Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2759

DATED 29th June.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Board of Rev to instruct the Collector of Coimbatore to alter, in the manner indicated, the wording of the Notifications issued in the District Gazette of the 5th March '98 regarding injuries done by rats to irrigation Sluices &c in his Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

O
18 4,000—19-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No.

Memo.
From

The Legislative Dept.

Order

No. *1058.*

Dated 6th May

Received 6/5

Registered 6/5

Enclosures 1 (2 copies).

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding to the Rev. Dept. for favour of the preparation of a draft answer with a note Rs. a copy of questions Nos. VIII, IX, XIII and XIV, which will probably be put at the next Legislative Council meeting by the Honble P. Rama. subasatti Pillai Avl.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GOVERNMENT OF FORT ST. GEORGE.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

QUESTIONS proposed to be asked at the Meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 13th May 1898 by the Honourable P. Ratnasabhapathi Pillai.

~~Public Works~~ Revenue Department.

VII F(*a*). Has the attention of Government been drawn to the following notification of the Collector of Coimbatore published in the *District Gazette*, dated 5th March 1898, and have the terms of the notification the entire approval of Government?

NOTIFICATION.

1. "It has been brought to the notice of the Collector that there is a tendency on the part of the ryots to interfere with the arrangements made by Government for the proper distribution of water from channels and tanks, by causing injuries to sluices, regulators or dams provided for the regulation of water from irrigation works in this district, with the object of obtaining an unfair supply of water for their fields. The subject has recently been considered by Government which has passed orders declaring that in cases where such damages has been caused, penal assessment should be levied upon all the lands benefited by the damage done."

2. "The public are therefore warned that persons who receive 'an unusual supply' of water to their lands in consequonce of damage done to sluices, regulators or dams, will be held to have participated in causing such damage, and will be punished by the imposition of heavy penal rates upon their lands."

3. "Village officers are responsible for seeing that sluices are in good order, and cases of interference must be promptly brought to notice in order that the offenders, if known, may be prosecuted. Village officers will publish this notice by beat of tom-tom in their villages."

(*b*). ~~In view of the fact that the notification subjects the guilty and innocent parties alike to punishment,~~ will Government be pleased to cause a revision of its terms, in order that persons who are not responsible for the mischief directly or indirectly, may be safe, ~~and that actual mischief-doers alone traced and punished~~?

(*c*). Is there any authorized scale for the levy of penal assessments, and if not, will Government be pleased to frame one, with due regard to the gravity of the mischief, and the benefit resulting to the mischief-doer?

XI (*a*). Whether the system of recruitment to the Subordinate Accounts Branch of the D. P. W. instituted by the Government of India, and the probationary training prescribed therein have been carried out in this Presidency?

(*b*). How many vacancies occurred in the Accounts Establishment since the issue of orders by the Government of India on the system of recruitment, and in how many instances the India Government order was complied with, and in how many instances was it departed from?

GOVERNMENT OF FORT ST. GEORGE.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

QUESTIONS proposed to be asked at the Meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 13th May 1898 by the Honourable P. Ratnasabhapathi Pillai.

~~Public Works~~ Revenue *Department.*

VII-7 (*a*). Has the attention of Government been drawn to the following notification of the Collector of Coimbatore published in the *District Gazette*, dated 5th March 1898, and have the terms of the notification the entire approval of Government?

NOTIFICATION.

1. "It has been brought to the notice of the Collector that there is a tendency on the part of the ryots to interfere with the arrangements made by Government for the proper distribution of water from channels and tanks, by causing injuries to sluices, regulators or dams provided for the regulation of water from irrigation works in this district, with the object of obtaining an unfair supply of water for their fields. The subject has recently been considered by Government which has passed orders declaring that in cases where such damages has been caused, penal assessment should be levied upon all the lands benefited by the damage done."

