

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3187

(Confidential)

DATED 30th July.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that the explanations submitted by M. R. R. Ramadesha Iyer for having left his Division without the Collector's permission and for having delayed subsequently for three months to reply to the Board's reference on the Subject are highly unsatisfactory & reprehensible.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3532

Order

From

The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Madras.

Ref. No. 524

Dated 7th May 1898

Received 13th

Registered 14th

Enclosures 2.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the orders of Government with remarks, the Conduct of Mr. P. C. Ramasetha Aiyar avergal, Dy. Collector on general duties Arni, North Arcot. St. in leaving his division without permission for five days from the 20th November to 3rd December 1897 inclusive.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NORTH ARCOT, COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Comp. Palmerais, 27. Dec. 1897.
Rega. Court, No. 2158 of 1897.

From

S. H. Wynne, Esquire
 Collector & District Magistrate,

To

North Arcot.

The Secretary to the

Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that Mr. C. Ramaswami Sivaiah, Deputy Collector, Ari, left this district without permission on the 29th Ultimo, not returning till the morning of the 4th instant.

2. He obtained casual leave for 5 days from 19th Ultimo but did not take it and wrote to me subsequently that he was taking leave from the 29th (which he had no permission to do) "as the business requires that I should be at Mysore after that date." He added "as I am unable to conduct the sale" of the Paddy and farms notified in the Special Supplement to the District Gazette, Salt and

Nov 97

*which left
 Deputy-Collector
 no spare time
 for reporting
 of his orders
 upon 11/11/97*

"Akhar Sheet dated 22nd November 1897, I
have requested the Tahildars of Polar and
"Wandewash and Deputy Tahildars of Arni"
"to Conduct the same at the respective centres"
"viz Arni and Wandewash on the specified dates."

The Board is aware that Sales are only to be
Conducted by Divisional officers (N.C. No 154 para 4)
and the orders are that Tahildars are prohibited
from holding these Sales and Special permission
can only be granted by the Board (Separate)
itself. Moreover before writing he had received
from me a telegram directing him to submit
a report on the State of the Season within a
week in accordance with the orders of the Board
(Settlement). He therefrom left the district
turning his back upon this important duty
though his division is the one which has
suffered and as a consequence his report
has been delayed & has only just been
received a week after I had drafted
my report on the rest of the District, the
transmission of which is delayed in consequence.

3. As I consider Conduct of this

kind under such circumstances seriously
improper I request that if the Board is of
the same opinion the matter may be reported to
Government.

4. I enclose a copy of the order granting
the leave from the 19th from which it will be
seen that he has no excuse for a pretence
that he was granted 5 days leave to be
taken when he pleased.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

J. H. Wallace
To be signed.

Perhaps the
by collecting
had also taken
on the point

B. H.
22/11/97

North Arcot Deputy Collector's Office
Camp Veeranandal, 21st April 1898.

Refcon Cur No 442 Ex of 1898.

From

M. R. C. Ramasetha Iyer, Avargal B.A.
Deputy Collector on General Duties

To,

The Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to your foot-note Refcon
Cur no 2258 Yr dated 15th January 1898
I have the honor to report as follows:—

2. I applied originally for the casual leave
from the 19th November 97, as I had an
intimation from my people that I should
leave for Mysore on that date. It was
accordingly granted, but I could not avail
myself of the same, and the journey to Mysore
had to be postponed from day to day. On the
27th night I had information requiring my
presence in Mysore urgently. As the leave for
5 days had already been granted I thought
it was a question merely as to the day from
which I availed myself of it; and I at once
wrote the letter, and left Rani, availing myself
of

after leave from the 29th idem.

