

Revenue (1898) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 365

Dated 29th June 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Survey of Inam Alias. Approving, with
remarks, the draft notified. Submits: 4 the
B.D. of Res: re: the Survey & Classification of the
whole inam Alias in Trichinopoly D.D., &
ordering its publication in the Fort St. George
& Trichinopoly D.D. Gazettes.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
CA ^o 6805	1099						
01	12-90						
C. 3203/161							

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3342

Submitted that the
Draft Notification called
for by the Board in para 3
fits Prof, may be awaited.

please put up the village
papers in which the question
of the cost of the
debited was discussed

From The Superintendent
Madras Survey

Order

No. 54
g

Dated 5 May
Received 6
Registered 7
Enclosures 3
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Survey of the small villages
in the Trichinopoly District
Reporting that the
can be undertaken during
the year 1898-99

2A
7-5-98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
W.	1815	Land Revenue	25.4.98
		Boundaries Act IV of 1897	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

0
18 4,000-19-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2548.



From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue,
Madras.

No. 877, Mis.

Order Encl. Mis. No. 1816 of 25.4.98.

Referred to the Superintendent, Revenue Survey
in favour of report when the
he can undertake the work
during the current year.
(By order)

Dated 25th March 1898
Received 30th ..
Registered 31st ..
Enclosures 2
Spare copies.

W. Marjoubank

A. G. Under Secy. to Govt.

SUBJECT.

The Supt., Rev. Survey.
(with enclosures in original
to be returned)

Submitting to Govt. proposals regarding survey & classification of whole of some villages in Trichinopoly District and requesting the Govt. to submit a draft note under Sec. 17 clause (b) of the Survey and Boundaries Act

A. G.
Reg. No. 102
Date Book 3 May 98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Trichinopoly Collector's Office,
Dated 11th March 1898.

Ref^(a) on Current No. 321 of 98.
Rev. 1898.

From R. H. Shipley Esq.,
Collector of Trichinopoly.

To The Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue, Madras.

Sir, I have the honour to report that
a statement in the form prescribed in B-1
No. 615 L. R. dated 28th October 1892
furnishing the area, pynash assessment,
rental and adjoining Settlement Rates
of the entire Inam villages in this district
was furnished to the District Board
and it was asked to place the matter
before a meeting for decision as to
whether the survey, classification and
assessment of the inams should be taken
on hand in order to secure an increase
in the land cess. The District Board
forwarded a resolution of the Board
approving the suggestion and resolving
to obtain Government sanction to the same
at an outlay of Rs. 5000 and requested the
Collector to address the Revenue Board
to have the inam villages surveyed.

Go 23.9.98
14.1.93

specified and to be informed of the probable cost thereof in view to Government sanction being obtained.

2. The Superintendent of Revenue Survey was asked to state the probable cost of surveying the whole inam villages with an area of 140 Sq. miles. He informs me that Rs. 3500 would be required at the rate of Rs. 25 per Sq. mile and that this amount does not include cost of classification which is Rs. 13 per Sq. mile. The whole cost will therefore be Rs. 38 per Sq. mile or Rs. 5,320.

3. I herewith forward a talukwar statement showing the approximate area under dry and wet, assessment on which land cess is levied at present and assessment at new settlement rates. From this it will be seen that there will be an increase of Rs. 703 on an increased assessment of Rs. 11,248.

I request that the Board will be pleased to issue necessary orders for execution of the work and that a suitable staff may be deputed from the Survey and Settlement departments.

I have the honour to be,

Yours most obed^t Servant,

For. Secy. P. H. S.

Statement showing the talukwar approximation of area under dry and wet, assessment on which land cess is levied at present and assessment at new settlement rates of whole Inam villages.


Area approximate: Assessment on which assessment rates land cess is levied at present.

Remarks.

