

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2800

DATED 2nd July 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Forwarding to the P.M.D. for favour
of report papers re: the acquisition of certain
lands of Kimpasamudram village
for executing repairs to the Karasam-
puzam channel, Hindipur Taluk,
Mantapur St.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
3.	52-7-98						
G.O.	404-2						
	26-7-99						
6	25-7-99						
	17-3-99						

0
18 4,000-19-7-97

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 20059

From

*The Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.*

Order

No. 1979.

Dated 16 April

Received 18 "

Registered 19 "

Enclosures 2

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for the consideration of the Madras Govt. a copy of letter No. 9409 of the 30 March 1898 re: the acquisition of certain fields of the Virupaxamudram Village situated on the British side of the Marasapuram channel.

1898

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

np

No. 1979 En 2

FROM

LIEUT.-COLONEL DONALD ROBERTSON, I. S. C.,
RESIDENT IN MYSORE.



To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Revenue Department.

Dated Bangalore, 16 April 1898.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your telegram dated the 9th October 1897, regarding the acquisition of certain fields of the Virupakshamudrum village situated on the British side of the Narasapuram channel, I have the honour to forward, for the consideration of the Madras Government, a copy of a letter No. 9409 of the 30th ultimo and of its enclosure on the subject.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Donald Robertson
Resident.

ml.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 5504.

Order

From

The Collector of
Anantapur

Referral No. 1530/94
9.5.98

Dated 9 May 1898

Received 12

Registered 13

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting early
reply to this office
Refm @ 1580 of 94
16897
relating to the
acquisition of
certain lands for
the up keep of Ra.
Vasapwam Channel

Ans. 3
5692/58

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
102957	

No.	Date.

9409
R.F 87-95

38P

Office of the Dewan of Mysore
Dated 30th March 1898.

From

The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, General & Revenue Dept.

To

The Joint Assistant to the Resident.
Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to Correspondence
ending with your letter No. 918
dated 24th February 1898, enquiring
whether necessary steps have been
taken for the transfer to the Collector
of Ananthapur on behalf of the
Madras Government of the mar-
ginally noted five fields of the
Virupasandra village, Pavagada
Taluk, situated on the British
side of the Narasapur Channel
in the Hindupur Taluk, on the
terms proposed by the Durbar
and agreed to by the Madras
Government, I am directed
to state that it is reported by
the Deputy Commissioners of
the

Survey No.
(1) 24
(2) 27
(3) 40 40 40
(4) 1 2 3 4
(5) 42 42 2
242

4-23 Government Revenue
14-37

4-23 occupied.
3-16
4-12
2-8
4-23

the Junikur District that on the 24th November last, the Amildar of the Panagada Taluq wrote to the Tahildar of Hindupur, asking him to take delivery of the five fields above referred to and also intimated the fact to the Collector of Ananthapur, but that the British Authorities not having moved in the matter the lands still remain in the occupancy of the Mysore Ryots.

2. The Statement forwarded herewith gives the particulars of the amount of compensation payable for the occupied lands (Nos $\frac{24}{1}$, 27, 40 and 42) and also the Capitalized value of those lands as well as of Survey No $\frac{24}{2}$ (Government waste). The Compensation for wet land has been fixed at Rs. 300/- per acre and for dry land at Rs. 150/- per acre. These rates have been accepted by the Ryots concerned and are reported to be fair. The total

For No $\frac{24}{2}$ Rs 277-15-6
 " - 25- " 269-1-4
547-16-6

4 SP
 total amount thus payable
 Comes to Rs 5,237-13-0.

3. I am to add that it is a matter for the consideration of the Resident and of the Madras Government, whether as all the earth required for the repair of the small length of the Channel passing through the Mysore Territory can be obtained from Government waste lands Nos $\frac{24}{2}$ and 25, it would not be advantageous for the Madras Government to acquire only these two numbers on payment of their Capitalized value, amounting to Rs 547-16-6 instead of throwing out of Cultivation and acquiring such a large extent as nearly 18 acres at a heavy cost of Rs 5,237-13-0.

