0/9 2,000—6-3-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2846

DATED 7th July 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue passing orders on the suggestion of Collectors regarding certain amendments to the forms prescribed for the record of forest offences.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Financial (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 4511.

DF 7/7/98

Order Insi No. 2846 7.7.98

Approved MT 5.7.98

WS 29.6.98

CB

17

Leg

Please see para 5 of DF

JMcD S.P.

28.6.98

WS 29.6.98 Hm

CB 17

Re B. of Revenue L.R. (98+0) X

Depts 9.7.98

From Board of Revenue
Land Revenue

Forest No. 177

Dated 10 - 5 . 98 .

Received 25 June

Registered 24

Enclosures

Spare copies

SEC. OF STATE REV. DEPT.

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the suggestions of Colrs re: certain amendments to forest offences forms and ~~Suggestions~~ Submissions a copy of these Progs. & of the approval of Govt.

X. Who wrote this?

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.
"	270	"	21.1.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1898.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed June th.

Proceedings, Forest No. 177, 10th May 1898.

MAY.

Passing orders on the suggestions of Collectors regarding certain amendments to forest offence forms; and submitting a copy of these Proceedings for the approval of Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.
To	845	Rev	27-2-98
"	270	"	21.1.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1898.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed June th.

Proceedings, Forest No. 177, 10th May 1898.

MAY.

Passing orders on the suggestions of Collectors regarding certain amendments to forest-offence forms; and submitting a copy of these Proceedings for the approval of Government.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

READ—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest No. 479, dated 8th November 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Circulating to all Collectors, for remarks, letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly and the Board's observations thereon in regard to the procedure to be observed in reporting forest-offences.

READ—also file of replies to the above.

READ—the following letter from A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Kondravale, 2nd December 1897, R.C. No. 793 :—

[*Subject.*—Proposed amendments to "forest-offence statements" forms.]

[*Enclosures.*—A file containing correspondence in Bellary, for information and return.]

I have the honour to propose, as the outcome of some suggestions by Mr. Eber Hardie, and remarks by the Collector, Mr. H. Stuart (which correspondence is enclosed for information), the following amendments to the forms for forest-offence statements :—

Form A.—Paragraph 4. Add the following :—

Note.—"If the offence is theft of forest produce state whole quantity and value involved. If the offence is illegal grazing state the number and description of the animals."

Paragraph 6. To the words "nature of the defence" add "state whether the accused is willing to compound and, if so, for what amount."

Form C.—That instead of the words "property . . . released" should be substituted the words "seized produce to be released . . . sold." Property liable to confiscation to be released for . . . .

Form E.—That in addition to the calendar case number either the offence report number (from Form A), or the Magistrate's complaint file number (from Form B) should be inserted.

2. It is explained by the District Forest-officer that the difficulties now are practical, and unless the orders are given on the forms themselves departmental circulars are often ignored. It would seem natural for a reporting officer to give information about the whole offence, but, at present, he simply reports the quantity actually seized and not the amount otherwise disposed of in connection with the same offence.

3. The Collector has doubts about the proposal under paragraph 6. I think that it might simplify the District Forest-officer's disposal of the case to have this information, and I do not see that any harm could result.

4. The proposals under Form C are, in my own wording, to try to make the procedure clearer.

The District Forest-officer's proposals are given in the correspondence, and the Collector's objections seem to be that they are ambiguous, and mix up Government produce seized, and private property liable to confiscation. The District Forest-officer wants the words "released . . . sold" put in, so that one or other may be struck out, and I believe in any shortening of routine labour.

I have found in other districts doubts as to what is to be done with seized produce, or private property liable to confiscation, in connection with cases compounded, and I think that it would make matters clearer if the proposed amendments were inserted, and the District Forest-officer decided plainly what the compensation was supposed to include.

5. The proposals under Form E seem advisable to assist in the disposal of cases, as the District Forest-officer says that practically there is often a difficulty in separating cases when defendants' names are similar, and with the calendar case number given in Form E the names and nature of the offence are not given.

Reference—dated 17th December 1897.

