Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2658.

DATED 22nd June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing the Court of wards that ~~it's surmise regarding torrefrande, from the~~ ~~extracts of proceedings~~ that the post of the message sent on 26-4-98 relating to the Ghidikoda estate ~~in proceedings~~ should be refunded from the estate funds, is correct.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1884

8/12/6/98

From The Secretary to the Court of Wards.

Order vide No. 2658 d/22nd June 98.

The Court of Wards, is informed that it is entitled in para 2 of its Proc read above is correct.

No. 442 Routine.

Dated 16th June 1898.

Received 18 "

Registered 18 "

Enclosures /

Spare copies

The Court of Wards
with Enclt

17.6.98

SUBJECT.

According to, acknowledging receipt of a cheque for Rs 63/- being the cost of telegram to the Madras office in connection with the Pittapur Privy Council appeal & requesting to be informed whether the cost of the message sent on 26/4/98 relating to the Chirikada Estate should be refunded from the Estate funds.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
2482 11-6-98.			
1823 26-4-98			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

COURT OF WARDS OFFICE MADRAS

Proceedings of the Court of Wards,

Dated 16th June — 1898.

No. 442 Routine.

READ G.O. Revenue dated 26th April 1898 to Dionel Davidson Esquire Ag Secretary to the Court of Wards, Madras, Rec'd No 2482, dated 11th June 1898.

Resolution.

Recorded.

2. The telegraph receipt for Rs 24 (Rupees twenty four only) received with the above Government Order apparently relates to the Message referred to in Government Order No 1823 Revenue dated the 26th April 1898 and Government probably desires that its cost should be refunded from Shidikada funds. The Court of Wards desires to be informed if this is

this extract is correct.

(True Extract)

Lionel Dawkins
Ag Secretary

To. The Secretary to Govt. R.O.
with one tel: receipt
for Rs 24/

Read Proc of the court of Wards No 442 dt 16-6-98 (& No 4334 of 98) Note

In the Proc read above, the court of Wards enquires whether the Govt decides that the cost of the message referred to in G.O. No 1823 Rev dt 26-6-98 should be defrayed from the chidikada funds. The telegrams referred to were sent to the Secy of State in connection with the chidikada appeal then pending before the Priv. Council and the information was used for the abatement of the appeal. (vide Sir Arthur Wilson's letter under Cyp. No 2220 dt 21-5-98). It is presumed therefore that the cost of the

A

B

Telegrams sent by post should be refunded from the funds of the children's estate

A draft order is enclosed and the docket of the contents

Allen
7/6/18

21/6/18

Geo. Bruce
J.S.
1/7/18

given for copying ....
copied ....
examined ....
signed ....
} 189 .

MAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

ENT No.

OURCE TO C. No.

R OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

TER OF GOVERNMENT.

)

TEMENT WITH DITTO

PERS NOT FIL' UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.