2. "The public are therefore warned that persons who receive 'an unusual supply' of water to their lands in consequence of damage done to sluices, regulators or dams, will be held to have participated in causing such damage, and will be punished by the imposition of heavy penal rates upon their lands."

3. "Village officers are responsible for seeing that sluices are in good order, and cases of interference must be promptly brought to notice in order that the offenders, if known, may be prosecuted. Village officers will publish this notice by beat of tom-tom in their villages."

(*b*). ~~In view of the fact that the notification subjects the guilty and innocent parties alike to punishment,~~ will Government be pleased to cause a revision of its terms in order that persons who are not responsible for the mischief directly or indirectly, may be safe, and that actual mischief-doers alone traced and punished?

(*c*). Is there any authorized scale for the levy of penal assessments, and if not, will Government be pleased to frame one, with due regard to the gravity of the mischief, and the benefit resulting to the mischief-doer?

XI (*a*). Whether the system of recruitment to the Subordinate Accounts Branch of the D. P. W. instituted by the Government of India, and the probationary training prescribed therein have been carried out in this Presidency?

(*b*). How many vacancies occurred in the Accounts Establishment since the issue of orders by the Government of India on the system of recruitment, and in how many instances the India Government order was complied with, and in how many instances was it departed from?

250—8-11-97

VERY URGENT.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Memorandum. 1058

THE accompanying copy of a question * which will probably be put at the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 13th instant is forwarded to the Revenue Department for favour of the preparation of a draft answer with a note of such other information as may be deemed necessary.

It is requested that the draft answer may be forwarded so as to reach the undersigned before 5 p.m. on Monday the 9th instant

* Question No. VII ~~IX~~, ~~XIII~~, XIV ~~of the Hon'ble~~

Fort St. George,
6th May 1896.

Secretary to Government.

To The Revenue Department.

P.S.—It is requested that the draft answers together with the notes, if any, may be written only on one side of the paper.

VERY URGENT.

16

NOTICE.

B. P. No. 28, Press, dated 31st January 1898, communicating G. O. No. 266 Mis., dated 17th January 1898.

It has been brought to the notice of the Collector that there is a tendency on the part of the ryots to interfere with the arrangements made by Goverment for the proper distribution of water from channels and tanks, by causing injuries to sluices, regulators or dams provided for the regulation of water from irrigation works in this District with the object of obtaining an unfair supply of water for their fields. The subject has recently been considered by Government which has passed orders declaring that in cases where such damage has been caused, penal assessment should be levied upon all the lands benefited by the damage done.

2. The public are therefore warned that persons who receive an unusual supply of water to their lands in consequence of damage done to sluices, regulators or dams will be held to have participated in causing such damage and will be punished by the imposition of heavy penal rates upon their lands.

3. Village Officers are responsible for seeing that sluices are in good order and cases of interference must be promptly brought to notice in order that the offenders, if known, may be prosecuted. Village officers will publish this notice by beat of tom-tom in their villages.

Coimbatore Collector's Office,
10th February 1898.

(Sd.) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Ag. Collector.

17

CIRCULAR.

The Magisterial Standing Circular, dated 23rd July 1887, as amended by Circular No. 72 Magte. of 1891, dated 17th August 1891, regarding feeding charges of impounded cattle and commission to be paid to pound keepers, will be revised and republished as follows:—

2. Referring to para 20 of the new rules for the audit of Special Fund accounts, it is hereby notified for the information and guidance of all Taluk Magistrates and Pound keepers that the following will, in future, be the scale of feeding charges for cattle impounded in the cattle pounds of this district.

Animal.	*Rate per day.* Rs. As. P.	
Elephant	1 0 0	If the animal is in the pound for less than 6 hours half the usual rates.
Camel or buffalo	0 4 0	
Horse, mare, gelding, Pony, colt, filly, mule, Bullock, Cow or Heifer..... ...	0 2 0	
Calf, Ass or Pig	0 1 0	
Ram, ewe, Sheep. Lamb. goat or kid... ...	0 0 6	

3. It should be remembered that no charge should be made for feeding unless actually incurred. *Vide* Rule 20 of the Special Fund Rules and para 12, clause 3, at page 73 of the Village Officers' manual. Tahsildars should satisfy themselves that this rule is observed by enquiries during their tours.