I have the

28. C. Krishna Rao
 Secretary

Copy

Revenue
 Register

U

8

0459.1
6693/61

2959

Statements showing the particulars of lands in the Vinpasandra village, Pavagada Taluq required by the Madras Government for the up keep of the Narasapura Channel in the Hindupur Taluq, Ananthapur District

Name of the occupant	Survey Number	Description of the land whether wet, dry, or garden	Extent	Assessment	Local Cess	Irrigation Cess	Total Annual Revenue	Amount of Compensation payable to occupants at the rate of Rs 300 per acre of wet land and Rs 150 for dry land	Capitalized value of present annual revenue at 30 years purchase	Total amount payable Columns 9 and 10	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Komati Saiveera —	24	Wet	2 8	5 12 "	57	57	6 76	660	194	854	
Sidde Gowda —	27	Dry	2 9	" 4 "	5	49	5 99	956	168	1124	86
		Wet	2 3	4 12 "							
Chowdega bin Chowdega.	40	do	1 27	6 4 "	63	63	7 06	502	210	713	
Achakka —	40	do	" 22	2 8 "	26	26	2 13	165	84	249	6
Eragava Dasiga —	40	do	" 12	1 4 "	13	13	1 66	90	112	132	3
Agramanna halli	40	do	" 39	4 " "	41	41	4 8	292	135	427	8
Narasimha —	4										
Dasiga bin Jinnu —	42	do	1 26	6 " "	6	6	6 12	195	202	697	8
Guna Narasimha —	42	do	1 30	7 " "	7	7	7 11	525	236	761	1
Government Waste —	24	Dry	1 28	4 " "	83	83	9 43		277	156	277
	2	Wet	2 35	8 " "							
Total			17 39	46	2 4	2 13	6 51	3686	1551	5237	13

Bangalore.
30. March 1898

Reg-337
Vol-14-2

Copy.
H. K. K. R.
Reg.

By d. Anantarami Per.
Secretary

RC
b. 100

No 1580/94
9.4.98

Anantapur COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
dated 9th May 1898.

6P

FROM
Bannatyne Macleod Esq., M.A.,
Barrister-at-Law,
COLLECTOR OF
Anantapur.

TO
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Revenue DEPARTMENT,
Madras.

SIR,

In continuation of this office Ref: on
C. 1580 of 94
16-8-97 relating to the acquisition of cer-
tain fields of the Virupasamudram village
in Mysore, for the up-keep of the Varasa-
puram channel in the Hindupur Taluq of
this District, I have the honour to state
that the Amildar of Panagada Taluq of
Mysore informed me in November last
that he has requested the Tahasildar of Hin-
dupur to take over charge of the fields in
question as directed by the Mysore Durbar,
but as no orders were received from the
Madras Government, I did not issue instruc-
tions to the Tahasildar for necessary action.
I therefore request early orders of Government
on the subject.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
S. J. Macleod
Acting Collector.

0052
5652/58

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

Anantapur.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Revenue DEPARTMENT

Madras.

Dated

Despatched

Received

9th May 1898.

No.

1500 of 94
9.5.95

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

Requesting early reply to this
office Ref: on C. 1580 of 94 rela-
ting to the acquisition of cer-
tain lands for the up-keep of
the Narasapuram Channel.

Recd letter from the Resident in
Mysore No 1979 of 16 April 98
(C No 2959 of 1898)

Note.