Referred to the Conservators of Forests, Central and Southern Circles.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Conservator of Forests, Central Circle,
with papers, in original, to be forwarded to
Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, when done with.

Copy to the Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle,
to return the original papers with his reply.

Endorsement by E. P. POPERT, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Central Circle, dated Camp, Ráyachóti, 9th February 1898, No. 1329.

The connected papers are forwarded to the Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, with the following remarks.

2. The addition proposed to Form A-4 is unobjectionable and might be added when new forms are struck off.

3. *Form A*-6.—No objection to the addition "state whether the accused is willing to compound." The question of the amount should be left to the District Forest-officer; it is not for the accused to say how much he is willing to pay to be let off.

4. No objection to the alteration suggested in Form C.

5. The addition proposed to Form E may be inserted.

READ—the following letter from J. W. CHERRY, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Coimbatore, 25th February 1898, No. 2655 :—

[*Reference.*—Board's Ref. on F.R. No. 3368, dated 20th December 1897.]

In returning the enclosures referred to in the above, received with Central Circle Conservator's No. 1329, dated 9th February 1898, I have the honour to remark as follows :—

(1) As regards the alterations in Form A, I observe that the headings are very properly made as brief as possible, and they should be printed in diglott, under Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 683, dated 13th December 1895.

Except for these reasons, there would be no objection to expanding the headings as proposed, but I should have thought that under Head 9 all the information now required might have been given, or could have been distributed among the other headings as each District Magistrate might order.

2. I agree with Mr. Peet's proposals for alterations in Forms C and E, and as regards the latter, I consider that the Magistrates' complaint file number should be inserted.

READ—the following letter from H. J. A. PORTER, Esq., District Forest-officer, Madura, to the Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, Coimbatore (through the Collector of Madura), dated 30th March 1898, C. No. 1241:—

I request that orders may be issued with regard to the report of forest offences in which the offenders are not detected. Unless general orders are issued, it will not be possible to compare the number of offences in one district with those in another, *e.g.*, if a tree is found lopped, or if goat droppings are discovered, offences have undoubtedly been committed; are they to be reported? There must be some limit fixed, or else the Ranger's whole time will be taken up in writing forest offence reports, which, according to present rules, have to be prepared in triplicate.

Endorsement by the Collector of Madura, dated 5th April 1898, Ref. on No. 1241.

Forwarded.

Endorsement by C. E. BRASIER, Esq., Acting Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, dated Coimbatore, 11th April 1898, No. 3924.

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue for consideration in disposing of Collectors' replies to Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 479, dated 8th November 1897.

2. I consider it obviously unnecessary that a crime report should be submitted for every petty offence of the nature referred to by the District Forest-officer when the perpetrators are beyond detection. If the subordinate mentions the fact of such an offence having taken place in his diary, it will be quite sufficient for departmental purposes.

RESOLUTION—Forest No. 177, dated 10th May 1898.

In its Proceedings, Forest No. 683, dated 13th December 1895, the Board prescribed a set of forms for the record of forest offences in their different stages. Of those forms the first (Form A) was prescribed for use by Range-officers when first reporting offences to the Local Magistrate and the District Forest-officer. Another (Form C) is intended for the entry of the District Forest-officer's orders to compound, withdraw or prosecute the case; Form E is used by the Magistrate for the purpose of intimating the result of his proceedings in regard to a forest offence.

2. The foregoing papers relate to proposals for—

(*a*) Discontinuing the present practice under which a Range-officer reports every forest offence to the Local Magistracy and District Forest-officer immediately after its occurrence; and

(*b*) Amending Forms A, C and E.

3. As regards the first proposal which was originally raised by the Collector of Trichinopoly, the Board has already in its Proceedings of the 8th November 1897 expressed the opinion that it is not necessary for a Range-officer to submit a report to a Magistrate immediately after the occurrence of an offence except in cases where property was seized under section 41 of the Forest Act or where the offence fell under section 50. Before issuing general instructions to that effect, the Board called upon Collectors to state their opinion on the point.