Name of Taluk.	Area approximate		Assessment on which assessment rates land cess is levied at present.		Assessment at new settlement rates	
	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet
Trichinopoly.	18148.	6220.	16089.	21844	29477	37559.
Mousiri.	21660.	971.	38273.	6221	34862.	4696.
Telitalai	23792.	903.	21170.	3937	17033.	2743.
Erumbalur.	4274	135.	7593.	964.	7077.	627.
Idayarpalayam	13344	387	27359	2344	21529.	1439.
Total	81218.	8616.	110484	35310.	109978.	47064.

Trichinopoly Collector's office
11th March 1898

For Secy. P. H. S.



For Secy. P. H. S.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue).

No. 377 Misc., dated 25th March 1898.

Read letter from R.H. Shipley Esquire, Ag. Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, Ref. (a) on cur. No. 521/Rev. of '93, dated 11th March 1898.

-----:0:-----

Resolution

At the instance of the District Board, the Collector of Trichinopoly recommends a block survey and classification of the whole inam villages in the District on the lines followed in Tanjore. The estimated cost of the operations is Rs. 5520 and the estimated increase in the revenue from land-cess is Rs. 705 which represents an interest of over 15 % on the proposed outlay. The District Board is willing to bear the entire cost but it will be possible later on to levy a contribution from the Proprietary Estates Village Service Fund and possibly also from the ryotwari village service fund. The Board supports the Collector's proposals which may be given effect to during 1898-99 in the absence of any financial pressure.

2. With reference to section 13 of the Survey and Boundaries Act, 1897, the cost of the survey may be debited to Provincial Funds in the first instance and recovered sub-

Survey

Subsequently from the Local Fund Board.

3. The Collector is requested to ~~furnish~~ submit a draft notification under Section 17, clause (b), of the Survey and Boundaries Act, specifying by names the several estates to be brought under survey.

(True Extract)

Reulan

Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with letter & Enclosure in original.

The Collector of Trichinopoly.

M.R.H

(C. O. Form No. 13-A 1.)

SURVEY OFFICE, MADRAS,

5 May 1898.

No.

54
G

From

H. O' C. Cardozo, Esq., C.I.E.,

Superintendent, Madras Survey,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department.

REFERENCE.

G. O. No. 1816 dated 25 April 1898 requesting to report whether the Survey of the Inam Villages in the Trichinopoly District can be undertaken during the current year -

Sir,

I have the honour to report that the work can be undertaken during the current year if funds are provided by the District Board.

I have the honour to be

Sir
Your most obedient servant

H. O' C. Cardozo
Superintendent, Madras Survey

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3432.

From The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Madras.

Order

No. 1196 Mis.

Dated 5th May 1898.

Received 8th May

Registered 9th May

Enclosures 2

Spare copies



SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government as amended the draft notification submitted by the Collector of Trichinopoly under section 17 clause (b) of the Survey and Boundaries Act 1897 re survey of certain entire Gram Villages in the District.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1846-1115		25-4-0

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NOTIFICATION.

Ootacamund, June 29, 1898.

No. — Under section 17, clause (b) of the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act, 1897, His Excellency the Governor in Council is hereby pleased to direct the survey of the undermentioned entire inam villages of the Trichinopoly district for the better assessment of the land and village cesses thereon:—

TRICHINOPOLY TALUK.

- | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. Aliyakalayanpattavarthi. | 24. Kollattur. |
| 2. Kadiakurichi. | 25. Ariyavur. |
| 3. Kandalur. | 26. Ulkadi Ariyavur. |
| 4. Panjapur. | 27. Tiruttavatturaikkattalai. |
| 5. Kuntagudi. | 28. Uttamanambi. |
| 6. Madikandan. | 29. Terkuchatram. |
| 7. Navalpattu. | 30. Elandapatti. |
| 8. Periyavadvur. | 31. Velayudangudi. |
| 9. Chinnavadvur. | 32. Sembankulam. |
| 10. Nathadasa Palli. | 33. Krishnasamudram. |
| 11. Tiruchandurai. | 34. Teneripatti. |
| 12. Navalur Kottapattu. | 35. Ulundur. |
| 13. Suriyur. | 36. Pulangudi. |
| 14. Sengulam. | 37. Kolakattugudi. |
| 15. Podavur. | 38. Mekudi. |
| 16. Puliyur. | 39. Kottapattu. |
| 17. Torakudi. | 40. Nagamangalam. |
| 18. Periakaruppur. | 41. Mattur. |
| 19. Samayapuram. | 42. Ulkadaiariyamangalam. |
| 20. Kiramangalam. | 43. Paganur. |
| 21. Kalpalayam. | 44. Perinayagichatram. |
| 22. Rajampalayam. | 45. Komagudi. |
| 23. Ammapettai. | 46. Kilmarimangalam. |

