# Revenue (189 ) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2531

DATED 16th June.

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning as to remark an expenditure of ~~Rs~~ Rs. 100/- in connection with the preparation and widening of Mr. Nicholson's Report on Agricultural Banks.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

My dear Forbes,

Vide enclosed from Nicholson.

I suppose it had better be put with the Agricultural Bank Papers.

But see the last para.

Can we do what he wants without a Special Official?

Yours sincerely

J. Lee

25/3/98.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT INDIA

25.3.98

CALCUTTA
20th March 1898

My dear Grose

I'm sorry to hear from you that you have little hope of anything being undertaken in the way of assisting the development of Village Banks. But I doubt if it is a question of money so much as of making a beginning; whether there are mistakes or not in beginning is not of much consequence so long as a beginning is made, provided, of course that it is made on a right principle; for instance, I do not believe in the Mysore Agric[l] Banks. As in the

Date.

criticisms of the Board which appear to be hostile, I do not know that they are final; to me personally they do not seem to be of weight. I am only sorry that I am not well enough off, or rather that I cannot at present possibly afford, to resign the Service and devote myself to a propaganda; had I a few thousand rupees in hand I would certainly do so: I believe implicitly in the success of village unions and in the necessity for them.

By the way, four days ago the Sec[y] of State wired to India to know what had been done in the matter of my report, as it was desired to answer a question which was to be put next day in Parliament; India has replied, I believe, that it knows nothing not having heard from Madras.

Referring to your remark about the Madras Tenancy Bill I am only sorry that I was told nothing about it when I came up here; I have, since your note, tried to assist in getting a reply sent to Madras, and to correct certain fundamental misconceptions as regards Madras tenures and rights of Zamindari ryots. I believe that a telegram has recently gone to you; I do not bother at the following despatch will suit your views: I have not seen either despatch or telegram, but have heard about them: in fact I saw the bill for

Date.

the first time on the
18th, two days ago.

Will you oblige me by
getting Rs. 100 placed at
my disposal from 1st April
in order to pay the people
who helped me in preparing
& indexing my report? Rs. 250
are sanctioned in 1896, but
only part was expended. I
cannot possibly spend any
more out of my own pocket.
If you wish I will apply
officially. The amount may
be met out of savings
effected on my travelling
allowance Calcutta to Madras,
which is proposed to be
withheld as I am
going on leave.

Yours sincerely,

F. A. Nicholson.

8

/

10

D.O. from the Hon. Mr. J. Grose. C.S.
& 25-3-98. Resd 9-5-98
(D.D. Cur. 70)

---

To Secretary

Mr T.A. Nicholson requests that a sum of Rs 100 may be placed at his disposal for paying the men who helped him in preparing and indexing his report on Agricultural Banks. A sum of Rs 200 was sanctioned for the purpose in 1895 of which he says only part was expended. What amount was actually expended is not stated, and the unspent balance must have lapsed at the close of the year. Mr Nicholson suggests that the amount that may now be sanctioned may be met from the savings effected from his travelling allowance (Calcutta to Madras) which is proposed to be withheld in consequence of his proceeding on leave. But the amount that was sanctioned in 1895

Mr Nicholson says Rs 250 was sanctioned in 1896. There is nothing to show that any sanction was accorded in 1896. Possibly he refers to the amount sanctioned in 1895. in Ed. 3943 & 2-10-95.

C4/6/98.

was to be met from the provision made ~~under~~ for "Miscel laneous and unforeseen charges" under "32 Miscellaneous". A sum of Rs 1950 has been provided under this head for the current year, and as the year has not far advanced there may be funds available for this expenditure.

The Hon. enquires whether Mr. Nicholson's request may be complied with, without a formal application. It will be seen that his application in 1895 in A.D.O. form was accepted by Govt, and the necessary sanction accorded.

A draft order sanctioning the amount applied for by Mr. Nicholson is put up.

RS
28-5-98

Sir,
Please see the Despatch which may first go to Finl Dept for Concurrence

25-V-98

Read in Finl. 1/6/98

Page 196 of the Civil Budget estimates of 1898-99.

Hy 1st
in Lo. 3943 2-10-95 Bldg D
26/5/98

26/5/98.

This will do
M 4.6.98.

M 4.6.98

To F.D. for counter initials.

D.O. Cus. 70

G.O. Misc. No. 2531 d/ 16th June 98.

Sanction is accorded for an expenditure of Rs 100 in connection with the preparation and indexing of Mr. Nicholson's report on Agricultural Banks.

2. The expenditure will be met from the provision made for the current year for 'Miscellaneous and unforeseen charges' under '32 Misc:'.

RS
28-5-98

25.V.98

M 3.6.98.

N. M.

1/6/98.

To the Fin'l Deptt
" F.A. Nicholson Esq. I.C.S.

Received 1/6/98

*When given for copying*——
*When copied*——
*When examined*——
*When signed*—— } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ORDER OF GOVERNMENT | .. | .. | .. | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. | | | | | | | | | | |
| STATEMENT WITH DITTO. | | | | | | | | | | |
| PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL. | | | | | | | | | | |

*N.B.*—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = C[illegible] heading only.