O/9. 3,000—6-2-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3109

DATED 23rd July 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating, with reference to the recommendation that the dismissal of R. Jagannatha Rao and N. Sundaram Iyer, late Thasildar and Dy. Tahsildar, Kotaccamund, respectively, be notified in the Fort St. George Gazette, that the Govt. considers that the expiration of the period allowed for appeal, or if an appeal is presented before the expiration of that period, the result of such appeal, should be awaited.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cyr	2130 Rev		13-6-98				

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4443.

BOARD OF REVENUE MADRAS 15 JUL

Order Issued in 3109 d/23rd July 98.

From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue.
Madras.

The Govt considers that the expiration of the period allowed for appeal (Vide Rule 3 (10) of the rules communicated in G.O. No 931 d/ 18.11.89 (Public)) or if an appeal is presented before the expiration of that period the result of such appeal, should be awaited.

21/7/98

To

The Sec. of Revenue (L.R.) with letter, dated 2.7.98

Ref No. 710

Dated 1st July 1898.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government letter from the Collector of the Nilgiris recommending publication in the Gazette of a notification announcing the dismissal of M.R.RY.P.Jayaraja Rao and M.Sundram Iyer, Huzur Sheristadar, & Deputy Tahsildar, Ootacamund, respectively.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE),
Madras, 1st July 1898.

READ— Letter from D.W.G Cowie, Esquire, Acting Collector of the Nilgiris, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue Madras, Ref No.493/G.B. of 1898, dated 23rd June 1898.

-------:O:-------

Reference No. ~~710~~ dated ~~1st July~~ 1898.

Submitted to Government with the recommendation that the notification submitted by the Collector, as amended by the Board, may be published in ~~three~~ 2 successive issues of the Fort Saint George Gazette.

Lionel Davidson

Ag. Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with Letter in original (to be returned.)

Md.P.H.

CV
4/7

B.P. Refee No 710 Dy 1.7.98
(C. No 4773)

To Under Secretary ...

The Board of Revenue submits a draft notification for publication in the Fort Saint George Gazette announcing that the services of Jeyaraja Row, late Huzur Sarishtadar, Vizigi Collectorate, and Sundaram aiyar, late Deputy Tahsildar, cuttacandum, have been dispensed with. In the G. O. letter printed in G.O No. 552 Public Dy 5.7.95 it was stated:— "ordinary cases of the dismissal of non gazetted officers need not be notified in the Govt. Gazette x x x x x x x x x x x x x The dismissal of public servants should the Governor General in Council considers be notified in the Gazette only in the following cases viz, (1) when it is necessary to notify the public of the removal from service of an officer whether because his appointment was previously

N

C 19/7/98.

previously gazetted or from any other cause, and (2) when it is specially desired to exclude from reemployment in the service of Govt a public servant who has been dismissed for a heinous offence such as fraud or falsification of accounts."

2. The question for consideration is whether the circumstances which rendered the removal of Jagannadha Row and Sundaram Aiyar from the public service necessary were such as to justify the publication of a notification. The former was dismissed under the orders contained in G.O. No. 234 dy 27.4.98. The charge brought home to him was that in connection with a nominee appointment he received a bribe from one Nara either by way of gift or loan. Sundaram Aiyar was dismissed under the orders contained in G.O. No 201 dy 25.4.98 and one of the charges against him considered as

In the confidential cover.

O.

Public Notification

as framed was tampering with the records. It is thought that in both the cases the offences brought home to the officers were such as to justify the publication of a notification within the spirit of the G.I. Res[n].

3. In publishing the Notification it is presumed that the "Rao B. Raj" prefixed to the names of the officers in question should be omitted.

4. Please see a draft order put up on the docket sheet of the Current.

Illegible
13.7.98

Secy

Both men may appeal to India. Intimate that the result of the appeals, memorials or if none be presented, the expiration of the appeal time (6mo) may be awaited. He may be told that Govt sees no reason to publish the notifications at present

Illegible
17.7.98

Hon. Member await the

Hand & the public should know of it.
Illegible

F 21 5,000—4-11-08.

await the appeal time: if no appeal is made & it is unsuccessful we may then publish the MSp.

S.R. 9/7/8

yes. both are v. pr eventual publn.
21-7-08.

Secy

Please see draft below

Mle 22/7/08

given for copying
copied
examined
signed
} 189 .

AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.