3. The leave was required to speak to my son-in-law who was employed in Shimoga in the Mysore Province to settle certain misunderstandings, and to prevent his marrying a second wife. I had had information of his intention to remarry. As I was then in charge of the Head Assistant Collector's office division, I could not then conveniently take privilege or other long leave, and I did not wish to apply for any leave, unless it became indispensable. I therefore had been in correspondence through my and his friends and relatives, but their interference was of no avail, and my direct interview with him was found necessary. I had therefore to personally go to him, and speak to him on the subject, and for this purpose I had to take with me his father who was in Gopichettipoliam in the Coimbatore District. I sent for him, and he accordingly came to Arni, and returned to his village promising to meet me again at Arni to accompany me to Shimoga before the 19th idem. I was waiting for him, but owing to some unavoidable and

and adventitious circumstances and difficulties he was unable to fulfil his promise, though I was expecting his arrival every day, to follow me. In the meanwhile the necessity to have direct personal interview with my son-in-law became very urgent, as I learnt that an early date for the marriage had been fixed, and that it was to come off on the 1st or 2nd December. I, therefore, left on the 28th night, availing myself of the leave already granted to me from 29th. The importance of the business, the urgency for the journey to Mysore and the imminency of the danger by my omitting to proceed at once will thus be apparent, especially in the face of the bereavement that I had shortly before (in September) suffered by the death of a daughter. Had the matter not become so urgent, I would not have left so suddenly, and would have waited to obtain previous permission, and use the leave granted from a subsequent date. After alighting at Berur, the nearest Railway station to proceed to Shimoga by road, a distance of 40 miles, I learnt that the marriage had to be celebrated

at Holachara near Chittaldrug, and I had therefore to proceed there. I may also state that throughout the leave I had been on the move from Arni to Holachara and back; and I could spend only one day at Holachara with my son-in-law, and had to leave it at once the same night.

4. As I had to leave urgently as above, I directed the Tahsildars to conduct the Abkari sales on the 1st December, though I had to conduct it myself and intimate that fact also in my letter. It was only a resale of shops not sold at the original sale for want of bidders. In the previous year, while I was in charge of the Head Assistant Collector's Division, I ordered the Tahsildar of Wallajah to conduct the resale of certain shops, as I was then engaged in the jamabandi of the Wandewash Taluk and could not leave for Wallajah without serious inconvenience and waste of much time, and no objection was raised to my procedure. I presumed that this could be

be done. As the Inspector of Salt, Abkari and Customs Revenue with his Sub Inspectors were present at the resale, there could be no inconvenience or risk from the Tahsildars conducting the resales of these shops. These shops were mostly petty ones, and some of them had no bidders even at the resale. I would have myself conducted the resales were it not for the unavoidable and urgent necessity, as explained above, which required my presence in a distant place at no distant date.

5. I received your telegram regarding season report 3 days prior to my availing myself of the casual leave. On receipt of the said order, I issued instructions to the Tahsildars of Polur and Wandewash and the Deputy Tahsildar of Arni to submit their report on the state of the season in their talukas and Division. The last report was received on the 5th December 97. Their reports were not in some respects accurate, and especially the condition of

of tanks and the supply in them were not correctly given. I had to make my own personal inspections of parts of the Division and make personal enquiry to know actually the state of tanks before submitting my report. It occupied me some time, and I submitted my report on the 12th from Wandewash, after previously conferring with both the Tahsildars and the Deputy Tahsildar. As the season report should represent accurately the state of the country, I could not submit it without satisfying myself of the actual condition of the several parts, and for this I took a few days. You are aware that I was away from this Division from April to the middle of November, and I had not an accurate information of the then state of the Division. I had therefore to make personal inspection to verify the reports of the Tahsildars to ascertain the true condition. Hence the delay was unavoidable. I had no idea of dis-

-obeying or acting against your orders. In my long service I had never disobeyed the orders of my superiors. Had it not been for the urgency of my private business I would not have left the Division so suddenly, without obtaining your previous sanction the second time also as I did at first. I very much regret that circumstances have so happened. I request that you will be good enough to excuse my leaving the Division so suddenly, without actually obtaining your permission for the second time also.

I have etc.

(Sd). C Ramasesha Iyer
Deputy Collector.

Sis 1030 of 98
26-4-98.

Copy submitted to the Board with reference to Board's Reference dated 6th January 98 on General No 6174 of 97.