4. With reference to Board's Standing Order No. 95, commission to Pound keepers should uniformly be calculated on the following scale throughout the District, and Taluk Magistrates will see that the fixed scale is never exceeded:—

(*a*) Fifty per cent. of the collections in the Pound subject to the condition of the maximum commission in a month not exceeding Rs. 5.

(*b*) If the total collections in a month are less than a Rupee, the whole of the amount collected *minus* what is due to the sweeper will be made over to the pound-keeper as his commission.

(*c*) If the total collections in a month are either one Rupee or more than a Rupee but less than 2 Rupees, the remuneration of the pound-keeper will be one Rupee.

In regard to inferior servants for cleaning the pounds or tending the cattle the remuneration will be one anna for every eight annas of the fines collected in a month, subject to a maximum of Rupees 2 per mensem. But, wherever practicable, the Taluk Magistrates should try and avoid this kind of payment, introducing, in its place, the system of handing over the manure in such cases, by way of remuneration.

Coimbatore Dt. Magte's. Office,
2nd February 1893.

(Signed.) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Ag. District Magistrate.

8. Licenses in Form XII appended to the Revised Rules under the Arms Act may be granted to contractors, cultivators, and other persons without payment of any fee, if they desire to possess and transport gunpowder and fuses in reasonable quantities or to transport dynamite, blasting gelatine and detonating caps in reasonable quantities when the same are proved to be required for *bona fide* blasting purposes. For this purpose applications with a one-anna adhesive stamp affixed should be presented to the Taluk Magistrates who will make the necessary enquiry, and, if satisfied, will submit to the District Magistrate draft licenses for seal and signature. Similar applications, if presented direct to the District Magistrate, will not be complied with at once, but will be sent to Taluk Magistrates for enquiry and preparation of draft licenses.

9. Taluk Magistrates and Sub-Magistrates should not issue temporary licenses permitting licensees in Forms VII, A, B and C, to carry on their business pending the renewal of their licenses. This temporary license will, if necessary, be issued by the District Magistrate.

Coimbatore Dt. Magte's Office,
2nd February 1898.

(Sd.) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Acting District Magistrate.

Printed by the Superintendent, at the Collectorate Press—Coimbatore.

The Hon'ble P. Ratnasabhapati Pillai:—

XIV (*a*). Has the attention of Government been drawn to the following notification of the Collector of Coimbatore published in the *District Gazette*, dated 5th March 1898, and have the terms of the notification the entire approval of Government?

Notification.

1. "It has been brought to the notice of the Collector that there is a tendency on the part of the ryots to interfere with the arrangements made by Government for the proper distribution of water from channels and tanks, by causing injuries to sluices, regulators or dams provided for the regulation of water from irrigation works in this district, with the object of obtaining an unfair supply of water for their fields. The subject has recently been considered by Government which has passed orders declaring that in cases where such damages has been caused, penal assessment should be levied upon all the lands benefited by the damage done."

2. "The public are therefore warned that persons who receive 'an unusual supply' of water to their lands in consequence of damage done to sluices, regulators or dams, will be held to have participated in causing such damage, and will be punished by the imposition of heavy penal rates upon their lands."

3. "Village officers are responsible for seeing that sluices are in good order, and cases of interference must be promptly brought to notice in order that the offenders, if known, may be prosecuted. Village officers will publish this notice by beat of tom-tom in their villages."

(*b*). Will Government be pleased to cause a revision of the terms of the notification in order that persons who are not responsible for the mischief directly or indirectly, may be safe?

(*c*). Is there any authorized scale for the levy of penal assessments, and if not, will Government be pleased to frame one, with due regard to the gravity of the mischief, and the benefit resulting to the mischief-doer?

