

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3095

DATED 22nd July 98.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the enfranchisement of
certain irans in the village of Chirala, Bapatla talu-
kha District, on a quit-rent of $\frac{3}{4}$ of the assessed

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4472.

From The Inam Commissioner.
Madras.

Ref. on Cur. No. 592 of 1897

The enfranchisement of the inam
on a quit rent of $\frac{3}{4}$ of the assessment is sanctioned.

Dated 22nd June 1898

Received 24th

Registered 25th

Enclosures 5.

Spare copies

6/7/98. Examine the evidence
as to report to Sup. aut. - 6

To The Inam Commissioner
By 2nd the not be returned
fully annexed in name.
of letter

SUBJECT.

Requesting sanction for
the enfranchisement at
 $\frac{3}{4}$ the assessment of a certain
unsettled Personal Inam
in the village of Chirala,
Bapatla Taluk, Kistna
District

Order no. 3095 dt 22nd July 98.



7-7-98
S. P. 22/7/98

8/7/98

Dec. No. 2857 of 1898
General

Office of the
Collector & Magistrate,
Masulipatam,
Kistna District.
27 May 1898.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From

R. Morris Esquire,
Acting Collector, Kistna District.

To

The Inam Commissioner
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter R.C. in
592 of 1897. dated 22 November 1897.
I have the honour to state that the
enquiry made by the Tahsils of
Bapatla does not show that the
inam under reference is identical
with that covered by Title deed
No. 547. No. 183 referred to in the latter
seems to be old Paimash number
corresponding to D. no. 138 D according
to

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

re) in which

am according to old

measurement was allowed to be enjoyed.

The extent corresponds with the entries in the register of Fash 1217 received from the Collector of Nellore.

The Inamdars pleaded that all their documents were filed before the Inam Commissioner at the time of original settlement and that they were not returned to them.

3 As, however, from the two documents above referred to it appears that the inam

No. 2857 of 1898
General

Office of the
Collector & Magistrate,
Masulipatam,
Kistna District.
27 May 1898.

From

R. Morris Esquire,
Acting Collector, Kistna District

Government

Commissioner

4. The register of Madras, Collector of Nellore, the Dumbala for Fash 1217 and a statement in Telugu found in the records of this office are enclosed.

I have &c.
(signed) R. Morris
Ag. Collector

Reference on Current no. 592 of 1897

Submitted for the orders of Govern-

From Collector. Re no 3486 of 96/1-12-96.
To - do - do no 3 of 1897/4-1-97
From do - Re no 3486 of 96/26-10-97
To - do - Re no. 592 of 97/22-11-97

ment with the previous correspondence in original as per margin.

In the village of - Chinai, Panchi
Taluk, District, a Personal
Inam extent of 1.69 acres assessed
at Rs. 9-5- was not brought under
Inam Settlement along with other
Personal Inams in the village. Though
the Inamdar alleges that they
filed the usual statements of claims
along with others at the time of the
original settlement the Collector
does not consider that their allegations are
entirely reliable. He now submits the
Inam Register for the Inam
and recommends that the Inam
may be enfranchised at $\frac{3}{4}$ of the
assessment as prescribed in L.O. no.
35 dated 15 March 1893. Under
note to Rule VI of the Inam
Act the sanction of Government
is

is requested for the enfran-
chisement recommended by the
Collector.

Inam Commissioner's office
Airao 22nd June 1898

J. Hurst
Ag. Inam Commissioner

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Yr

Read Inam Commr's Ref on Cms
no 592 of 22.6.90 (Cms 4472 of 98)

Note

The Secretary's remarks in the
draft on current no 4472 of 98:—
"Examine the evidence as to right to
"emfranchisement— and at $\frac{3}{4}$ to? Why
"should not the inam be resumed
"and fully assessed in the name of
"the holder?"

Para 1 of the Krishna
Collector's letter of 1.12.96.

Para 2 of the remarks in
C 217 to Registering Inams (Enc 3)

Para 4 of the Collr's letter
of 1.12.96.

The inam in question consists
of an extent of 1.69 acres bearing D.
No. 183 and assessed at Rs 9.5-0
in the village of Ohirala, Bapalla
taluk, Krishna District. This village
was originally attached to the Nellore
District and was transferred to the
Krishna district in 1847. In the
Permanent Register B of the village
it is entered as personal inam ~
without any quit-rent. The inamdar
desire to have it enfranchised as
a Bhatta-willi inam i.e. inam ~
granted for the support of Brahmins.
The origin of the inam is not ~
satisfactorily traced. The Inamdar
state that the Inam has been in
their enjoyment for 5 or 6 generations.

part, that it was not granted on condition of rendering any service nor restricted to any particular number of lives and that they do not know why quit-rent was not imposed on it at the time of Inam settlement.

2. It would appear that the Inamdar first stated that the original documents, Sumads and Dumbalas were filed in the Addanki taluk office of the Nellore District to which the village of Chinala belonged some years back but that when they were called upon to report the approximate date, they retracted their former statement and alleged that the documents were filed in the Inam Commissioner's office at the Inam enquiry in Bapatla. The Collector of Krishna considers that the allegations are not true. He, however, states that the inamdar have produced before him a Dumbala for fasli 1217 given by the Thannadar of Chandalar under orders of the Collector (of Nellore) in which form of inam according to old measurement was allowed to be

Remission was revocable at pleasure of the Sovereign in accordance with the laws of the country

S. A. 247

* An order for giving up government share of the produce to the Cultivators vide p. 150 of the Inam Report

Para 2 of the Collector's letter of 27.5.98

* A land measure equal to 3 1/2 ams. vide p. 123 of the Inam Report

Para 2 of the Collector's letter of 1-12-96

"enjoyed" and that this "enjoyment" corresponds with the entries in the register of fasli 1217 received from the Collector of Nellore. This register appears to have been prepared in fasli 1217 (1807) by one of the persons specially entrusted for the purpose of ascertaining the extent of arable land in each taluk. In the extract from the register attached to the current there is a remark in the last column to the following effect. The "inamdar being absent", the Karnam when questioned, said that in the Inam it was shown as hereditary. The Collector considers that this is a subsequent entry. From the two statements referred to above viz the Dumbala and the register of fasli 1217 ~~apothecaries~~ the Collector of Krishna concludes that the inam must have been more than 50 years old at the time of the original inam settlement and recommends that it may be enfranchised at $\frac{3}{4}$ ths of the assessment as prescribed in G.O. no. 2358 of 15.3.93. The Inam Commissioner supports the Collector's recommendation.

er.

out.

Appendix to standing order no. 116.

153

DATED

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MOUNT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only

Rule 5 IV (3) of the Siam rules

3. Attention is invited to the note under rule VI of the Siam rules from which it will be seen that the Government presented certain higher rates for those who did not accept the offer for enfranchisement prior to 1875 but who were willing to enfranchise before the end of 1878.

If the iramdars had filed a statement of their claims at the time of the iram enquiry, the irams would have been enfranchised on their consenting to pay an annual quit-rent amounting to $\frac{1}{8}$ to $\frac{1}{4}$ of the full assessment. In view of the fact that the iramdars have been enjoying the iram free of quit-rent for upwards of fifty years, it seems sufficient to enhance the quit-rent to $\frac{3}{4}$ of the assessment as a punishment for the laches of the iramdars. Please see the decision in C.O. 2358/15-3-93 which was followed in subsequent cases disposed of in C.O. 801/11-9-93, 1105/29-12-93 & 586/12-2-94.

See the register 7/12/17 in the Bellare Collector's Office has preserved by the accepted as genuine, the dumbales.

22/7/8

The draft original