MUSURI TALUK.

- | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Vengadanattam. | 13. Abinimangalam. |
| 2. Kidaram. | 14. Tiruppattur. |
| 3. Velvalputtur. | 15. Avaranalli. |
| 4. Tirunarayanapuram. | 16. Okkarai. |
| 5. Manamedu. | 17. Mavilingai. |
| 6. Muttampatti. | 18. Pudummapalayam. |
| 7. Kamachipatti. | 19. Senappanallur. |
| 8. Umayapuram. | 20. Kamachipuram. |
| 9. Muveli. | 21. Koppamapuri. |
| 10. Jayankondan. | 22. Sokkanadapuram. |
| 11. Karattampatti. | 23. Karapudayanpatti. |
| 12. Tirupangili. | |

KULITALAI TALUK.

- | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Puluderi. | 18. Suriyanur. |
| 2. Sattiapatti. | 19. Madampatti. |
| 3. Sittanattam. | 20. Sattiyamangalam. |
| 4. Pudur. | 21. Komalipparai. |
| 5. Puduvadi. | 22. Timmampatti. |
| 6. Kalingapatti. | 23. Vadazapatti. |
| 7. Kovilpatti. | 24. Ponnambalapatti. |
| 8. Reddiapatti. | 25. Kadambarkovil. |
| 9. Vymalaipalayam. | 26. Sinniampalayam. |
| 10. Siyampatti. | 27. Uttupatti. |
| 11. Puvathupatti. | 28. Settichatram. |
| 12. Podungapatti. | 29. Karichampatti. |
| 13. Adampatti. | 30. Edayapatti. |
| 14. Mukkureddipatti. | 31. Sepplapatti. |
| 15. Koppampatti. | 32. Alattur. |
| 16. Talinji. | 33. Sivayam Devadanam. |
| 17. Mondipatti. | |

PERAMBALUR TALUK.

- | | |
|---------------|----------------------|
| 1. Aduturai. | 6. Kolapadi. |
| 2. Alangali. | 7. Malavarayanallur. |
| 3. Agaram. | 8. Uttattur. |
| 4. Palambadi. | 9. Yerayasamudram. |
| 5. Kurnambur. | |

UDAIYARPALAYAM TALUK.

- | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Tirumanur. | 11. Vilupanankurichi. |
| 2. Kalingarayanapuram. | 12. Kumaramangalam. |
| 3. Kilapalur. | 13. Sirukadambur. |
| 4. Melapalur. | 14. Tirumalavadi. |
| 5. Kosankottai. | 15. Kulattangallur. |
| 6. Govindapattur. | 16. Periyattukurichi. |
| 7. Koman. | 17. Amanakkantondi. |
| 8. Kurichinattam. | 18. Sriraman. |
| 9. Siruvalur. | 19. Darmasamudram. |
| 10. Oriyur. | |

G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

Trans *Hean* *the* *the*

Draft Localization

Under Section 17 clause (b) of the Madras
Survey and Boundaries Act 1897, His Excellency
the Governor in Council ^{hereby} is pleased to ^{direct} notify
~~for general information that the entire~~
~~nam villages in the several Taluks of the~~
~~Tichinopoly & areas below will be surveyed~~
~~for the purpose of securing an increase in~~
~~the amount of land cess & village cesses thereon.~~