The Hon'ble Mr.

XIV (*a*). The Government has seen the notification referred to which was issued in pursuance of its orders.

(*b*). These orders were issued as cases of mischief of this kind were becoming very general in Coimbatore and as it was found impossible to detect or trace the actual offenders. The presumption is that those whose lands are benefited by the damage are responsible for the damage. It will be open to any person to adduce evidence to satisfy the Collector to the contrary. In order to make the intention more clear, the words "unless and until the contrary is proved" will be inserted after the words "will be held" in paragraph 2 of the notification.

(*c*). The Government has not laid down any fixed scale for the levy of penal assessments and does not propose to do so. The matter is one which must be left to the discretion of the local authorities subject to appeal to superior authority.

flag H.

Question VII - proposed to be asked by the Hon. D. Ratnasabhapathy Pillai - at the next meeting of the Legislative Dept.

To Secretary.

The Notification referred to in this question appears to have been based on G.O. 266 Mis Dated 17 January '98. This and the previous papers on the subject were put up with an Irrigation Dept. file regarding irrigation duty for water and the file was transferred to that Dept. The whole file being now at Madras a telegram was despatched on the 7th instant for the return of the papers. ~~Mr. Bourne not come yet~~ ~~for this meeting & Appeal brought this papers up.~~ ~~Orders are not~~ The draft reply should reach this Dep. Dept before 5 P.m. today, ~~if not the Orders are regarded whether this meeting Appeal may be awaited. if not~~ the following draft is

submitted

(a) The Govt has now perused the notification referred to by the H.R.

(b) & (c) The Govt is not in a position to give a complete reply to questions (b) & (c) owing to the papers relating to the subject having been sent down to Madras.

RS
9-5-98

VII (a)

Question VII proposed to be asked by the Hon. P. Ratnasabapathi Pillai at the next meeting of the Legislative Council.

To Secretary

Please see note under Go. 266 17-1-98 which fully explains the case. The notification appears to have been authorized by the Board under this Go.

(b) The Legislative Dept has been requested to have the form of this question modified. It has been found impossible to trace the actual mischief does.

(c) No Scale has been sanctioned by Govt.

RS
9-5-98 Secy

The question has been finally admitted as alleged in red ink.

The following draft answer is submi

P.T.O.

C 10-5-98

(a) The Govt has seen the notification referred to which was issued under its in pursuance of its orders.

(b) Their orders were issued as it was found impossible to detect or trace the actual offenders. The presumption obviously is that those whose lands are benefited by the damage are responsible for & recipients the damage. It will be open to any person to adduce evidence to satisfy the Collector to the contrary. The Govt therefore does not seem to modify the notification.

(c) The Govt has laid down any fixed scale for the levy of penal assessments. It does not propose to do so. The matter is one which must be left to the discretion of the local authorities subject to appeal to the superior authority

10-5-98

* District Gazettes are forwarded to the Collr of Coimbatore (may then be considering the present ~~not~~ new Govt)

x Cases of mischief of this kind were becoming very general in Coimbatore at the

Draft to make the intention more clear, the words "unless it is proved to the contrary" should be added after the words "will be held" in para 2 of the Notifn.

S.R. 16/5

Secretary to Govt Revenue Department

Hon'ble / The proposed answer may be given but para 2 of the Notifn might more appropriately have run "will be held, unless contrary is proved"

S.R. 20.5.98

Read again G.O. no 266 R. 17-1-98

Read Notification issued by the Collr of Coimbatore dist Jany of the March 1898 by the Collector of Coimbatore with reference to aforesaid G.O. of 17th Jan.

Put this

H.E. the Pres. having perused the Notification read above considers that para 2 of it should be amended as read as follows,

"2. The public are therefore warned that the lands which receive an undue supply of water in consequence of damage done to sluices regulators or dams will be held liable to the imposition of heavy penal rates."

