$\frac{0}{9}$ 5,000—5-3-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2458

DATED 7th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Communicating to the Board of Rev. Proc. of Jdl. Dept. Communicating letter from the Registrar High Court stating that it is impossible now to fix the blame on any individual in the matter of loss to Govt. of 65 84-8-0 in a suit pending in the Dist. Munsiffs Court Sonalapur, owing to the non-observance of certain rules promulgated by the High Court,

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 384

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
7 JUN 98
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

From The Judicial Dept

Order Misc No. 2458 d/ 7.6.98

Communicated to the Board of Revenue in continuation of G.O. No 1393 dated 19-3-96

KD 7/6/98

11/6/98

No. 778

Dated 17.5.98.

Received 27 —

Registered 28 —

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

List

To

The Bd of Revenue (S.R.) - Dept 14.6.98

Note

The B.P. on which this correspondence took place will be found in G.O. No 424 Judicial dated 12-3-98

SUBJECT.

Communicating to the Revenue Dept letter from the Registrar of the High Court stating that it is impossible now to fix the blame on an individual regarding the loss to Government of Rs 84-8-0, in O.S. No 288/92 on the file of the District Munsiff of Amalapur, Godavari District

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of letter from the District Judge, Godavari to the Registrar, High Court, Madras, No.1876, dated Rajahmundry, 27th April 1898:-

With reference to your letter (Ref. on Cur. No.719) dated 28th March 1898, I have the honour to report that it appears from a letter (copy enclosed) received from the District Munsif of Amalapur that one Ganti Seshavudu, a copyist of the Amalapur Court, happened to prepare the decree in question, and that S. Narasimha Nayudu (then 2nd Clerk in the Amalapur Court) examined it. The copyist is now dead. S. Narasimha Nayudu says that he was then Bench Clerk and that it was no part of his duty to prepare decrees. The then Head Clerk of the Court has retired and it seems impossible, in the circumstances stated in the District Munsif's letter, to fix the blame upon any particular clerk now working in that office.

2. In this office, it was the duty of the Bench Clerk in charge of appeals to prepare and send copies of decrees in pauper appeals to the Collector. The Bench Clerk in appeals in March 1894 was one K. Lakshmipathy who is no longer in public service. The Sheristadar of this Court then was M. R. Ry. B. V. Jogayya Garu. This officer is now Sheristadar of Vizagapatam District Court.

C.M. 21.5.98.

20-5-98.

Copy of letter from the District Munsif, Amalapuram to the District Judge, Rajahmundry, No.204, dated Amalapuram 18th April 1898:-

With reference to the Proceedings of the District Court, No.1128, dated 7th instant, based upon a copy of the Proceedings of the Madras Government No.984, dated 25th February 1898, Miscellaneous, which was furnished to this Court, I have the honour to state that it is the duty of the clerk preparing decrees to send to the Collector copies of decrees in pauper suits.

2. It appears from the record that the decree in O.S. No.288 of 1892 was drawn by Ganti Senkaradu, a copyist of this Court, who died very recently in February last and it was examined by S. Narasimulu Nayudu, now Superintendent of Copyists in the District Court.

3. As I am not the Munsif who passed the decree in O.S.No.288 of 1892, and as about five years had lapsed after the passing of it and as I took charge of this Court only on the 10th February last, I am unable to state ~~that~~ which clerk is liable for the delay in sending the copy of the decree to the Collector.

4. I have directed the clerk now preparing decrees to forward as early as possible copies of decrees in pauper suits to the Collector. The record in O.S.No.288 of 1892 is herewith resubmitted.

C.O. 21.5.98.

20.5.98.

[6. / 53]
1,000—5-5-98

Judicial Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper :—

From the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Madras, Dis. No.1009, dated 10th May 1898:-

With reference to G.O., No.424, Judicial, dated 12th March 1898, communicating for such action as may be deemed necessary a letter from the Collector of Godavari to the Board of Revenue bringing to notice the loss to Government of a sum of Rs.84-8-0 in O.S. No.288 of 1892 on the file of the District Munsif's Court of Amalapur and A.S. No.88 of 1894 on the file of the District Court owing to the non-observance of the rule printed at page 105, Part II, of the High Court's Civil Rules of Practice, I am directed to forward a copy of a letter from the District Judge of Godavari, No.1376, dated 27th April 1898, and of its enclosure and to state that the Honourable the Judges agree with the District Judge in considering that, in the circumstances stated, it is impossible now to fix the blame on any particular individual or individuals.

attached.

copy attached.

----------0000----------

O R D E R:-No.778, dated 17th May 1898.

Communicated to the Revenue Department.

(True Extract)

S. G. Roberts

for Chief Secretary.

TO
The Revenue Department.

5-98.

C.O.
21.5.98.

*When given for copying*_____
*When copied*_____
*When examined*_____ } 189 .
*When signed*_____

READ AGAIN G. O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.										
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.										
PAPERS NOT FILED OR, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.										

N. B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.