Trichinopoly Taluk

1. Aiyakalayyanpattavarthi.	16 Puliyur.
2. Kandiakurichi.	17 Sorakudi.
3. Kandalur.	18 Periakampur.
4. Panjapur.	19. Samayapuram.
5. Kumbagudi.	20 Kiraamayalam.
6. Mudikandam.	21 Kalpalayam.
7. Navalpattu.	22 Rajampalayam.
8. Perianadavur.	23 Annampettai.
9. Chinnavadavur.	24 Kolakur.
10. Nathadsa Palli.	25 Ariyavur.
11. Tiruchandur.	26 Ulkadai ariyavur.
12. Navalur Kottapattu.	27 Tiruthavatturai Kattalai.
13. Surinjur.	28 Uttamanambik.
14. Sengulam.	29 Seiduchatram.
15. Podavur.	30. Elandapatti.

33 Kriśṇaśaṁḍam
 34 Tenesipatti
 35 Ulundur
 36 Pulanjudi
 37 Kolakattajudi
 38 Mekḥundi
 39 Kottapatti
 40 Jayamaṅḍalam
 41 Maṭṭur
 42 Uḷḷadaiariyaṁḍalam
 43 Paṇam
 44 Perianayapichatam
 45 Konaṅḍi
 46 Kilmarinaṅḍalam

Misiri Taluk
 1. Kuyadaṁḍattam
 2. Kidaram
 3. Velvalpukkur
 4. Tennaragapuram
 5. Maṇaṁḍu
 6. Kuntampatti
 7. Kamaḥḥipatti
 8. Kuraṅḍapuram
 9. Kuvēli
 10. Jayankondaṁ
 11. Kampaṭṭi

12. Timpaijū
 13. Ahimamṅḍalam
 14. Timpattur
 15. Aṇṇaṁḍi
 16. Aḷḷarai
 17. Maṇḍiṇḍai
 18. Pudiṁḍapalayam
 19. Senappaṇallur
 20. Kamaḥḥipuram
 21. Koppamṅḍi
 22. Lokkanadapuram
 23. Karaṇḍaṅḍipatti

Kulitalai Taluk
 1. Pulideḥi
 2. Sattiapatti
 3. Littaṁḍattam
 4. Pudur
 5. Pudiṁḍi
 6. Kaliṅḍapatti
 7. Kovilpatti
 8. Reddiapatti
 9. Vymalaipalayam
 10. Siraṁḍipatti
 11. Puvaṭṭupatti
 12. Poduṅḍapatti
 13. Adampatti
 14. Muḷḷureddipatti
 15. Koppampatti

Mohudipatti
 Sūṅḍi
 Madampatti
 Sattamaṅḍalam
 Komaḥḥiparai
 Tinnamampatti
 Paḍaṅḍapatti
 Ponnambalappatti
 Kadambarkovil
 Sūṇḍiṅḍapalayam
 Uṭṭupatti
 Lēḍiḥḥattam
 Karaṇḍampatti
 Eḍaṅḍapatti
 Lēḍḍipatti
 Maṭṭur
 Sūṅḍiṅḍaṇḍam

Perambalur Taluk
 1. Adutṭurai
 2. Maṇḍali
 3. Aṇṇam
 4. Palanibadi
 5. Kūṇḍur
 6. Kolapadi
 7. Malanaragaṇḍallur
 8. Uṭṭaṭṭur
 9. Geragaṇḍam

Ud. Lāṅḍapalai Taluk
 1. Tinnamam
 2. Kaliṅḍaragapuram
 3. Kilḍapalur
 4. Melapalur
 5. Kosaṇḍattai
 6. Gōṇḍipattur
 7. Kōṇḍi
 8. Kūṇḍiṇḍattam
 9. Sūṇḍalur
 10. Oriyur
 11. Vilḍḍiṇḍaṇḍi
 12. Kūṇḍamaṅḍalam
 13. Sūṇḍiḍambur
 14. Sūṇḍiḍaṇḍi
 15. Kulattamṅḍallur
 16. Periyattukūṇḍi
 17. Aṇṇaḥḥaṇḍi
 18. Sūṇḍi
 19. Saṇḍaṇḍam

W. Mundaṇḍi
 Inagḥḥḥḥḥḥ

Trichinopoly
22nd April 1898.

