100—6-8-04.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2543

DATED 16th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving and sanctioning the proposal of the Collector of Nellore in respect of certain applications for mining for mica in Marapur village, Rapur taluk.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cir. 2584/99.							

O/18 4,000—19-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3303

D/ 16/6/98

From The Board of Revenue L. R.

Read R Ref No 490 & 2.5.98

Order nisi No. 2543 d/16-6-98

A.A. 14.6.98

The Collector proposals are approved & sanctioned Ref **No.** 490.

MM 13.V.98

Dated 2nd May 1898.

Received 4th — Do —

Enclosures 3.

Spare copies.

From Bd R. R & O with rel 21.6.98

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the orders of Government an application for leasing for Indian Nilapur village, Rasipuram Taluk, Nellore Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
B.P.	2R 130	8	21.4.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Reference on *No.* *of* 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Read — Endorsement of the Conservator of Forests Central Circle. No 1666, dated 21st April 1898. on letter from the Asst Collector of Tellore Ref. No 1633/for., dated 18th April 1898.

Reference No 490 dated 2nd May 1898.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board supports the Collector's recommendations.

Lionel Davidson
Asst Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Govt R.D.
with the Collector's letter &
Enclo (to be ret'd).

Exd 8/5

Read Boards' (LR.) Refer. No 490
2.5.98

(Cno 3303 of 98)

Note

With the Current read above the Board of Revenue submits three applications for mining for mica in the Rapur taluk, Nellore district. Two of the applications relate to the same plot of land (marked A in the sketch) measuring 3.32 acres; the Collector therefore recommends the grant of the land to the prior applicant.

The third application relates to a plot of land measuring 9.48 acres and including the whole of the block A mentioned above. In forwarding the application the Collector proposes to grant only a portion measuring 4.82 acres, out of the whole plot applied for, viz So much of the entire block as lies to the north of a straight line drawn through the northern boundary of block A. The adoption of the above proposal will leave out 1.34 acres of land actually appl[illegible]

1) Wajee Haddeen
Ahmed Ansari alias
Chote Sahib for a 25 gunta lease

2) Mr. Veeraghavareddi for a 15 gunta lease

3) Sannapureddi
Veeraghavareddi for a 10 gunta lease

9.48
3.32
6.16

6.16
4.82
1.34

C 25/5/98

Submitted for orders as to whether the Board may be requested to state whether there is any objection to granting the whole plot of 9.48 acres to the applicant Concerned. In this Connection it may be remarked that the size of the smaller block is very nearly the minimum size prescribed* (viz 3 acres) but that however the application in respect of it was preferred [ten] months year before that for the bigger block.

* para 17 of the Mica mining rules in B.P 130 LR. 21.4.97

Secy

The applicant to whom it is proposed to grant block A applied for it in Jan 97. He may therefore have it the portion of the remaining part and the rest of the whole block may be given to the latest (4-12-97) applicant as proposed by the Collector. Please see Draft

Yes, but for what period? The application is for 25 years. The Collr recommends 10 years. Why?

JG

Mining rights portion should be of a straight line drawn along the boundary of A and B given

JG

This draft does not appear to take cognizance of the change in the length of the lease of A which the Collr proposes, or that proposal that any part of B-A shd be given to III

JG 5/98

Lilly 23.5.98

Secy

With reference to the above H—ms remarks, it is submitted that the data on the current applns of the Collectrs proposals, which are as noted by the H—ble

The Collectr gives no reason for granting block A to applicant I for 10 years only while the application was for a 25 years lease. The reason probably is that as the extent applied for is small (3.32 acres) ten years will be more than enough to admit of its being fully worked out and that if the mine proves to be a good one and the lessee fails to work it properly, Govt would lose by allowing the lease to run for a very long period. Applicant III who is

Please see note under GO No 182 of 12-1-98 (A) & para 2 of B.P. No 165 of 15-2-98 in GO 1072 & 3.3.98 Hy E.

for the portion of block B which lies to the north of a line along the N. of block A which has been leased to him for 10 years only.

WRh
4-6-98

H. to be informed accdgly.

4/7/98.

Draft passed the Draft E.C.
4.6.98

given for copying ____
copied ____
examined ____
signed ____
} 189

AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189

TERENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.