4. The Collectors of the districts noted in the margin concur in the view already expressed by the Board, but the Collector of Cuddapah deprecates the submission by Forest-officers of reports in cases falling under section 50. He endorses the view held by one of his Revenue Divisional-officers, that no initial report to a Magistrate is necessary in regard to any case in which the District Forest-officer has not ordered a prosecution. He considers also that Form A is far too elaborate for an initial report and that consequently the endeavour to fill in the prescribed particulars without sufficient data frequently gives rise to discrepancies between the initial and final statement of the case which are apt to prejudice the Magistrate against the prosecution. He accordingly suggests that Range-officers should merely be required to furnish Magistrates, in the first instance, with a preliminary report similar to the "occurrence report" in use in the Police Department and to supplement that report in due course by a statement in Form A, drawn up after the District Forest-officer has directed the prosecution. For similar reasons the Collector of Kurnool (Mr. Elwin) deprecates a detailed report in the preliminary stage, but his objections appear to have special reference to cases other than those involving the seizure of property. He proposes to discontinue altogether the submission of preliminary reports to the Magistracy, to require the Range-officer to submit (i) a brief occurrence report to the District Forest-officer immediately on receiving information of the commission of an offence, and (ii) a report in Form A as soon as the Range-officer has completed his enquiry the latter report being forwarded in original to the Magistrate immediately after its return with the District Forest-officer's orders of prosecution endorsed thereon. It is urged that this course has the advantage of reducing the scriptory labour expended on preparing Form A and of dispensing with Form C altogether. The Collectors of Malabar, Coimbatore, Tinnevelly, Gódávari, Kistna and Vizagapatam do not recommend any change in the existing system under which a duplicate copy of Form A is forwarded to the Magistrate simultaneously with that sent to the District Forest-officer. The two last-named Collectors add that the majority of offences committed in their districts involve seizure of property and that a different treatment in the cases of other offences is not desirable.

Ganjám.	North Arcot.
Kurnool.	Trichinopoly.
Bellary.	Tanjore.
Anantapur.	Salem.
Cuddapah.	Madura.
Nellore.	Malabar.
Chingleput.	South Canara.

5. If the Board could rely on Range-officers using promptitude in dealing with forest offences, it would be willing to consider generally the suggestions of the Collectors of Cuddapah and Kurnool, but it is satisfied that no relaxation of the existing rules can safely be adopted beyond according a discretionary power to Collectors to discontinue the submission of direct reports by Rangers to Magistrates save in the cases in which an immediate report is prescribed by section 41. As section 42 prescribes that the Magistrate shall take action on the report under section 41 it is desirable that it should be submitted in Form A.

6. As regards the second proposal (*b*) referred to in paragraph 1 *supra* it is observed that the Conservator, Northern Circle, suggested the following amendments:—

Form A.—To item 4 add, "if the offence is theft of forest produce state whole quantity and value involved. If the offence is illegal grazing state the number and description of the animals;" and to item 6 add "state whether the accused is willing to compound and if so for what amount."

Form C.—For the words "property may not be released" substitute—

"Seized property to be released . . . . sold . . . ."
"Property liable to confiscation to be released for . . . . ."

Form E.—In addition to the calendar case number insert either the offence report number (Form A) or the Magistrate's complaint file number (Form B).

The Conservator explains that practical difficulties are experienced in working the forms and that instructions given in separate circulars and not comprised in the forms themselves are often ignored. He urges that the proposed amendments would make matters clearer.

7. The Conservator, Southern Circle, states that the headings in the existing Form A have intentionally been made as brief as possible but that except for this fact he has no objection to the proposed amendments. He adds, however, that the additional information now required to be given may as well be furnished under item 9 of the existing Form A or distributed among other items as each District Magistrate may order. He agrees with Mr. Peet's proposals for alterations in Forms C and E and with regard to the latter he would prefer the insertion of the Magistrate's file number.

READ—the following letter from H. J. A. PORTER, Esq., District Forest-officer, Madura, to the Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, Coimbatore (through the Collector of Madura), dated 30th March 1898, C. No. 1241:—

I request that orders may be issued with regard to the report of forest offences in which the offenders are not detected. Unless general orders are issued, it will not be possible to compare the number of offences in one district with those in another, *e.g.*, if a tree is found lopped, or if goat droppings are discovered, offences have undoubtedly been committed; are they to be reported? There must be some limit fixed, or else the Ranger's whole time will be taken up in writing forest offence reports, which, according to present rules, have to be prepared in triplicate.