N. Arcot Collector's office }
Chittoor, 26th April 1898.

M. S. Attu
Acting Collector.

CRN
26/4/98.
NMM

To, The Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue
Madras.

from the beginning of January until the 6th April

Reference on No. of 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)



Read letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 21st December 1897, Ref. on. Cur. No. 2258 of 1897 and the following reference thereon dated 6th January 1898

The Collector is requested to obtain an explanation from Dy. Collector Mr. R. C. Ramaswamy and to submit the same to the Board with such further remarks as he may wish to offer.

Also endorsement of the Collector of North Arcot dated 26th April 1898 Dis. No. 1030^{1st} of 1898.

Reference dated 7th May 1898. No. 524

The papers read above will be submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In his letter, dated 21st December last, Ref. on C. No. 2258, Mr. Wynne, as Collector of North Arcot, brought to the notice of the Board the Conduct of Mr. R. C. Ramasesha Aiyar Avarat, Deputy Collector on General Duties, Arni, in leaving his division without permission for five days from 29th November 1897 to

to 3rd December 1897 inclusive. The Collector reported that the irregularity was aggravated by the fact that the Deputy Collector had two urgent pieces of work to attend to at the time; one item, the Conduct of some alkari sales was improperly entrusted by him to subordinate officials, while the other - the submission of a Special Season report was unduly delayed. Mr. Wynne observed that the Deputy Collector had obtained casual leave for 5 days from the 19th November and that, not having utilized that leave, he had no excuse for taking 5 days leave from a subsequent date without renewing his application in the usual manner.

3. In its Reference, dated 6th January last the Board called for Mr. R. V. C. Ramasesha Aiyar's explanation and requested the Collector to submit the same with his further remarks. From a perusal of the explanation submitted, the Board infers that Mr. R. V. C. Ramasesha Aiyar does not realize the impropriety of his conduct. He has added to his initial fault by delaying to reply to the Board's reference for over three months. The fact that the Deputy Collector has not vouchsafed any explanation or expression of regret for this dilatoriness goes to indicate that the delay was deliberate rather than accidental. This view is supported by the circumstance that Mr. Wynne, the Collector who originally reported the Deputy Collector's misconduct, was a Member of the Board of Revenue

from.

from the beginning of January until the 6th April and handed over charge of the North Arcot Collectorate on the 25th idem. The Collector's reference submitting Mr. R. V. C. Ramasesha Aiyar's explanation is dated the 26th idem, and the Deputy Collector has thus laid himself open to the suspicion of having arranged to defer the submission of his explanation until it should be too late for Mr. Wynne to make comments thereon in his capacity as Collector of North Arcot or as Member of the Board of Revenue.

Lowel Davidson.

Ag. Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Govt. R.D.
with 1 letter & explanation
in original.

CV
u/

etc

No 50 p 14 D.C.s
list.

See

Last November
Dy Collector Ramasesha
Ayer obtained 5 days
casual leave from
the 19th idem from
his Collector Mr Wynne
(H. A. R. S.). He did not
avail himself of the
leave from the 19th
but did so from the
29th id. ~~was~~ informing
the Collector of the
fact on that day.

Mr Wynne thereupon
reported him to the Bd
for leaving the District
without permission
(Please see his letter
the first paper in the
current). The Bd. called
on the Dy Collector for
his explanation. The
Dy Collector delayed
to furnish it till the
21st April i.e. just as
Mr Wynne was leaving

I

The explanation
is the 2nd paper
in the current
—

X
10/11/21

the District & it was
sent on to the Bd on
the 26th April the day
after Mr Wymie had
been handed over
charge.

Thus the matters
against the Dy Collector are

(1) leaving his
District without renewing
the permission he had
previously obtained for 5 days
casual leave;

(2) doing so, while
he had some resales of
laddy shops to conduct,
the Dy Collector & a
report to forward on the
state of the season in
his District.

(3) Deliberately
misrepresentation apparently
deliberately so as to
get Mr Wymie out of
the way.

No explanation is
proffered with regard
to the 5th matter. With regard
to the first 2 matters

This was contumacious
S/H

i.e. sale of shops
for which there
had been no bids
at the main sale.

Butter is not the
judge of this
S/H

Art 249
C.S.R

3
It would be the Dy Collector
pleads in extenuation
that he had very urgent
family matters to see
to & that he was going
away on the 29th really
causing no harm to his
work, which seems to
have been the case.