(C) m.c. No. 321/98
R.H.

FROM R. H. Shipley Esq.,
Collector of
Trichinopoly.

TO THE SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSIONERS OF
Land Revenue
Madras.

SIR,

Adverting to Board's Prop:
No 877 Miscellaneous, dated 25th
March 1898, I have the honour to
forward herewith a draft notifi-
cation under Section 17 clause (b)
of the Survey and Boundaries
Act 1897.

I have the honour to be
Sir

Yours Most obed^t Servant
Mundach
For: Collector


Jun

Sp: M. 265
29.6.98

1398

RECEIVED

3452



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue).

No 1196 Mis. dated 5th May 1898.

Read — letter from the Asst. Collector of Trichinopoly
Ref (c) on Cus No $\frac{321/98}{Rev}$, dated 25th April 1898.

Resolution :- The draft notification, as slightly
amended by the Board, will be submitted to Govern-
ment in continuation of Board Proceedings No
877 Mis, dated 25th March 1898.

(True Extract)

Wm Davidson
Asst. Secretary

To
The Secretary to Govt. P.D.
with letter and a revised
draft notification

E. S. M.

1898

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

2

ayudant

L. & M.
250-18-10-97.

Read again P. 1816 D 25-4
Letter from the Supt of Survey
of 5-5-98. — Cus 3372.
Bd's Prop no 1196 — Cus 34
5-5-98

To Secretary

At the instance
of the District Board the
Collector of Trichinopoly
recommends a block survey
of the whole mam village
in the District on the lines
followed in Tanjore. These
villages are 130 in number
with an aggregate area of
140 sq miles. The cost of
surveying and classifying
this area is estimated by
the Supt of Survey at Rs 5320
the rate per mile being Rs 38^x
which is the rate finally adopted
in Tanjore. As a result of
the survey, the collector expects
an increased assessment
value of Rs 11,248 which
at one anna in the rupee will
yield an increased land
cess of Rs 703 representing
an


* i.e. by throwing into one
block all fields contiguously
situated and exhibiting the same
soil peculiarities, and demar-
cating them cheaply by means
of mounds of earth, pegs or such
other marks as would last till
the completion of the survey and
of the plotting of the blocks into
the maps.

Para 4 of B.P. 99. H. G.
14-3-98

	Rs	
x Survey	25	} per sq miles.
Classification	13	
	38	

B.P. 622 Vol. put up.
14-9-97

ayuda
 interest of over 13%
 in the outlay. The District
 Board is willing to bear the
 entire cost at present though
 it may be possible ^{for it} after-
 wards to levy a contribution
 from Proprietary Estates
 Village Service Fund and
 from the Ryotwari Village
 Service Fund proportionately
 to the extent to which they
 may be benefited. But
 with reference however, to
 Madras Sec 19 of Act IV of
 1897 the cost should be
 debited to Provincial funds
 in the first instance and
 recovered subsequently from
 the Local Fund Board.
 The Supdt of Madras Survey
 is prepared to undertake
 the work during the current
 year if funds are provided
 by the Dt Board. As the
 amount is small it is not
 likely that considerations
 of famine outlook in the
 District would necessitate
 the postponement of this
 expenditure



The paper
 NOTE FOR THE, he sent to the
 Govt Dept. If this Dept agree
 to provide the funds this year
 the notification contained in
 the last of the papers read above
 may be published and the work
 carried out this year

Rs
 21-5-98

Secy
 The course at H
 Nagh followed
 he
 23.7.98
 Yes
 8/25/98
 LHM, co.

