O/9 8,000—4-3-08.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2444

DATED 7th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

[illegible]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Mis.	1696	Rev.	28.3.98.				
	2623						

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Mis	2817	Rev	22.4.98				

O
18 4,000—19-1-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3164

Rec'd.

From

The Government of India,
Revenue & Commerce Dept.

Order Mise No. 2444 of 7.6.98.

Communicated to the
Chamber of Commerce, Madras.

No. 2241 S.R.

KO
7.6.98

Dated 14th May

Received 23rd

Registered 23rd

Enclosures

Spare copies.

To
The Chamber of Commerce
Copy to the Rd. of Rev. } Dep. 2nd Jun. 98

S.R.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding to the Govt of Madras copy of a telegram from the Secretary of State for India, stating that Mr. Ozanne is appointed as one of the British delegates re: Sugar bounties.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Mad.	1490	Rev	28-3-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	3817 / 22-9-98		

Telegram.

From - London, dated 12th May 1898.
From - Secretary of State for India.
To - Simla
To Viceroy -

Your telegram of 2nd May - Sugar bounties - Ozanne appointed one of British delegates.

No. 2271.
Government of India.
Finance and Commerce Department -

Simla, the 17th May 1898.

Ordered that copy be forwarded to

(1) the Government of Madras, with reference to the letter from that Govt., No. 1490, dated the 28th March 1898, for communication to the Chamber of Commerce, Madras -

(2) the Government of the North Western Provinces and Oudh with reference to the letter from that Govt., No. 669, dated the 7th April 1898, for communication to the Upper

India

India Chamber of Commerce.

(3) The Secretary, Bengal Chamber of Commerce, with reference to his letter No. 503-98, dated the 7th April 1898.

J. A. Bolton

Offg. Asst Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Dept

...on given for copying
...mitted

Note for Mr. Narasimha Aiyar

I don't succeed in finding out the information asked for by the Hon. member as to why no effect was given to the Sugar Convention of 1888, although I have pulled the following books
1. Hansard's Parliamentary D... 1888 & 18...
2. Indian Agriculturist
3 Tropical Agriculturist
Perhaps the Chambers of Commerce may be able to give the required information & I suggest that a reference may be made to the Chairman, C. of C.

Mail
4.6.98.

Note for Mr. K. P. Sankunni Menon

Please see Hansard's Parliamentary debates and put up a note as to why the convention was not given effect to

HW
6/4/98

Given for copying _____
Given correct _____
Given examined _____ } 189
Given signed _____

AD AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

URRENT No.

LOSURES TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
SITES OF GOVERNMENT.										
STATEMENT WITH SITES.										
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BUT MEANT FOR OPERATOR IN ORIGINAL.										

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
D = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.