Endorsement by the Collector of Madura, dated 5th April 1898, Ref. on No. 1241.

Forwarded.

Endorsement by C. E. BRASIER, Esq., Acting Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, dated Coimbatore, 11th April 1898, No. 3924.

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue for consideration in disposing of Collectors' replies to Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 479, dated 8th November 1897.

2. I consider it obviously unnecessary that a crime report should be submitted for every petty offence of the nature referred to by the District Forest-officer when the perpetrators are beyond detection. If the subordinate mentions the fact of such an offence having taken place in his diary, it will be quite sufficient for departmental purposes.

RESOLUTION—Forest No. 177, dated 10th May 1898.

In its Proceedings, Forest No. 683, dated 13th December 1895, the Board prescribed a set of forms for the record of forest offences in their different stages. Of those forms the first (Form A) was prescribed for use by Range-officers when first reporting offences to the Local Magistrate and the District Forest-officer. Another (Form C) is intended for the entry of the District Forest-officer's orders to compound, withdraw or prosecute the case; Form E is used by the Magistrate for the purpose of intimating the result of his proceedings in regard to a forest offence.

2. The foregoing papers relate to proposals for—

(*a*) Discontinuing the present practice under which a Range-officer reports every forest offence to the Local Magistracy and District Forest-officer immediately after its occurrence; and

(*b*) Amending Forms A, C and E.

3. As regards the first proposal which was originally raised by the Collector of Trichinopoly, the Board has already in its Proceedings of the 8th November 1897 expressed the opinion that it is not necessary for a Range-officer to submit a report to a Magistrate immediately after the occurrence of an offence except in cases where property was seized under section 41 of the Forest Act or where the offence fell under section 50. Before issuing general instructions to that effect, the Board called upon Collectors to state their opinion on the point.

4. The Collectors of the districts noted in the margin concur in the view already expressed by the Board, but the Collector of Cuddapah deprecates the submission by Forest-officers of reports in cases falling under section 50. He endorses the view held by one of his Revenue Divisional-officers, that no initial report to a Magistrate is necessary in regard to any case in which the District Forest-officer has not ordered a prosecution. He considers also that Form A is far too elaborate for an initial report and that consequently the endeavour to fill in the prescribed particulars without sufficient data frequently gives rise to discrepancies between the initial and final statement of the case which are apt to prejudice the Magistrate against the prosecution. He accordingly suggests that Range-officers should merely be required to furnish Magistrates, in the first instance, with a preliminary report similar to the "occurrence report" in use in the Police Department and to supplement that report in due course by a statement in Form A, drawn up after the District Forest-officer has directed the prosecution. For similar reasons the Collector of Kurnool (Mr. Elwin) deprecates a detailed report in the preliminary stage, but his objections appear to have special reference to cases other than those involving the seizure of property. He proposes to discontinue altogether the submission of preliminary reports to the Magistracy, to require the Range-officer to submit (i) a brief occurrence report to the District Forest-officer immediately on receiving information of the commission of an offence, and (ii) a report in Form A as soon as the Range-officer has completed his enquiry the latter report being forwarded in original to the Magistrate immediately after its return with the District Forest-officer's orders of prosecution endorsed thereon. It is urged that this course has the advantage of reducing the scriptory labour expended on preparing Form A and of dispensing with Form C altogether. The Collectors of Malabar, Coimbatore, Tinnevelly, Gódávari, Kistna and Vizagapatam do not recommend any change in the existing system under which a duplicate copy of Form A is forwarded to the Magistrate simultaneously with that sent to the District Forest-officer. The two last-named Collectors add that the majority of offences committed in their districts involve seizure of property and that a different treatment in the cases of other offences is not desirable.