13%

NOTE FOR THE Secretary

The Trichinopoly district was declared an affected one for 1898-99 and the District Board's budget for that year was remodelled with reference to G.O. 316 L dated 24th Feb. 1899, and provision was made for a contribution of Rs 33,080 to Provincial Funds on account of famine relief works. Vide para 4 (2) of G.O. no 467 L. dated 12th March 1899. An application for payment of contribution to the Trichinopoly Municipality towards the construction of an outpatient ward was refused on account of the existing famine. Vide G.O. no 836 L dated 2nd May 1898. In the present case, however, the charge is to be met in the first instance from Provincial Funds, and to be repaid from Local Funds subsequently, and if there is no famine in the district, the payment may be made towards the end of the current year.

132
3/4/98

Please see note above:
 Rec. Dept is better aware
 of this Dept. whether
 it is likely to be
 truly in famine. If not
 it may be able to
 set the cost
 8/7/98

Re: 24-1-98
 The estimates adopted in
 24-1-98 have been
 superseded by later estimates
 based on later forecasts, and
 here is no likelihood of any
 more expenditure in Trin-
 ity during the current year

1-98
 Then the Bd
 may be able to meet
 its cost.

Submitted that
 the draft notification
 as published & the
 Bd asked to inform
 Supt of Survey, dist

11/7/98

flag. K
 When? please flat.
 Please see. 11/15-11/15
 248
 2-5-98
 278
 16-5-98

funds this year. In
 case the Bd under-
 stands to carry out the
 11/15-11/15
 16/6/98

Urgent

11/7/98

11/7/98

Read again Supt No 1816
 Read Cn No 3372 (From the
 Supt of Survey No 54 85-5
 Read also Cn No 3432 (From
 the Bd L.R. No 1196 85-5-5
 E.O. No 365 of 29th June 1898.
 The draft notification
 submitted by the Bd is
 approved and will be
 published in the Fort
 George & Trinopolis
 Gazette.

2. The President of
 the Dist. Bd. Trinopolis
 will be requested to inform
 the Supt. whether the
 Board is prepared to
 furnish funds for
 the survey this year
 in which case, the
 survey will be
 taken at once.

11/16/98

To the Supt of Survey
 To the Bd L.R.
 To the L & M Dept

L & M

Note for the Secretary
 his department

Government {1898} of Madras.
REVENUE.

Recd.

Regd.

1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 365, 29th June 1898.

JUNE.

Survey of Inam Villages.

Approving, with remark, the draft notification submitted by the Board of Revenue regarding the survey and classification of the whole inam villages in Trichinopoly district, and ordering its publication in the Fort St. George and Trichinopoly District Gazettes.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 877, dated 25th March 1898 :—

Read—the following letter from R. H. SHIPLEY, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 11th March 1898, Ref. (a) on Cur. No. 321-Rev. :—

I have the honour to report that a statement in the form prescribed in Board's Proceedings, No. 615, Land Revenue, dated 28th October 1892, furnishing the area, pymash assessment, rental and adjoining settlement rates of the entire inam villages in this district was furnished to the District Board and it was asked to place the matter before a meeting for decision as to whether the survey, classification and assessment of the inams should be taken on hand in order to secure an increase in the land-cess. The District Board forwarded a resolution of the Board approving the suggestion and resolving to obtain Government sanction to the scheme at an outlay of Rs. 5,000 and requested the Collector to address the Revenue Board to have the inam villages surveyed and classified and to be informed of the probable cost thereof in view to Government sanction being obtained.

2. The Superintendent of Revenue Survey was asked to state the probable cost of surveying the whole inam villages with an area of 140 square miles. He informs me that Rs. 3,500 would be required at the rate of Rs. 25 per square mile and that this amount does not include cost of classification which is Rs. 13 per square mile. The whole cost will therefore be Rs. 38 per square mile or Rs. 5,320.