By leaving his
District without permission
Dy Collector Ramasesha
Agar appears to have
lost his appt. unless
Govt decides to treat
the period of his
absence as casual
leave or as privilege
leave for which the Dy
Collector seems to be
entitled.

For order. A
note as to the Dy Collector's
past record is below

He
24.6.11

Hm.

C. Ramasesha Agar is
No 50 at p. 14 of D.C. list

2
4

and is in the 5th class. His conduct was most in-
advisable, this ~~attempted~~
delaying his explanation till
the Pleitor w^d be out of
the way when it was considered
appropos the case.

If he be put at the bottom of
the class it is doubtful whether
such reduction w^d affect him
pecuniarily, as it seems
doubtful whether even in
the ordinary course, since he
is now 50 y^r old, he w^d
attain the 4th class before
retirement. But he might
be so punished, or reduced to
the 6th grade.

J.A. 12/6/98

note

a draft reference to E
and of dev. is submitted
proffer
the 5th ma
to the first

The Dr. Colis conduct was
certainly contumacious.
But let us have his
explanation as to why
he delayed for three
months to send it the
reply due, i.e. while Mr.
Wynne was acting as
member of the Bd. &
why he ^{did not} forward it
till Mr. W. was about to
leave the Dr. The Bd.
should require the reply
within a week. *12.6.98*
~~It is clear that the delay was~~
~~some delay in the Colis office.~~

A

D.O.
in note no
(cont) 39 B

opinion in
note no
72 C

From the copy 7
of Mr Sewell's opinion of 6th
Dec: 1892 Stitche with note
no. 55, it will be seen that
so early as 1892 Ramasetha
Ayar was considered to be
"most painfully slow" and
in his magisterial work
"always heavily in arrears"
As regards his fitness for
further employment as Dep^y
Collector, Mr Sewell remarked
"If he were brigher and
"Smarter, he would doubtless
"do well but I am quite tired
"of talking to him about his
"heavy arrears". He was ac-
cordingly warned in Sept. 1893
that "unless he showed marked
"improvement in activity and
"prompt disposal of work during
"the following six months he
"would be relegated to his Tahsil"
In Dec: 1893 Mr Sewell re-
ported that there was a marked
improvement in Mr Rama-
setha Ayar's work and that
there was no objection to his
being confirmed in the post
of

of a Deputy Collector. This was accordingly done in Feb 1894 with retrospective effect from 31st Decr 1893. In July 1894 however, for culpable delay, when Divisional Magistrate Bellary, in investigating a charge against a Police Inspector, he was degraded three places, it having been ordered that the three persons who were next appointed to be Deputy Collectors should be placed above him on the list. There is nothing further on record against this Officer.

G.O. 2671 D
10.VII.94

7. The Revenue

Indices for the past 15 years have been gone thro' but no ~~any~~ precedent could be traced. Rannasha Amir is now in 9th in the 5th grade of Deputy Collectors and has now eight Officers below him in that grade. Orders are in these circumstances solicited as to how the Deputy ~~collector~~ conduct should be noticed

O.M. N. 144

Ref^{ce} B.P. Ref n. 524 of 7th May 1898

Do
29/6/98

The undersigned is directed to request that the Board of Rev: will be good enough to call upon Mr R. C. Rama. seshu Aiyar to submit, within one week from the date of the receipt by him of the Board's orders, an explanation as to why he delayed for three months to send a reply to the Collector's reference of 15th Jan^y 1898 and ^{finally} why he did not forward the same ^{until} his ^{India} was about to leave ~~the~~ district.

Cotacamund }
29th June 1898

W. B. P.
29.6.98
J. H. P.
29.6.98
The Board of Rev: L.B.
W. B. P.
29.6.98

Confidential

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 5088

Conf. No. 54

From

Board of Revenue
Land Revenue.

Order

No. 1889 Mis.
Conf. No. 54

Dated 18 July 1898.

