Q/5 3,000—5-3-98.

Q/5 3,000—5-3-94.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2359

DATED 3rd June

[Despatch Abstract.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

O/18 4,000—10-7-97

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2359

From C. Muthuswamy Ayyar and others

Order nisi, No. 2359 dt 3rd June 98.

The Govt de-
clines to interfere

Mr
26.5.98

Mr. Muthuswamy Ayyar
Murtuzair Zamindar of
Chevampet
Madurantakam Taluk
Chingleput Dist

No.

Dated 28 May 98

Received 3 May

Registered 4 "

Enclosures 4

Spare copies.

Letter from orders
To the Collr
with Encls 3 Depts
6.6.98

SUBJECT.

Appealing against the order
of the Board of Revenue re: the
grant of a patta for certain
Tanks in main channels reserved by
Govt.

S.W.
4/6/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 2420.

REVENUE OFFICE,
RECEIVED
2 MAY 1898

PETITION

1893

REVENUE DEPT.

CHIEF SECRETARY
2- MAY. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS*

To His Excellency
Sir Arthur Elibank Havelock G.C.I.E.
Governor and President in Council
Fort St. George.

The humble petition of C. Pandian
-Kumara Swami Mudaliar, Zamindar
of Chamarajpet, Madras, and others
Ryots, Chingleput District.

Respectfully sheweth,

That in the village of Velampet forming
part of the petitioner's Zamindari, there were
6 Cawnies of Pakki Dry land, which, your
petitioners were informed, was ordered to be
resumed by the Honorable Board of Revenue
2. That in accordance with Standing order
No. 128 of the Honorable Board of Revenue
based on G.O. No. 1281, dated 10th August 1878
which directs that assessed Dry lands in Zamindari
village should be made over to the Zamindar
if he consents to an addition to the Peishcush
of two-thirds of the full assessment of such
resumed Dry lands, the Collector of Madura
in his letter No. 636/96 dated 18th December 1896
(Enquiry in original) asked your Excellency's
petitioners if they were willing to have the
6 Cawnies in this Zamindari village, and the
Peishcush increased as stated above.

3. That your petitioner in his letter No. 266 dated 4 January 1897, duly replied to the Tasildar expressing his willingness to take the Land on the Condition proposed by him. That in spite of the reply of your petitioner to take the land, it was hopelessly given away to the tenants on full assessment, and on a Rent-free patta. The petitioner has enclosed an original Tasildars Endorsement No. 3956, dated 12th October 1897 in proof of same.

4. That, as an arrangement like the above in a Jinnin claim village is calculated to cause great inconvenience, your petitioner appealed to the Tasildar for a reconsideration of his case, and his reply No. 4291 dated 6 November 1897 is herewith sent in original for ready reference.

5. That your petitioner sorrowfully regrets that he could not get any justice either from the Collector or the Honorable Board of Revenue, to whom he has successively appealed, and whose replies Nos. 2650/10.12.97 and 917/10.3.98 respectively have been herewith sent in original for reference.

6. That in this connection it may be mentioned that it was only in pursuance of the Standing Order referred to in para 2 above, that all the Inams that have hitherto been resumed, except the one in question, have been enfranchised and the Collection of their rent entrusted to us, as per terms of the said order. The petitioner respectfully begs that the same rule may be graciously directed to be observed in this case too, since it would appear that no reason has been given by any of the authorities referred to above for the deviation from Inamrule.

7. That it may not be out of place also to observe here that the Honorable Board of Revenue,

in issuing the said standing Order, must have been fully alive to the inconveniences, which a Jinnin may be put to, and also the loss which the Government may incur by the relinquishment of such lands by the tenants, by other arrangement such as the one made by the Tasildar in this case.

8. That in conclusion Your Excellency's petitioner respectfully begs that, in the circumstances clearly set forth above, Your Excellency will be so graciously pleased as to consider the superior claims of your petitioner to the said 6 Cawnies of Pakka Inam Land, which was most unjustly given away to the tenants in the face of the said Standing Order of the Honorable Board of Revenue and against the Tasildars own letter No. 636/18.12.96 referred to in para 4, and kindly direct, as requested in para 6, justice to be done to the petitioner. The petitioner also begs that all the enclosures of this petition, which have been sent in original for favor of ready reference, may be kindly directed to be returned to him.

And for this act of justice, Your Excellency's petitioner shall ever pray.

Cawnthadenmaravarman Rajah.

Zemindar of Chunnapatti

The petitioner

Read petition from C. Southiakuma
rasawami of Zamindar of Chinnampet,
dt 28.4.98 (Encl 32 87 of 98)

Secretary

It appears that certain waram
land (measuring 6 cawnies) within the limits of the Chinnam
pet Zamindari in the district of
Chingleput was ordered by the
Board of Revenue to be resumed and
fully assessed and ryotwari pattas
issued to the ~~former~~ occupants.
In order that the land might be
made over to the Zamindar in
case the occupants refuse to take
it up on patta, the Tahsildar of
Madurantakam asked him whether
he was willing to have the land
and ~~the~~ pattah the peishkash of the Zamindar
raised by two-thirds of the full
assessment on the waram land.
The Zamindar consented to this
arrangement but as the occupants
of the land were willing to take the
land on patta, the Tahsildar assigned
the land to them and not to the
Zamindar. In reference to his
petition to the Collector, the Zamindar
was informed that the land

vide Tahsil's letter dt 18.12.96.
(Encl 1 to 3 in 1 cover)

vide Tahsil's letter dt 6.11.97
(Encl 1 to 5 in 1 cover).

(Encl 1 to 10-12-97).

Contd from 1

~~Contd~~ be assigned to him and it was also stated that "the assignment of such inams to Zamindars under para 1 of Board's Standing order no 128 is optional with Collectors. In the present case the Tahsildar has correctly carried out the Board's orders by issuing a pattah for the land in the name of the present occupant." ~~On~~ Against the Collector's order the petitioners appealed to the Board of Revenue, but that authority ~~saw no reason~~ declined to interfere with the order and accordingly rejected the appeal. The petitioners now come up to Government and state ~~that~~ in the current road alone that in pursuance of the standing order referred to, all the inams that have hitherto been resumed except the one in question have been made over to the zamindars and that the arrangement made by the Tahsildar in the present case will cause inconvenience to the petitioners and entail loss of revenue to Govt the land is relinquished by the ? Ryots. He therefore prays that resumed inam land

B.P. 242 10.2.98 (Cont'd).

be directed to be made over to him.

Para 1 of the standing order referred to above lays down that "resumed or relinquished inams in Zamindaris, Polliems and Incam whole villages, may be made over to the Zamindar, Pollegar or whole village Incumbar with his consent and with an addition to the peshkash or quit-rent of two-thirds of the full assessment" ~~It~~ it will thus appear that it is not obligatory on the revenue authorities to make over the resumed inam lands to the Zamindars. This course will be adopted when it is expedient to retain in the hands of Govt the management of a little plot of land. It is not stated nor is it clear what inconvenience is felt by the Zamindar by the present arrangement. Submitted that the Government may decline to interfere in the matter.

Geo, We cannot decline to interfere. How so does not say that they should be handed over to the Zamindar, as per question it, but that they may be.

27/5/98

Please see draft G.O. on the docket after amendt.

16/5/98

Secy Mly 18.5.98

Please issue the pattas have ordered above

SAC 7/6/98

Q K (000)—6-3-05.

*When given for copying*_____
*When copied*_____
*When examined*_____ } 189 .
*When signed*_____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LR GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.