The Board of Rev. will instruct the Collr of Coimbatore accordingly

S.R. 20/5/98

I moved approval of the proposal as accepted by Hon'ble Member in charge

23/5/98.

Read Question XIV asked by the Hon. P. Ratnasabhapati Pillai and the answer given thereto at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 13th inst, 1898.

G.O. issued.

The Board of Revenue will be requested to issue ~~the necessary instructions~~ to the Collector of Coimbatore ~~to amend para 2 of the said~~ so as to read ~~Notification in the manner~~ as follows:— indicated in the answer to Question (b) of the question

~~In the 2nd paragraph, the words~~ "unless it is proved to the contrary" ~~shall be inserted~~ after the words "will be held" ~~in paragraph 2 of the Notification~~

SR 20/5/98

To the Bd of Rev (L. R).

not that his answer was quoted distinctly promised that the words "unless & until the contrary is proved," and the words after the words, "will be held." As it is, I think the draft had better be,

"The persons are therefore warned that if fields receive exceptional and undue supply of water, the persons who own or cultivate the fields will be held, unless & until the contrary is proved, liable for the damage & the heavy penalties will be imposed on the fields."

The Ld of Rev to

JG
25/5/98

Private and Secy
27/5/98.

Secy

The H.m. has been misled by an erroneous copy of the answer given to the Question. The paper flagged X contains the answer as drafted in the office & preliminarily approved by the H.m. In Council however the answer was modified vide the corrected copy flagged Y

Flagged X

Under these circumstances I approve of the Sec's draft.

JG
6/6/98

[illegible] to the Council. But this [illegible] the words "is proved" for it is said either "[illegible]" [illegible] "before to any person to adduce evidence" [illegible] "to satisfy the Minister of the contrary" [illegible] "to make the intention clear, the" [illegible] "[illegible] It was" [illegible] "evidently intended in wording it" [illegible] "not to the [illegible] that it is proved" [illegible] "& proved that they" Flag Y [illegible] "[illegible] concerned in the matter" [illegible] "[illegible] this point is more set out" [illegible] "[illegible] the draft, and [illegible]" [illegible] "[illegible]" [illegible] "adopting that draft I would [illegible]" [illegible] "the words," and in lieu [illegible] "[illegible] found on the fields", the words, "[illegible] to the inspectors to" [illegible] "[illegible]" [illegible] "[illegible]"

meeting of the Legislative Council was not to the effect that "in order to make the notification more clear, the words "unless & until the contrary is proved" with to inserted after the words "will to held" in para 2 of the notifica- but simply that "in order to make the intention more clear the notification with to recast."

The erroneous copy Flag X was kept in the file by mistake. It was then as the Secy ~~draft~~ ~~corrected~~ had indicated in it the manner in which the notification might to recast.

JG
26/5/98

H.m.

Under the circumstances does the H.m. adhere to the above note?

J
21/6/98.

(a) Submitted also to H. E. The Govt.

3/6/98.

(b)

Secy.

The draft submitted
calls H.E.'s present orders

28/5

Issue SB 4/6/98

Read again G.O. No 266 17-1-98

Read notification issued in the Coimbatore Dt Gazette of the 5th March 1898 by the Collector of Coimbatore with reference to the aforesaid G.O.

G.O. Miscs No. 2759 d/29th June 98.

H.E. the Governor in Council having perused the notification read above considers that para 2 of it should be amended so as to read as follows:—

"2. The public are therefore warned that if fields receive surreptitiously an undue supply of water, the persons who own or cultivate the fields will be held, unless and until the contrary is proved, to have participated in causing such damage, and will be liable to the imposition of a heavy penal rate on their fields."

The Board of Revenue will

(c

he will instruct the Collector of Coimbatore accordingly.

RS
27-6-98

29.6.98

(c

29/6/98

' sale

To the Bd of Rev (ex. R)

Received 1/7/98

(c

' '

' '

' '