Received 20th }
Registered 20th } July 1898

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government
explanation of Mr. C. Rama-
sesha Aiyar, Avargal, Deputy
Collector on General Duties, North
Arcot, for leaving his division
without permission for 5 days
from 29 November to 3 December
1897 both days inclusive.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

received on the
7th Evening.

No. OF 189

General Duty Deputy
NORTH ARCOT COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Camp, Kalambar

Dated 13th July 1898.

FROM M. R. R. Repu on cur no 4428^{re} of 98
C. Ramaswaha Ayyar
Deputy Collector of North Arcot.

Ref CURRENT No. 321 B & G
Dated 15-7-88

To The Collector of
North Arcot

SIR,

With reference to Government Order,
dated 29th June 1898, communicated with
your footnote, Repu on cur no 321 B & G
dated 4th Instant, I have the honor to state
that the delay in the submission of the
explanation called for from me was
due to other causes than to any desire
or intention on my part that the expla-
nation should not get into the hands
of Mr. Byrne, so as to avoid the risk
of any probable unfavourable comments
by him. In my explanation I had not
stated anything that was not a fact

or that can be even surmised, not to
be a fact, and I had no reason to
apprehend that Mr. Hyne was likely
to unfavorably comment upon my expla-
nation. It might have been a circum-
stance in my favor if my explanation
went through Mr. Hyne, or was seen by
him as a Member of the Board of Revenue;
for, knowing as he did the nature of
my work in this Division, and how I
have acquitted myself in the same,
he was more likely to have forwarded
the explanation for the favourable
consideration of the Board than other-
wise. I despatched my explanation
on the 21st April /58, and I had no
reason to expect that it would not
reach Mr. Hyne who continued to be
the collector of North Arcot up to the
25th Idem, on which date he handed
over charge of his office to Mr. Batten.
I beg that the Honorable Board will

Government would accept my strong
and unqualified assurance that the
delay was not due to any such improper
object on my part as is surmised in
the proceedings under reply.

The delay in the submission of the
report was mostly due to the fact that
I had to be constantly on tour on account
of the unfavourable character of the
Season, and that I had on that account
a heavy pressure of work which engaged
my full time. I had to acquiesce various
villages, and remissions of large amounts
were granted in many villages; and
according to the practice in the District
I had to personally acquaint myself with
the real state of the villages, and the
facts of each case, and also satisfy my-
self as to the validity of the claims, before
I could take the responsibility of granting

Such remissions. It has also to be observed that the unexcused of mind consequent upon the heavy domestic calamities that I had sustained, combined with the domestic inconveniences that I was then labouring under contributed somewhat to the delay in the submission of the explanation. I very much regret that the circumstances of the case, though fortuitous, should have been such as to render it possible that any ulterior motive may have been had in making the delay in the submission of the report, but as a matter of fact, there was no such motive that prompted the delay. It is due to myself to submit that during the past 26 years of my service, I have not given any room for such observations, and I beg respectfully to assure the Board and the Government that it shall be my highest endeavour not to give any occasions hereafter for

with myself.

I have to state that I very much regret that occasions should have arisen for the entertaining of even a surmise that, in delaying the submission of the explanation, I might have had an object, which is unworthy of one who has served the Government for the last 26 years and risen in the service, discharging his duties honestly and conscientiously to the satisfaction of his Superior officers.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Collector

189

FROM

Deputy
The Collector of General Duties
Ami in, North Arcot,

TO

THE

Collector of
North Arcot

Dated 13

Despatched 13

Received

1898.

Ref: on cur No. 442 of '98

14 JUL 1898

ENCLOSURES

nil

SUBJECT.

Explaining the circumstances
which led to the delay in
the submission of the report
called for by the Board in
connection with leaving the
Division without permission.

Forwarded.

2. The Deputy Collector's Explanation
was received by the Collector on the
afternoon of Saturday the 23rd of July
which was a holiday. Mr. Wynne
sent the tappal to the Sheristadar's
house that evening. The Sheristadar
sent the paper at once to the Ver. Head
Clerk who called for the Connected
papers and sent the file back to the
Sheristadar either on Sunday Evening
or Monday morning the 25th. The
Sheristadar not noticing the paper
which was put up with other
papers submitted it for orders
only on the 26th when I at once
forwarded the Dy. Collector's
Explanation to the Board. As
the Board is aware I took charge
on the 25th.