3. I herewith forward a talukwar statement showing the approximate area under dry and wet assessment on which land-cess is levied at present and assessment at new settlement rates. From this, it will be seen that there will be an increase of Rs. 703 on an increased assessment of Rs. 11,248.

4. I request that the Board will be pleased to issue necessary orders for the execution of the work and that a suitable staff may be deputed from the Survey and Settlement departments.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing the talukwar approximate area under dry and wet assessment on which land-cess is levied at present and assessment at new settlement rates of the whole inam villages.

Name of taluk.	Area, approximate.		Assessment on which land-cess is levied at present.		Assessment at new settlement rates.	
	Dry.	Wet.	Dry.	Wet.	Dry.	Wet.
Trichinopoly..	ACS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Musiri ..	13,148	6,220	16,089	21,844	29,477	37,559
Kulittalai ..	21,660	971	38,273	6,221	34,862	4,696
Perambalur ..	23,792	903	21,170	3,937	17,033	2,743
Udayarpalayam ..	4,274	135	7,593	964	7,077	627
	13,344	387	27,359	2,344	21,529	1,439
Total ..	81,218	8,616	1,10,484	35,310	1,09,978	47,064

Resolution—Mis. No. 877, dated 25th March 1898.

At the instance of the District Board, the Collector of Trichinopoly recommends a block survey and classification of the whole inam villages in the district on the lines followed in Tanjore. The estimated cost of the operations is Rs. 5,320 and the estimated increase in the revenue from land-cess is Rs. 703, which represents an interest

of over 13 per cent. on the proposed outlay. The District Board is willing to bear the entire cost, but it will be possible later on to levy a contribution from the Proprietary Estates Village Service fund and possibly also from the Ryotwari Village Service fund. The Board supports the Collector's proposal which may be given effect to during 1898-99 in the absence of any financial pressure.

2. With reference to section 19 of the Survey and Boundaries Act, 1897, the cost of the survey may be debited to Provincial funds in the first instance and recovered subsequently from the Local Fund Board.

3. The Collector is requested to submit a draft notification under section 17, clause (b) of the Survey and Boundaries Act, specifying by names the several estates to be brought under survey.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Collector of Trichinopoly.
with a letter and enclosure, in original.

Endorsement—Mis. No. 1816, Revenue, dated 25th April 1898.

Referred to the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, for favour of report whether he can undertake the work during the current year.

(By order.)

(Signed) N. E. MARJORIBANKS,
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintendent, Revenue Survey (with enclosures,
in original, to be returned).

READ—the following letter from H. O' C. CARDOZO, Esq., C.I.E., Superintendent, Madras Survey, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 5th May 1898, No. 54-G. :—

[Reference.—G.O., No. 1816, dated 25th April 1898, requesting to report whether the survey of the inam villages in the Trichinopoly district can be undertaken during the current year.]

I have the honour to report that the work can be undertaken during the current year if funds are provided by the District Board.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 1196, dated 5th May 1898 :—

Read—the following letter from R. H. SHIPLEY, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 22nd April 1898, Ref. (c) on Cur. No. 321-Rev. :—

Adverting to Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 877, dated 25th March 1898, I have the honour to forward herewith a draft notification under section 17, clause (b) of the Survey and Boundaries Act, 1897.

Resolution—Mis. No. 1196, dated 5th May 1898.

The draft notification, as slightly amended by the Board, will be submitted to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 877, dated 25th March 1898.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter and a revised draft notification.

ORDER—No. 365, Revenue, dated 29th June 1898.

Miscellaneous.

The draft notification submitted by the Board is approved and will be published in the Fort St. George and Trichinopoly District Gazettes.

2. The President of the District Board, Trichinopoly, will be requested to inform the Superintendent of Survey whether the District Board is prepared to furnish funds for the survey this year, in which case, the survey will be undertaken at once.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintendent, Madras Survey.
„ the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
„ the Local and Municipal Department.
„ the Superintendent, Government Press.

