91.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2622

DATED 20th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that a sufficient case has not been made out for exempting the two Clerks entertained in the Bellary Jail for checking the records and registers relating to loans granted during the late famine from the operation of the 10 days' limit prescribed in Article 1159 of the Civil Service Regulations so as to enable them to draw daily allowances for the period of their work.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (189 8) Department.

CURRENT No.

Read agnl G.O. 11 R 97-5/58

Read also B.P. 3377 this 9 30-5-98
(Con No 3377 of 98)

From The Board of Revenue Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture, Madras.

Order this No 2629 dated 30th May 1898

No. 3372 this

The Govt ~~is not satisfied~~ that consider that a sufficient case to warrant ~~the~~ a deputation from the personal code has not been made out. The ~~objection~~ Collector's proposal cannot therefore be accepted.

Dated 30th May 1898.

Received 3 June

Registered

Enclosures /.

Spare copies

Mly
20.6.98

Sec to Govt

To the Rd KS & KY with Govt copy of Collr's letter

Dept Asst
3.6.98

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government, with reference to its order No 799 R, Revenue, dated 7th May 1898, letter from the Collector of Bellary stating that the travelling allowances admissible under the rules are not sufficient to meet the extra expenses entailed on account of the actual cost of locomotion of the two clerks deputed on special duty of checking loans records and that the extra expenditure incurred is of the kind which travelling allowances is intended to meet.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Ref.on G and B No.704. Bellary Collector's Office,

Dated 18th May 1898.

From

E.A.Elwin Esq., Ag. Collr. of Bellary.

To

The Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Sett. &c
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to Board's reference on Cur. No.C54, dated 13th May 1898, I have the honour to state that the extra expense incurred by the two clerks is of the kind which travelling allowance is intended to meet and that the application for exemption from the 10 days' limit has been made as the travelling allowance admissible under the rules is not sufficient to meet the extra expense entailed on account of the actual cost of locomotion of the clerks themselves, their servants and their personal luggage including incidental -- charges.

I have &c.

For Ag. Collector

23.6.98

a

1 thereof

(Schl. 22—2,000—11-3-97.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture).

Dated 30th May 1898.

No. 3372 Mis

READ... Government Order, Revenue department, No 799 Routine, dated 7: May 1898 and the Reference thereon, dated 13: May 1898 — forwarding it to the Collector of Bellary for report. Read also letter from the Collector of Bellary, Ref on G and B, No 764 dated 15th May 1898.

Resolution

The Collector's letter read above will be submitted to Government with reference to its order No 799 Routine Revenue, dated 7th May 1898.

(True Extract)

G. O. Srinivasachari
Secretary

To the Secretary to Government Revenue department.

with letter in original.

* in original.

gd/4 CS 31/5

Read B.P. No: 3372 dt 30-5-98

Cos: 3977 of 98

Note

In his letter to the Board No: 593 dt 4-4-98 the collector of Bellary represented that the two temporary clerks who had been sanctioned for checking the records and registers relating to loans granted during the late famine in the Bellary district were detained at the headquarter station of each taluk outside Bellary for a period longer than 10 days and that the prolonged stay which was necessary in the interests of the public service put them to extra expense. The collector accordingly recommended that the Board would be pleased to move Govt to exempt them from

In B.P. under Cp. 799 7-5-98.

Cp. 346 21-1-98.

C 17/6/98

the operation of the ten days limit prescribed in art 1159 of the C.S.R. so as to enable them to draw daily allowance for the period of their halt.

The Board having submitted the collector's request for the sanction of Govt, it was asked to ascertain and report whether the extra expense incurred by the two clerks was expenditure of the kind which travelling allowance is intended to meet. Gp. 799 7-5-98

In reply, the Board forwards a letter from the collector of Bellary in which that officer states that the extra expense referred to "is of the kind which travelling allowance is intended to meet and that the application for exemption from the ten days limit has been made as the travelling allowance admissible under the rules is not sufficient to meet the extra expense entailed on account of the actual cost of locomotion of the clerks themselves, their servants and their personal luggage including incidental charges".

para 2 of the Q of 9 letter on p 3 of Gp. 3472D 14-1-96.

It is presumed that in the circumstances reported, the exemption asked for will be granted. If so, the orders should issue in the Financial Dept, the papers being transferred to that Dept with an expression of opinion on the validity of the claim. vide Gp. No 3427D dt 19-5-96.

13-5-98 Office What expenses does T.A. intended to cover?

it is intended to pay for "servants & "personal luggage" "incidental charges"

2 Ills 18.6.98

To note

a Travelling allowance is intended to cover the actual cost of locomotion for an officer himself, & his servants and personal luggage but it is not meant to meet incidental charges. It is also a fundamental principle that the allowance is not to be a source of profit. vide articles 1086 and 1087 of the C.S.R.

C.A.V. 14/6/98.

Ag DTMM 16.VI.98

Secy

May the Collectr's report be accepted? Incidental Charges may mean anything.

Ills 18.VI.98

What.

Where a departure from the rules and regulations should be clearly made out that the allowances drawn were, in consequence of the 10 days rule, insufficient to cover the charges which t.a. is alone intended to meet. This is not, in my opinion, made out. The Collectr's report is very general & "incidental expenses" may mean anything. I would reject the application on the ground that the Pt. is not satisfied that a case is made out

Ag 18.6.98

Ags 18/6/98

Secy

Please see draft on docket

Ills 20.6.98

Secy 20/6/98

When given for copying ——
When copied —— } 180 .
When examined ——
When signed ——

READ AGAIN G O., No. DATED
18 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.