

Revenue (189⁸) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2463

DATED 7th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording Pro. of the Court of Wards reporting
that probate of the will of the late Lennida
of Pithapur was not obtained by any of the
beneficiaries named.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2537

From The Secretary
Court of wards

Order No. 2463 of 7.6.98.

Recorded

KD
2/6/98

No. 339. Mr.

Dated 29th March 1898.

Received 30th n

Registered 31st n

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting that probate
of the will of the late Lemia
-dar of Pittapur was not
obtained by any of the
beneficiaries thereunder


1A
11.98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1404	Rune	21.3.98
put up for C. No 20 by			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 29th March 1898.

No. 339-Mis.

Read official Memo and run from Govt. R.O.
N^o. 71 dated 19th March 1898.

Read also the following Govt. telegram to the
Collector of Godavari dated 26 March 98.

"Was probate of will of late
Pittapur Rajah taken by any of
beneficiaries. please wire reply."

Read the following telegram from the C.O. of
Godavari dated 25th March 1898.

"Your 24 probate of will of late
Pittapur Rajah not taken by
any beneficiaries."

Resolution

With reference to the official

Memo No. 71 (Rev. Sept.) dated the
17th instant, the Court submits that
probate of the will of the late Rajah
of Pittapur was not taken out by any
of the beneficiaries thereunder.

(True copy & Extract)

K. S. Wamikanannu

Asst. Secretary.

To. The Secretary to Govt. P.D.

O. M. 1271
19-3-98

Recd
19/3/98

To the Court of Wards.

The undersigned is directed
to request to be informed whether
probate of the will of the
late Pittapur Raja was taken
out by any of the beneficiaries
thereunder.

KD
28/2/98

[Signature]

8/9/98

From the Court of Wards no 339 mis dated

29-3-98 (C. no 25571)

To Secy

Office note page 2

Slide page 3

In the matter under C.O. no 1614 judicial dated 19-11-97 Mr. Hon. Sir Henry Pollock ^{enquired} ~~observed~~ ^{enquired} whether the case was ~~always~~ always taken in the matter of wills when Lord servants had the power to interfere, and intimated the case of Pillai and Viriavaram. Mr. H. M. observed that in the case of the former estate the minor succeeded as the son, and that the will need not be proved, and the enquiry might be made whether any other beneficiaries had proved it. He suggested enquiry was made and the court is the reply.

2. In the case of Viriavaram the Advocate General was consulted whether the Guardian should apply for probate on behalf of the minor, and his opinion was communicated to the Court of Viriavaram in C.O. no 1404 dated 21-3-98.

3. No action is called for except to record the same. Please see the draft order on ^{in the docket sheet} ~~the~~ ~~draft~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~court~~.

KO
2/6/98

H. M.

1/2/98

C3/6/98
1/2/98

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

LETTERS NOT VIEWED UP, BEING MEANT FOR REPEATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.:-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.