Ganjám.	North Arcot.
Kurnool.	Trichinopoly.
Bellary.	Tanjore.
Anantapur.	Salem.
Cuddapah.	Madura.
Nellore.	Malabar.
Chingleput.	South Canara.

5. If the Board could rely on Range-officers using promptitude in dealing with forest offences, it would be willing to consider generally the suggestions of the Collectors of Cuddapah and Kurnool, but it is satisfied that no relaxation of the existing rules can safely be adopted beyond according a discretionary power to Collectors to discontinue the submission of direct reports by Rangers to Magistrates save in the cases in which an immediate report is prescribed by section 41. As section 42 prescribes that the Magistrate shall take action on the report under section 41 it is desirable that it should be submitted in Form A.

6. As regards the second proposal (*b*) referred to in paragraph 1 *supra* it is observed that the Conservator, Northern Circle, suggested the following amendments:—

Form A.—To item 4 add, "if the offence is theft of forest produce state whole quantity and value involved. If the offence is illegal grazing state the number and description of the animals;" and to item 6 add "state whether the accused is willing to compound and if so for what amount."

Form C.—For the words "property may not be released" substitute—

"Seized property to be released . . . . sold . . . ."
"Property liable to confiscation to be released for . . . ."

Form E.—In addition to the calendar case number insert either the offence report number (Form A) or the Magistrate's complaint file number (Form B).

The Conservator explains that practical difficulties are experienced in working the forms and that instructions given in separate circulars and not comprised in the forms themselves are often ignored. He urges that the proposed amendments would make matters clearer.

7. The Conservator, Southern Circle, states that the headings in the existing Form A have intentionally been made as brief as possible but that except for this fact he has no objection to the proposed amendments. He adds, however, that the additional information now required to be given may as well be furnished under item 9 of the existing Form A or distributed among other items as each District Magistrate may order. He agrees with Mr. Peet's proposals for alterations in Forms C and E and with regard to the latter he would prefer the insertion of the Magistrate's file number.

8. The Conservator, Central Circle, has no objection to the proposed addition to item 4 of Form A and to Form E and to the alteration in Form C. But as regards the suggested addition to item 6 of Form A, Mr. Popert would omit the question of the sum which the accused is willing to pay as the determination of that amount should be left to the District Forest-officer.

9. There is no doubt some force in the argument that separate orders in the shape of circulars, &c., are often ignored and as the proposed amendments aim at remedying this defect the Board approves them except in so far as relates to the entry of the amount of compensation to be paid, where the Board accepts Mr. Popert's view. In regard to Mr. Cherry's preference to the insertion of the Magistrate's complaint file number in Form E the Board would leave the matter to the discretion of the District Forest-officer.

10. The instructions and forms printed in Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 683, dated 13th December 1895, will be revised with reference to the foregoing remarks and printed as an appendix to these Proceedings, a copy of which will be submitted to Government for approval.

11. In the last letter read above, the District Forest-officer, Madura, enquires whether a report should be made in regard to offences of a petty nature when the offenders are not known. The Board agrees with the Conservator that a formal report in such cases is unnecessary and that for departmental purposes it will be sufficient to mention the fact in the officer's weekly diary.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To all Collectors, except Madras (D.Os. and D.F.Os.).
" all Conservators.
" the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

APPENDIX.

RANGE-FOREST OFFENCE REPORT, No. DATED

சேஞ்ச பாரஸ்ட்டு குற்றரிபோர்ட்டு நெ. தேதி—

A.

To

THE MAGISTRATE OF

மாஜிஸ்திரேட்டாரவர்களுக்கு.

1. Locality and date of offence.
இடமும் குற்றம் நடந்ததேதியும்.

2. When, where, and by whom detected; and by whom, and when reported.
எப்பொழுது, எவ்விடத்தில், யாரால் கண்டு பிடிக்கப்பட்டது; யாரால், எப்பொழுது ரிபோர்ட்டு செய்யப்பட்டது.

3. Number, name, caste, calling and residence of accused; and if arrested, by whom, when, and where.
குற்றவாளி சங்கியை, பெயர், மதம், ஜீவனம் இருப்பிடம்; பிடிக்கப்பட்டிருந்தால் யாரால், எப்பொழுது, எவ்விடத்தில் பிடிக்கப்பட்டது.