The supply channel issuing
from the Parigri Major tank and
irrigating the fields of Narasapuram
village in the Hindupur taluk (Mysore
territory) passes through certain fields of
Virupasamedram village in the
Mysore territory, having been
diverted through them some years
ago to bring water at a lower level
to Narasapuram. The ryots of
Virupasamedram are allowed
to irrigate a few acres of land
free of charge as a concession for being
permitted the Narasapuram
ryots to bring the channel through
their fields. Considerable difficulty
having been experienced by the local
authorities in the matter of executing
the necessary repairs to the portion
of the channel which runs through
the Mysore territory and of exercising
effective control over the extent
of land which the Virupasamedram

vide collector's letter
22 April 1895
in p. 440
12-7-95
File No. 71

18/5/95

2

ryots may irrigate free of charge. The Collector of Madras used in 1875 the desirability of bringing the lands of Simey Nos 24 & 25, extent 10 acres & 29 funtas assessment Rs 16-4-0 under the control of this Government by paying to the Virupasamudram Ryots Rs 164 as compensation and thus acquiring occupancy rights over the lands subject to the payment annually to the Mysore Durbar of the land revenue & cesses due thereon. Viz Rs 78-3-9 in all.

2. The Government sanctioned the payment of compensation to the Mysore ryots but considered that the proposal to hold the lands under the Mysore Govt on payment annually of land revenue & cesses was inadmissible, that the proper course would be to come to an arrangement with the Durbar for acquiring the lands absolutely on payment of the capitalized value of the revenue derived from them by the Durbar, the matter being treated as a rectification

para 2 of
f.p. 440
12-7-95.

3

of frontier and that for this purpose it would be necessary to acquire not only the two fields from them, which the channel passes, but also all the lands belonging to Mysore on the British side of it. Before taking further action in the matter the Board was requested to report whether the extent of these lands was considerable & what compensation would be payable therefor to the Durbar.

3. In reply it was reported that the Survey Nos 24, 24, 25, 26, 27, 40, 41 & 42 of Virupasamudram village measuring in all acres 32.24 and assessed at Rs 83 must be acquired. Thereupon the Resident in Mysore was requested to address the Mysore Government on the subject & to ascertain whether the Durbar was willing to accede to the proposals of this Government. The Resident in Mysore replied that the Mysore State had no objection to make over the lands to the Madras Govt for use on condition of this Government paying to the Durbar (1) the capitalized value of the assessment on the lands at 30 years

B.P. No 760 of 13-2-96
in f.p. 1868
15-5-96 G

Letter to the Resident
No 1869 of 15-5-96.
in f.p. 1744
31-3-97 N

Resident's letter
of 20 Feb 97
in f.p. 1744
31-3-97 N

purchase and the amount of
 by the compensation due to the landholders
 under the Mysore Land Acquisition Regulation
 He also stated that the Durbar took
 exception to the proposed rectification
 of frontier involving change of sovereignty
 and that he considered it inexpedient
 to press for it. The Honble Mr. Froom
 remarked "we should gain nothing
 by buying 32 acres of land instead of
 10 and we cannot get over the resolution
 of the Durbar, unless we can get the
 Govt. of India to coerce it. Under these
 circumstances I think we should take
 over only the land through which the
 channel passes as originally proposed.
 The Resident should be addressed
 accordingly. His Excellency the Governor
 agreed with the Honble Member.
 4 The sketch received with the
 Collector's letter, however, showed that
 while the channel did not flow
 through survey field no 25 it
 passed through or by nos 24, 27, 40
 & 42 also & that the breach, the
 proposal to close which led to this
 correspondence, occurred at the portion
 adjoining field no 24. It was
 therefore submitted that if, with a view
 to exercise effective control over the
 channel not only the matter of
 the execution of repairs but also in
 the matter of utilization of its water
 the Government wished to acquire
 survey field no 24, it should also
 acquire the other four fields named above.
 The Honble Member then considered
 that fields nos 24, 27, 40 & 42
 should be acquired & that the
 Collector should be directed to report
 their exact extent & assessment.
 On receipt of the Collector's reply
 the Resident was informed that
 the Government agreed to pay the
 capitalized value of the assessment
 & the compensation to the landholders
 as proposed by the Durbar and that
 in view of the unwillingness of the
 Durbar to the proposed rectification
 of frontier it would be sufficient
 to acquire the five fields (measuring
 17 acres & 39 funtas and assessed at Rs 46)
 through or by which alone the channel
 flows. He was requested to com-
 municate the wishes of his Govt.
 to the Durbar and cause those
 fields to be transferred to the
 possession of the Collector of Mysore.

vide first note under P
 1744
 31-3-97

nos 24 & 25.

