

Revenue (1898) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 297

Dated 21st May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Application for Revenue Registry.

Recording B's Prop. reviewing reports on the further trial of the rule permitting the presentation of — without previous attestation by village officers and directing that the rule shall now become absolute.

No.	Product	Des.	Col.	No.	Product	Des.

No.	Project	Date	C.D.	No.	Department	Date

CURRENT No. 3/59.

21/5

Order Book

Revised

RS
17-5-98

18.5.38

From

Board of Revenue
Land Revenue

No. 94

Dated 5

Received 27

Registered 28

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reviewing reports on the further trial of the rule permitting the presentation of applications for revenue registry without previous attestation by village-officers & desiring that the rule shall now become absolute.

The Board of Rev. L. R. - $\frac{2012}{4.6.98}$

$$\frac{30}{2}$$

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
-121	120	88-4	96
	314	824-7	94
=	1703	—	4-5-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1898.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed April th.

Proceedings, No. 94, 5th April 1898.

APRIL.

Reviewing reports on the further trial of the rule permitting the presentation of applications for revenue registry without previous attestation by village-officers and directing that the rule shall now become absolute.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

READ—Further reports from certain Collectors on the working of the rule making the prior attestation of applications for transfer of revenue registry by Village officers optional.

RESOLUTION—No. 94, dated 5th April 1898.

In its Proceedings, No. 314, dated 24th July 1894, the Board resolved on making it optional with applicants for the transfer of revenue registry to have their applications attested by village-officers previous to presentation, and paragraph 3 (1) (a) of Standing Order No. 20 was amended accordingly. Collectors were, however, requested to watch the effect of the change and to report upon it at the end of a year. These reports were reviewed in Board's Proceedings, No. 130, dated 8th April 1896, with the result that a trial for a further period of two years was considered desirable. All Collectors were accordingly requested to report again in January 1898.

2. The Collectors of the districts noted in the margin have not yet submitted their reports. The Collector of Trichinopoly (Mr. Shipley) defers an expression of opinion on the working of the rule until after the current jamabandi. All other Collectors report that the rule has been working satisfactorily. The Collector of South Arcot (Mr. Wynch) adds:—

North Arcot.	Kistna.	The Collector of Trichinopoly (Mr. Shipley) defers an expression of opinion on the working of the rule until after the current jamabandi. All other Collectors report that the rule has been working satisfactorily. The Collector of South Arcot (Mr. Wynch) adds:—
Cuddapah.	Kurnool.	
Gódvári.	Nellore.	

"The mere fact that the ryots know that the prior attestation by the village officers is not compulsory will prevent the latter from claiming excessive remuneration for their services, while the greater delay in disposal of unattested as compared with attested applications probably operates as an inducement to obtain attestation, if possible."

In the above circumstances, the Board considers it unnecessary to await the receipt of further reports, and resolves to direct that the rule making previous attestation optional shall now become absolute.

(True Extract.)

Donal Daviden

Acting Secretary.

To all Collectors.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" " Settlement Department.

Exd. P. Seetharam.

Read B. P. 94 d/5.4.98. (Enc 315-9).

Note.

B. S. O. No. 20, paragraph 30 (a) as it originally stood, required that applications for transfer of registry presented to revenue officers should be attested by the Karam and headman of the village. It was suspected that these officers extorted for some money for their attestation, and with a view to protect ryots from such demands, the Board, in para 4 of its Progs. No. 314 d/24.7.94, resolved to make it optional with applicants to have their applications attested by village officers previous to presentation. B. S. O. No. 20 was amended accordingly, but with a view to watch the effect of the change, Collectors were asked to report upon its working at the end of a year. It was found that the new rule worked satisfactorily, but as several Collectors urged that it should be given a further trial before being made absolute, the Board of Revenue directed that a further report should be submitted from all districts in January 1898.

in B. P. 662
13.9.94

vide B. P. 130
8.4.96 in B. P. 1703
4.5.96 H

c 19/5/98.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 94, dated 5th April 1898:—

Read—Further reports from certain Collectors on the working of the rule making the prior attestation of applications for transfer of revenue registry by village officers optional.

Resolution—No. 94, dated 5th April 1898.

In its Proceedings, No. 314, dated 24th July 1894, the Board resolved on making it optional with applicants for the transfer of revenue registry to have their applications attested by village officers previous to presentation, and paragraph 3 (1) (a) of Standing Order No. 20 was amended accordingly. Collectors were, however, requested to watch the effect of the change and to report upon it at the end of a year. These reports were reviewed in Board's Proceedings, No. 130, dated 8th April 1896, with the result that a trial for a further period of two years was considered desirable. All Collectors were accordingly requested to report again in January 1898.

2. The Collectors of the districts noted in the margin have not yet submitted their reports. The Collector of Trichinopoly (Mr. Shipley) defers an expression of opinion on the working of the rule until after the current jamabandi. All

North Arcot.
Cuddapah.
Gódvári.

Kistna.
Kurnool.
Nellore.

other Collectors report that the rule has been working satisfactorily. The Collector of South Arcot (Mr. Wynch) adds:—

“The mere fact that the ryots know that the prior attestation by the village officers is not compulsory will prevent the latter from claiming excessive remuneration for their services, while the greater delay in disposal of unattested as compared with attested applications probably operates as an inducement to obtain attestation, if possible.”

In the above circumstances, the Board considers it unnecessary to await the receipt of further reports, and resolves to direct that the rule making previous attestation optional shall now become absolute.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

To all Collectors.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
“ “ Board of Revenue (Settlement Department).

ORDER—No. 297, Revenue, dated 21st May 1898.

Miscellaneous.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Secretary to Government

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

Exd. C. M. Thambuswami.

30 Copies.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
130.

REVENUE No.

ENCLOSURE TO G.O., No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH FILED.

COPIES NOT FILED IN, HAVE MEANT FOR DESTRUCTION
IN ORIGINAL.

NOTE.

A=Print in full.

B=Not to be printed.

P=Print in every.

Q=Print in only.