4. Nature of offence, and under what rule and section liable. If the offence is theft of forest produce, state whole quantity and value involved. If the offence is illegal grazing, state the number and description of the animals.
குற்றத்தின் சுபாவம், எந்த விதி பிரிவுப்படி குற்றம். குற்றமானது காட்டு மகசூல்திருட்டாயிருக்கும்பக்ஷத்தில் திருடப்பட்ட மகசூல்ன மொத்த அளவும் விலைமதிப்பும். குற்றம் தராக்கிரமமாய்மேய்த்தல் ஆனல், பிராணிகளின் எண்ணும்ஜாதியும்.

5. Number, name, calling and residence of witnesses.
சாக்ஷிகளின் சங்கியை, பெயர், ஜீவனம், இருப்பிடம்.

6. Nature of the defence. State whether the accused is willing to compound.
எதிர்வாதத்தின் சுபாவம். குற்றவாளி ராஜிக்கிவர சம்மதமுளனவனுயிருக்கிருனு.

7. Description and value of produce and tools, &c., seized and by whom and when.
பிடிக்கப்பட்ட மகசூல், கருவிகளின்விவரமும் விலையும், யாரால், எப்பொழுது, பிடிகப்பட்டது.

8. In whose charge, and by whom and when left; and where the receipt is recorded.
யாரிடத்தில், யாரால், எப்பொழுது, ஒப்பிவைக்கப்பட்டது; ரசீது எங்கேயிருக்கிறது.

9. History of the case; nature and date of inquiry by the undersigned.
கேஸின்சரித்திரம்; அடியில் கையொப்பமிட்டவரால் விசாரணை செய்த தேதி, சுபாவம்.

B.

To the District Forest-officer, Forests.

The forest offence reported by the Range officer of No. range in his report No. dated 18 and received by me to-day the 18 has been registered in my complaint file of cases as No. of 18

Station .....
Date.....

Magistrate.

N.B.—The Range officer should fill in the first three blanks and attach a copy of this to the forest offence report sent to the Magistrate who should fill in the rest of the blanks and submit it to the District Forest-officer on *the same day as the Range officer's report is received.*

The number of the offence report should be the same as the number given to the report submitted to the District Forest-officer by the Range officer.

C.

Palnad Range Folio 141.

Offence No. 40 of 1893-94.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Case may be compounded for withdrawn under section 49	3	0	0
prosecuted			
Seized produce to be released ..... sold			
Property liable to confiscation to be released for			
Total ..	3	0	0

To the Tumurucode Second-class Magistrate.

* Against offender Nos. 2, 3, 4.
† Against offender No. 5.
‡ Against do. No. 1.

A.B.,
District Forest-officer.

9th August 1893.

C.

Palnad Range Folio 141.

Offence No. 40 of 1893-94.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Case may be compounded for withdrawn under section 49	3	0	0
prosecuted			
Seized produce to be released ..... sold			
Property liable to confiscation to be released for			
Total ..	3	0	0

To the Range officer, Palnad Range.

* Against offenders Nos. 2, 3, 4.
† Against offender No. 5.
‡ Against do. No. 1.

A.B.,
District Forest-officer.

9th August 1893.

C.

Palnad Range Folio 141.

Offence No. 40 of 1893-94.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Case may be compounded for withdrawn under section 49	3	0	0
prosecuted			
Seized produce to be released ..... sold			
Property liable to confiscation to be released for			
Total ..	3	0	0

O.C.

* Against Nos. 2, 3, 4, Re. 1 each.
† Against No. 5.
‡ Against No. 1.

A.B.,
District Forest-officer.

9th August 1893.

Composition fees, amounting to Rs. 3, were collected from offenders Nos. 2, 3, 4 and debited in the cash book as Dr. item No. 27 of August 1893, dated 19th August 1893.

The case against offender No. 7 has been brought on the file of the Tumurucode Second-class Magistrate as calendar case No. 54.

The case against No. 5 has been withdrawn.

X.Y.Z.,
Range officer.

