Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2958

DATED 15th July 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the grant of fresh lands in exchange for religious service inam lands taken up for public purposes in the districts of Ganjam, Kistna and Kurnool.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1411

From The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Madras

Order Mis no 1358 dt 15th July 98.

The proposals referred to in para 1 of the Ref. Reference read above are sanctioned.

2. The Collector of Kurnool has not stated explicitly that the estimated value of the land (15·65 acres) proposed to be assigned is equal to or at least not greater than half of the land (47 acres) taken up. The assessment of the lands however being equal it is presumed that the estimated value is the same.

Ref No. 663.

Dated 18th June 1898.

Received 23 "

Registered 24 "

Enclosures 11.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting the sanction of Government to the proposal made by the Collector of Ganjam, Mistra and Kurnool re: the grant of fresh lands as Inam in exchange for religious service Inam lands taken up for public purposes.

To the Board of Revenue (L.R.) with the Encl. returned

Refer How stands the estimated value calculated? Is there any basis for the calculation other than the amount of assessment?

Reasons for standing order

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Reference on *No.* *of* 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

(LAND REVENUE.)

Read — letter from J. K. Atkinson Esqr. Collector of Kistna Ref on C. No 753 of 97. dated 24th February 1897 and the following reference thereon dated 19th March 1897.

In the "remarks" column of the statement received with the letter read above, it is stated that the fresh lands valued as inam are worth Rs 50 an acre. At this rate, the total area proposed to be granted in exchange will be worth only Rs 204½ against Rs 235-8-4 awarded for the land taken up. The Collector is requested to state why it is not proposed to compensate the inamdars for the difference of Rs 28-0-4 and also whether it is possible to grant additional land either in survey No 210 or in survey No 500 so as to make up the difference.

— also letter from J. H. Batten Esqr Acting Collector of Kistna Ref No 4139 of 97. dated 16th October 1897

and the following reference thereon dated 1st November 99.

It is explained in the Remarks Column of the remuneration statement that the value of the fresh land on ryotwari tenure being Rs 80 an acre, its value on inam tenure must be Rs 160 an acre. This assumption is erroneous. The difference between the two values referred to is the capitalized value of the assessment: this would be about Rs 20 an acre, so that the value of the land on inam tenure would not exceed Rs 100 an acre.

2. The amount of money compensation to be awarded for the extents shown in Column 8 of the statement at (Rs 80+15 percentage) Rs 92 per acre should be only Rs 32-3-11 and Rs 34-11-8 and not 41-11-11 and 44-1-5 as given in the Statement. The Collector is requested to submit a revised remuneration statement with reference to the foregoing remarks.

3. It is observed that the statement of remuneration does not bear the signature of the officer signing for the Collector.

— abo letter from R. Morris Esqr, Acting Collector of Tdistna, R.C. No $\frac{822}{76}$ of 98 dated 23rd May 1898.

— abo letter from E. B. Elwin Esqr, Acting Collector of Ganjam Dis No $\frac{1096}{ver}$ of 98. dated 20th May 1898.

— abo letter from R. Morris Esqr Acting Collector of Tdistna Dis No $\frac{2797}{gen}$ of 98. dated 23rd May 1898.

— abo Endorsement of Muhammad Raza Tkhan Esqr Acting Collector of Kurnool, dated 27th May 1898.

Reference No 663 dated 18th June 1898.

In the cases shown in the annexed statement, the Collectors concerned propose to grant fresh lands as Inam in exchange for certain religious service Inam lands acquired for public purposes. It is reported that the estimated value of the lands, which it is proposed to assign in exchange is equal to, or at least not greater than, that of the lands taken up. The Board submits the proposals for the sanction of Government under section 31(3) of Act I of 1894 (India).

2. The additional quit rent to be paid by the Inamdar holding T.D. No 341 in Tdistna is given as Rs 1-6-11 or Rs 1-7-0 rounding off the fraction of an anna, and apparently includes the amount

amount of proportionate quit rent (Rs-2-11) payable on the extent taken up. In accordance with paras 4 and 5 of the Inam Commissioner's letter dated 26th July 1894 read in B.P. No 519 dated 28th November 1894 it is not necessary to allow any abatement of quit rent on the land acquired when that given in exchange bears an equal or greater assessment. In such cases it is sufficient to note in the title deed as well as in the quit rent registers the net difference between the assessment of the land taken up and that of the land assigned in exchange. Accordingly the additional quit rent to be levied in the present case will be fixed at Rs 6-6-0 minus Rs 5-2-0 or Rs 1-4-0.

Lionel Dawdson

Asst Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Govt. Rd.
with letters and Statements
to be returned & copy of statement

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.