J. H. Batten

Ag: Collector

Camp Arakonam 16.7.98

Jag

16.7.98

V.E.

CONFIDENTIAL.

200 copies
20-7-96.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 18th July 1898

No. 1889/1898

Conf. No. 54

READ Endorsement of J. H. Batten Esq., Acting
Collector of North Arcot, dated 16th July 1898, Ref:
Cur: No. 321/1898 on letter from M R Dy. C. Ramasesha
Aiyar Aragal, Deputy Collector on General
Duties, dated 13th idem, Ref: on Cur: No. 442.

Resolution

Submitted to Government with
reference to its Official Memorandum, No. 144,
dated 29th June last calling for a further explanation
from Deputy Collector M R Dy. C. Ramasesha
Aiyar.

(True Extract)

Lionel Davidson

Acting Secretary

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

with Dy. Collector's explanation bearing the Collector's Indt.
in original

200 copies
Copied

CONFIDENTIAL.

1896.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF

Proceedings, dated

1896.

No.
Conf. No.

Abstract.

B.P. No 1889
conf. No 54
d. 18. VII. 98
C.O. 5088

W.M.

H.S.

In any case his ^{action} ~~conduct~~ in delaying
for three months to submit an explanation
of his conduct was very reprehensible.

I think the best way to deal with
this case will be to stop the
Sg. Col's pay for the five days
during which he was absent
from his district without leave,
and to note that ~~not~~ regard
his explanation as unsatisfactory
& direct that he be not
promoted to a higher grade
unless he is favorably reported
on meanwhile.

At 23. 7. 98

I concur with the Hon. Mr. Fremont's opinion as
to the way of dealing with this case.

A.S.H.
26. 7. 98

5

To the Under Secy

The Deputy Collector's
explanation with the Collector's
remarks thereon is sub-
mitted for orders.

Secy

For orders.

If the delay was not
intentional, it ~~was~~ certainly
fitted in very well with
Mr Wynne's departure.

W.M.
22/7/98

H.S.

Personally I have no
doubt the delay was in-
tentional. No other reason-
able explanation of it is
offered.

At 24/7/98

To the Under Secy

A draft fo. is sub-
mitted below.

W.M.
28. VII. 98

Secy

29/7/98

Done

W.M.

Read B.S. L.A. Ref no 524
dy 7. v. 98 (Cuo. 3532-98)
... also B.S. no 1889/comp (no
of dy 18. vii. 98 (Cuo. 5088)

Order misc No 3187 dy 30 July 1898.

H.E. the G in C. con-
sidered that the ^{Explanation subm} conduct of
by m R.R. Ramasetha Aiyar
for having left ^{his Division} the district
without the Collectors permission
~~was contemptuous and that~~
~~his subsequent action in~~
and for ^{Subsequently} having delayed for three
months to reply to the Board's
reference ^{on the subject} ~~are~~ unsatis-
factory & ^{highly} ~~repugnant~~ ^{disrespectful} ~~to the~~ ^{authorities} ~~con-~~
~~not in the opinion of Govt~~
~~be accepted as satisfactory~~
H.E. in C. accordingly directs
that the pay of m R.R. Rama-
setha Aiyar be stopped for
the five days ^{during which} he was absent
from ^{duty} ~~the district~~ should be
^{Further, M. R. R. Ramasetha Aiyar} stopped. ~~The~~ ^{officer} will not
~~be~~ be promoted to a higher
~~grade~~ ^{until} unless he is favourably
reported

Sp 30/7/98
L. K. Ramasetha Aiyar

forwarded on, by the Collector in
the enclosures.

filed
28/7/88
28/7/8

The Board of Res. & R.
Acct General. *Entered*
4/8/98

The necessary entries
will be made in the Comptroller's
Register as soon as the papers
are numbered.

Entered
8.viii.28
4.viii.28

Wm for copying
Wm ed
Wm ind
Wm 2 l

189

Revised O. No.

DATED

By No.

Revised to O. No.

of Government

A A A A A A A

of Government.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.