20th August 1893.

D.

Forwarding to the Collector $\frac{\text{judgments in certain calendar cases}}{\text{composition of certain forest offences}}$ of $\frac{\text{Sub-Magistrate}}{\text{Range-officer}}$ and recommending rewards detailed below:—

Case No.	Magistrate or Range officer.	Amount of composition or fine.	Value of property seized.	Total amount realized.	Particulars of offence.	Name of person to whom rewards should be granted.	Amount of reward.
		Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.			Rs. A. P.
C.C. 54 of 1893-94.	Tumurucode Second-class Magistrate.	30 0 0	..	30 0 0	Taking 21 yepi khaddis without permit and 28 khaddis on unchecked permits.	Y. Putchaiah, Karnam of Veludurti.	5 0 0
						D. Lathiba, Mohatad of Veludurti.	5 0 0
40 of 1893-94.	Palnad Range officer.	3 0 0	..	3 0 0		Imam Sahib, Forest Guard.	5 0 0
	Total ..	..	..	33 0 0		Total ..	15 0 0

A.B.,
District Forest-officer.

21st September 1893.

Sanctioned.

C.D.,
Collector.

22nd September 1893.

E.

Judgment in calendar case No. 54 of 1893-94 on the file of the Tumurucode Second-class Magistrate, dated 12th September 1893 $\frac{\text{(Form A) Offence Report No. (. . .) of 189}}{\text{(Form B) Magistrates' Complaint File No. (. . .)}}$

Finding ... ... Guilty.
Sentence ... ... Rs. 30 fine or in default. . . . .
(Fine paid).

F.

No.

KISTNA DISTRICT FOREST OFFICE,
24th September 1893.

To

The Range Officer,
Palnad Range.

Annexed is a statement showing the rewards sanctioned by the Collector on 22nd September 1893, which should be distributed to the parties concerned after ascertaining that the appeal time in each case has expired, or, if an appeal has been preferred, that the decision of the Lower Court has been confirmed.

(2) The number and date of this order should be quoted in the vouchers sent in support of the payment of the rewards.

(To be returned when done with.)

Number of calendar case.	On whose file.	Persons to whom reward granted.	Amount.	When paid and the item in which the payment was included.
C.C. 54 of 1893-94.	Tumurucode Second-class Magistrate.	Y. Putchaiah, Karnam of Veludurti.	RS. A. P. 5 0 0	3rd October 1893, Dr. Item 4 of October 1893.
		D. Latchiba, Mohatad of Veludurti.	5 0 0	3rd October 1893, Dr. Item 5 of October 1893.
		Imam Sahib, Forest Guard.	5 0 0	4th October 1893, Dr. Item 7 of October 1893.
				X.Y.Z., Range-officer.
				No.— , 7th October 1893, returned to the District Forest-officer for information.
				X Y Z., Range-officer.

24th September 1893.

A B.
District Forest-officer.

Instructions for using the Forms A to F relating to forest offences.

In reporting a forest offence to the Magistrate, Forms A and B should be used. A copy of Form A with all available information should at the same time be forwarded to the District Forest-officer. Collectors can use their discretion in the matter of the discontinuance of the submission of direct reports by Rangers to Magistrates save in the cases in which an immediate report is prescribed by section 41.

2. Form B is intended for use by the Magistrate who will forward it to the District Forest-officer after filling in the particulars required.

3. Form C printed in triplicate will be used by the District Forest-officer to convey his orders either to compound, withdraw or prosecute the case. The counterfoil is to be kept in the District Forest office for record; the two foils will be sent to the Range officer and to the Magistrate respectively.

4. The Range officer will write on the back of the Form C sent to him the result of the action taken to carry out the District Forest-officer's orders and return it to that officer.

5. When in compounded or convicted cases a reward is considered necessary, the District Forest-officer will submit his recommendation in Form D together with the necessary document for Collector's sanction.

6. On receipt of Collector's sanction, the District Forest-officer will send Form F to the Range officer who will return it duly filled in after disbursement.

7. Form E is intended to be used by the Magistrate to intimate the result of the trial of a forest offence.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.