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 17, clause (b) of the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act, 1897, His Excellency the Governor in Council is hereby pleased to direct the survey of the undermentioned entire inam villages of the Trichinopoly district for the better assessment of the land and village cesses thereon :—

TRICHINOPOLY TALUK.

1. Aiyakalayyanpattavarthi.
2. Kadiakurichi.
3. Kandalur.
4. Panjapur.
5. Kuntagudi.
6. Madikandan.
7. Navalpattu.
8. Periaavadavur.
9. Chinnavadavur.
10. Nathadsa Palli.
11. Tiruchandurai.
12. Navalur Kottapattu.
13. Suriyur.
14. Sengulam.
15. Podavur.
16. Puliyur.
17. Torakudi.
18. Periakaruppur.
19. Samayapuram.
20. Kiramangalam.
21. Kalpalayam.
22. Rajampalayam.
23. Ammapettai.

24. Kollattur.
25. Ariyavur.
- ✓ 26. Ulkadī Ariyavur.
27. Tiruttavatturaikattalai.
28. Uttamanambi.
29. Terkuchatram.
30. Elandapatti.
- ✓ 31. Velayudangudi.
- ✓ 32. Sembankulam.
33. Krishnasamudram.
34. Teneripatti.
35. Ulundur.
36. Pulangudi.
37. Kolakattugudi.
38. Mekkuḍi.
39. Kottapattu.
40. Nagamangalam.
41. Mattur.
42. Ulkadaiariyamangalam.
- ✓ 43. Paganur.
- ✓ 44. Perinayagichatram.
- ✓ 45. Komagudi.
46. Kilmarimangalam.

MUSURI TALUK.

1. Vengadanattam.
2. Kidaram.
3. Velvalputtur.
- ✓ 4. Tirunarayanapuram.
5. Manamedu.
6. Mutampatti.
7. Kamachipatti.
8. Umayapuram.
9. Muveli.
10. Jayankondan.
11. Karattampatti.
12. Tirupanguli.

13. Abinimangalam.
14. Tiruppattur.
15. Avaranalli.
16. Okkarai.
17. Mavilingai.
18. Pudummapalayam.
19. Senappanallur.
20. Kamachipuram.
21. Koppamapuri.
22. Sokkanadapuram.
23. Karapudayanpatti.

KULITALAI TALUK.

1. Puluderi.
2. Sattiapatti.
- ✓ 3. Sitrattam.
4. Pudur.
5. Puduvadi.
6. Kalingapatti.
7. Kovilpatti.
8. Reddiapatti.
9. Vymalaipalayam.
10. Siyampatti.
11. Puvathupatti.
12. Podungapatti.
13. Adampatti.
14. Mukkureddipatti.
15. Koppampatti.
16. Talinji.
17. Mondipatti.

18. Suriyanur.
19. Madampatti.
20. Sattiyamangalam.
21. Komalipparai.
22. Timmampatti.
23. Vadagapatti.
24. Ponnambalapatti.
25. Kadambarkovil.
26. Siniyampalayam.
27. Uttupatti.
28. Setichattam.
29. Karichampatti.
30. Edayapatti.
31. Sepplapatti.
32. Alattur.
33. Sivayam Devadanam.

PERAMBALUR TALUK.

1. Aduturai.
2. Alangali.
3. Agaram.
4. Palambadi.
5. Kurnambur.

6. Kolapadi.
7. Malavarayanallur.
8. Uttattur.
9. Yerayasamudram.

UDAIYARPALAYAM TALUK.

1. Tirumanur.
2. Kalingarayapuram.
3. Kilapalur.
4. Melapalur.
5. Kosankottai.
6. Govindapattur.
7. Koman.
8. Kurichinattam.
9. Siruvalur.
10. Oriyur.

11. Vilupanankurichi.
12. Kumaramangalam.
13. Sirukadambur.
14. Tirumalavadi.
15. Kulattangallur.
16. Periyattukurichi.
17. Amanakkantondi.
18. Sriraman.
19. Darmasamudram.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

60 Copies.