0/9 3,000—5-8-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2833

DATED 7th July 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the entertainment of a second vetti for the manapakam vattam in Cuddalore taluk, S. Arcot Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (189 8) Department.

CURRENT No. 4418.

RP 7.7.98.

Order (mi) no 2855 dy 4th July 98.

The Board's recommendation in para 3 of its Proceedings read above in the case is sanctioned.

Mw RP 5.7.98

17.7.98

CP 11/98

29/6/98

To The Board of Rev (LA.) with one enclosure.
Acct. Gen.
Dept 2
9-7-98

From The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Madras.

No 667.

Dated 18th June 1898.

Received 22

Registered 22

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the orders of Govt., with remarks, letter from the Collector of South Arcot requesting sanction for the entertainment of a second vetti for the Manapakam vattam in Cuddalore Taluk.

RP 7.6.98

23/7/98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Rc	3824	——	12-7-94
Rp	5-2	——	18-12-94
Rv	321	——	12-5-90

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Reference on *No.* *of* 1897.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

Read letter from L.M.Wynch,Esquire,I.C.S.,Acting Collector of South Arcot,to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue,Madras,Ref.on Regr.No.729/ver of 1898,dated 6th June 1898.

-------:0:-------

Reference No.667,dated 18th June 1898

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In para 3 of its Proceedings,No.3824 Misc.,dated 12th July 1894,the Board requested the Collector of South Arcot to report whether a second vetti to whose services the Manapakkamvattam in the Cuddalore Taluk was entitled had been allowed when the scheme for the revision of village establishments,sanctioned in Government Order,No.321,Revenue,dated 12th May 1890, was introduced into that taluk.The Divisional Officer to whom the Proceedings were communicated by the Collector misunderstood the reference as authorising the entertainment of a second vetti,and accordingly appointed a man for the post in November 1894.Meanwhile,the Collector had reported in reply to the Board's reference that a second vetti had not been entertained,and the Board thereupon expressed the presumption that the entertainment of more than one vetti was unnecessary.Vide para 3 of Board's Proceedings No.552, dated 18th December 1894.The Divisional officer's mistake might have been rectified immediately if the last mentioned Proceedings had been duly communicated to him by the Collector.But the papers were mislaid in the Collector's office,and

it is reported that the Divisional officer's error was only brought to the notice of the Collector recently.

3. Under ordinary circumstances the Manapakam vattan would have been entitled to the services of a second vetti from the date of introduction of the scheme for the revision of village establishments referred to above. The Board accordingly recommends that sanction may be accorded for the entertainment of the second vetti from November 1894. The Collector is requested to report the exact date from which the services of the vetti were engaged.

Lovell Davidson

Ag. Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with a Letter in original to be retd.

The Collector of South Arcot.

Md.R.H.

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.