7 log J in no 1868
 18.5.98

vide second note under
 1744 R
 31-3-97

Letter to the Resident
 4941
 20-9-97
 7 log 4.

to exercise effective control over the
 channel not only the matter of
 the execution of repairs but also in
 the matter of utilization of its water
 the Government wished to acquire
 survey field no 24, it should also
 acquire the other four fields named above.
 The Honble Member then considered
 that fields nos 24, 27, 40 & 42
 should be acquired & that the
 Collector should be directed to report
 their exact extent & assessment.
 On receipt of the Collector's reply
 the Resident was informed that
 the Government agreed to pay the
 capitalized value of the assessment
 & the compensation to the landholders
 as proposed by the Durbar and that
 in view of the unwillingness of the
 Durbar to the proposed rectification
 of frontier it would be sufficient
 to acquire the five fields (measuring
 17 acres & 39 funtas and assessed at Rs 46)
 through or by which alone the channel
 flows. He was requested to com-
 municate the wishes of his Govt.
 to the Durbar and cause those
 fields to be transferred to the
 possession of the Collector of Mysore.

as early as practicable. (1888) The Resident in Mysore now forwards for consideration a letter from the Mysore fort in which it is stated that the compensation payable for the occupied lands & the capitalized value of the Assesment on those lands & the waste (Rs 24 1/2) are Rs 3686-4-0 & Rs 1551-19-0 respectively or Rs 5237-13-0 in all. & that the British authorities who were asked to take charge of the fields not having moved in the matter the lands still remain in the occupancy of the Mysore Ryots. The Mysore fort already states it is a matter for the consideration of the Resident & of the Madras Govt. whether as all the earth required for the repair of the small length of the channel passing through the Mysore territory can be obtained from waste lands No 24 & 25, it would not be advantageous for the Madras Govt. to acquire only these two numbers on payment of their capitalized value amounting to Rs 547-0-6 instead of throwing out of cultivation and acquiring such a large extent as nearly 18 acres at a heavy cost at Rs 5237-13-0.

6
(To be considered by the Council of the Government of India)
The Council of the Government of India
in the year 1888
by the order of the Secretary of State
involves an change of the original plan
by this Govt. (The original plan was
Resident's assumption was correct.
(L 90 5237, 9-10-97. Page 9)

For No 24 - Rs. as P.
25. 277-15-6
269-1-0
547-0-6

* fly J.
in Rs. 1888
15.5.96
of the Rs 5237-13-0
can be got. 52 = 277-15-6
from the Govt. of India
Resident 20.7.97.
17/5/98

7
R. Attention is invited to the second note under No. 31 March 1897 No 1744 from which it will be seen that this question was already considered & it was decided to acquire the five fields with a view (1) to exercise effective control over the channel not only in the matter of execution of repairs but also in the matter of utilization of its water & (2) to avoid unnecessary correspondence & complications if a breach should occur subsequently at some other point than that referred to in the rough sketch. It is submitted that the Resident in Mysore may be informed that this Government adheres to its proposal to acquire the five fields. The Board of Revenue may be directed to instruct the Collector of Mandya to take possession of the lands ~~reported to be in the possession of the Mysore Ryots~~ ~~under the proposed acquisition~~ ~~group~~
CB
7/4/98. H. H. The Mysore Government that it will be sufficient to acquire only fields 24 & 25

will not meet the case as
already pointed out in the
order under P.O. 21/3/97 no 1744

The Fin. Dept will have to
this view is accepted, to
consent to the expenditure
of Rs 5238

14/5/98

To Fin Dept no.

