. Pope  
Surg. Lt. Col. } Members -  
A. C. Reindle }

(True copy)

Official Secretary  
22.9.98



Sea Customs Collector's Office  
Madras, August 26<sup>th</sup> 1898.

No 5757

From J. N. Atkinson Esquire  
Collector of Sea Customs,  
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Commission of  
Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,  
Chennai, Madras.

Sir,

Replying to Board's Proceedings Confidential,  
No. 3318, dated 24<sup>th</sup> August 1898, I have the honor  
to say that I do not recommend that any extension of  
service be given to Mr. T. G. Paczynsky. Prior to  
his proceeding on leave he had constantly exhibited  
an arbitrariness of temper and want of tact, which  
may reasonably be attributed to failing powers and  
which caused very great friction with the mercantile  
classes. His discharge of his routine duties also  
was not satisfactory.

2. \* \* \* \* \*

I have the honor to be  
(Sd.) J. N. Atkinson

(True & back)

Officially  
as Secretary.  
22.9.98-



*Confidential*

500  
6-6-98



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

Dated 22<sup>nd</sup> September 1898.

No. 3743.

\* Copy attached.

Read list received from the Accountant General, Madras, showing the names of Retired Officers Employed under the Madras Government who will attain the age of 55 years or whose Extensions of Service after attainment of that age will expire during the next fiscal year 1899-1900 -

Resolutions.

Submitted to Government.

2. Messrs C. B. Shaw and C. Hernandez are in good health and thoroughly efficient.
3. Mr. Dittus was not in good health, apparently from gout, during the past year. He showed disinclination to travel in Cuddalore during the hot season though he had been specially directed to proceed there for urgent reasons. He was accordingly, in view of the present return, directed to proceed before a Medical Board - Its certificate - Copy enclosed - is that he is physically fit for further service. In the Board's opinion he is fit



No.

From The Board of Revenue (Sep. Rev.).

Mis No. 3743 Dated 22.9.98 (C. no. 6583) 189.

FOR ORDERS.

To Secy.

Three officers in the Tall, Abkari & Customs Department - Messrs. Shawe, Dikmas and Kiermander - completed their fifty-fifth year during the current year, and their retention in service till 31st March 1899 was sanctioned in the orders marginally noted. A fourth officer - Mr. Paczemsky - will complete his fifty-fifth year on 19th April 1899.

2. Of these the Board recommends the retention in service of Messrs Shawe and Kiermander for another year, both officers being in good health and thoroughly efficient. Mr. Dikmas has been certified by a Medical Board to be physically fit, but as he once showed disinclination to travel, the Board is of opinion

\* No. 5125 of 7.10.97  
.. 5836 of 13.11.97

fit if disposed to get himself, but his retention in service should be distinctly on that understanding

4. Mr. Paczemsky: The Collector's report on this officer is enclosed. He attains the age of 55 on 19th April 1899 and will then be of 34 years service - It does not appear desirable that his service should be extended.

(True Extract)

G. S. G. S. G.  
as Secretary  
22.9.98

To the Secretary to Government Revenue Department with 1 list and two copies of reports.



that his retention in service should be on the distinct understanding that he exerts himself.

3. The Board does not recommend the extension of Mr. Pargomshy's service. The Collector states that, before he went on leave, this officer "constantly" "exhibited an arbitrariness" "of temper and want of tact" "x x x" which caused very great "friction with the mercantile" "classes"

KD  
28/9/98

The Board's recommendations may be accepted.

With regard to Dittmas who was 55 on 18 Sept 1898 he has been given an extension till 31 March 99. But if the Mysore Govt. takes him, as proposed in linked file, he ~~will~~ may be retired at once, and he understands that.

SK 29/9/98

FOR ORDERS.

I have heard this before  
AS

- (1) H 71
- (2) H. E. the Governor

Hist. of Service, p. 248

AS

30/9/98

ASH.  
3.10.98

To Secy.

Please see draft below.

KD  
5/10/98

Issue as altered.  
Orders about Mr Dittmas better issue when the arrangement with Mysore is finally settled.

SK 5/10/98



Rev. Dept.

Read B.P. (S.R.) No. 3743

d/22.9.98 (C. no. 6583).

g. o. min 3995 d/7 oct 98

The recommendation  
in its proper read above

of the Board of Revenue recommends  
~~that~~ that Messrs. Shawe and  
Kiernander be permitted to  
remain in service till <sup>the</sup> 31st March  
1900, is accepted. Other attention is  
sanctioned accordingly, with regards

(iii) that if the retirement of  
Mr. Dittmas ~~for another year~~ <sup>separate orders</sup>  
will be issued, it should be on the  
distinct understanding that he ~~will~~  
satisfy himself,

(iii) that Mr. Packge ~~will~~  
be retired on the completion of  
his fifty fifth year.

2. Proposals (i) and  
(iii) are approved. The Govt  
considers that Mr. Dittmas  
should be retired at once.

S/ 5/10/98

To  
The Board of Revenue (S.R.)  
Accountant General  
(From order)

KD  
cting

S/ 6/10/98  
to be kept after use