19/5/98

Recd 19/5/98

65/595
7590

9

Financial Dept
Recd

C. No. 2959 Rev.

Noti

It is doubtful to what
head the expend⁵²³⁸ of Rs ~~5238~~
will be debited. If the acquisition
is to be considered on falling
under Demarcation of Boundaries,
the expenditure will fall under
25 Political - Imperial, and
India's sanction will be
required as there is no budget
provision. If the revenue to be
derived from the lands purchased
is to be credited to Public Works -
Irrigation - PWD should be
consulted, if to Land Revenue
the charge should go to Land
Revenue.

It would be best to
consult A.G. Officially first on
the foregoing points

Cm.S.
28/5/98

As a rectification
of frontier is expressly
not objected by Mysore
it is not pressed by this Govt.

the expenditure cannot be debited to demarcation of boundaries ^{under} Political. P.W.D. & Rev. should be able to decide wh. of the two should be debited. Perhaps the A.G. may be able to help.

At 2.6.98

To Rev. u.s.

The Parag tank from which the channel irrigating the fields of Narsapuram village issues is a major irrigation work under the direct charge of the D.P.W. Vide Russell's letter & also p. 29 July 1892 no 641 J. The channel also appears to be under the direct charge of the D.P.W.

EDP
10/6/98.

The whole correspondence seems to ~~not~~ assume as final the Dahildhar opinion (See H.A. Co's letter of 3.6.94. Flayed H.A.) that the ch. cannot be diverted into its old course without great loss to Govt. as it would not then irrigate the Narsapuram land. Mildred however, in letter printed in the G.O. no 440 Rev d/12.7.95 that the intention after diversion was to bring water to a lower level to Narsapuram land. I am recommending the two statements and suggesting that the D.P.W. should be asked to investigate the matter.

Secy

The remainder of the D.P.W. work is invited.

See 13.11.98

EDP 10/6/98

To D.P.W. (I) u.s.

if it is not too late

the D.P.W. should be asked to investigate the matter.

Please draft making the enquiry suggested by Mr. Hughes. S. B. 10/6/98

Please see draft below.

EDP
10/6/98

Secy
13/11/98

Done S. B. 10/6/98

5. (G.O. no 2800 of 2.7.98 was accordingly issued asking the Public works Dept to make the necessary enquiry. The result is reported in the papers below.)

Read letter from the Resident in Mysore
No 1979 of 16 April '98 (C.No 2959).
Read also letter from the Collector of
Mantapur No 1580 of 9 May '98 (C.No 3).

7P
42

Q. No. 2800 of 22nd
In his letter to the Collector of
23 June '94 the Head Asst. Collector, Man,
reported that the Sd. of Hindupur
who inspected the supply channel issues
from Parigdi Majortank & irrigating the
Narsapuram fields, was of opinion
that if the present channel ^{was} diverted
into its old course it would not
irrigate the Narsapuram wet fields
& there would be much loss to fruit.
The Collector of Mantapur, however,
stated in his letter of 27 April '95
in sp. No. 440 Rev. of 12 July '95, that
the channel in question was diverted
some years ago to some of the fields of
Virupasamudram village in order to bring
water at a lower level to Narsapuram.
As the two statements seem to be
not altogether ^{completely} reconcilable the papers will be
forwarded to the P. W. Dept. for
further report especially as to
correctness of the opinion expressed.

0253
5853

by the Issued.

111

3061

8/12

21718

The P. W. Deptt (Director) into the
Notes in original & the original
of No. 1868-698 15th May 1896
the returned

Copy to the Board of Revenue (C.R.)

Copy to the Board of Revenue (C.R.)
Copy to the Board of Revenue (C.R.)
Copy to the Board of Revenue (C.R.)

(with C. No. 3504)

57/98

in given for copying _____
en copied _____
en examined _____
en signed _____ } 189

AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only