3,000—5-3-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2357

DATED 2nd June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the grant of a copy of G.O. 5883/16.11.97 to Deman Bahadur R. Rajunatha Rao.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2075

D/p
2/6/98.

Order Misc No. 2357 dt 2nd June 98.

From The Board of Revenue
Revenue Settlement Land Record and Agriculture
Madras

No. 1735 Misc Memorial

Dated 9th March 1898
Received 13 "
Registered 14 "
Enclosures 2
Spare copies

~~The Board of Revenue will be informed that~~ A copy of Govt order No 5883 dated 16.11.97. ~~without the Advocate General's Opinion read therein, may~~ will be furnished to Dewan Bahadur R. Raghunatha Rao.

2/6/98

To Dewan B R Row with copy of G.O. (recd 3/6/98)
To the Board (R.G.) with Encl. (recd 4/6/98)

Omitting A.G.'s Opinion

Mk 1/6/98. A.C.
Let me see the copy which it is proposed to send
M

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government for orders petition from Dewan Bahadur R. Raghunatha Rao, Kumbakonam requesting to be favoured with the Board's proceedings on the Government order regarding the nonliability of his Mukta Ezara Inam lands to water-rate and second crop charges

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	5883	Rev	16.11.97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Gopalavilas, Kumbakonam
6th March '98

From

Dewan Bahadur P. Rajoonath Row
Kumbakonam

BOARD OF REVENUE
8 MAR. 1898
SETTLEMENT, LAND RECORDS & AGRICULTURE

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
(Revenue Settlement, Land Records & agriculture)
Madras.

Sir,

Some time after the receipt of the Extract of Resolution dated the 31st Decr. 1897, no. 10292 mis. which you were so good as to communicate to me, I wrote to the Collector of Tanjore on the 12th February 1898 the following letter:—

"I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your Communication dated the 5th Instant no. 1124/G&B of 1897, informing me that the Tahseldar of Tanjore had been asked not to collect from me any water-rate or second crop assessment on the Inam lands under Title deeds nos. 1403 and 1259 in Kovilady and Tiruchanampundy villages.

I hereby tender my respectful thanks to you to your predecessor Mr. G. Stokes and to the members of the Honorable Board of Revenue and Government who all contributed to bring about the aforesaid decision.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)
Dated 28th March 1878, No. 847.

Read letter from H. S. Thomas Esqr.
Collector of Tanjore,
dated 29th December 1877 *No.* 4352.

RESOLUTION—It appears that originally there was only one Channel for the supply of the Kovilady and Tiruchanampundy villages, and that a new channel was constructed at petitioners fathers' expense for the irrigation of the high lands of Kovilady, and it was directed by Mr. Kindersley in 1838(1) that the low lands belonging to the charities of Venkat Row (petitioner's father) in the villages of Kovilady and Tiruchanampundy should be watered by the old Channel, (2) that the high lands of Koviladi should be irrigated by the new Channel, and (3) that no mirassidars should attempt nunjah cultivation in any high lands unsuited to such cultivation and thereby waste the water to the detriment of the lands already under wet cultivation.

2. On disputes arising in 1855 it was directed that no mirassidar should utilize the water of the old channel without the permission of the petitioners for raising nunjah crops on dry and garden lands in contravention of the previous orders.

3. The petitioners now appeal against an order passed by Mr. Thomas declining to interfere with mirassidars raising a second crop on single crop land without the consent of the petitioners and also sanctioning the conversion of a small portion of dry land into wet on the ground that as regards the land in question there is no danger of wasting water and consequently no contravention of the order of 1838.

4th. It seems to be quite clear that but for the construction of the new channel there would have been no possibility of extending wet cultivation, as the old supply was not sufficient for the cultivation of the mamool wet without constant dispute any possible extension of wet is consequently due to the outlay made by the Petitioner's father.

5th The order of 1855 prohibiting the other Mirasidars from converting dry into wet without the Petitioner's permision seems to have been based upon this consideration. It dealt only with the conversion of dry into wet specially objected to, but the principle extends equally to the cultivation of second wet crops on one single crop lands. Petitioner have in all fairness a prior claim to any water which may be available for the extension of wet cultivation after all have been supplied with the quantity necessary for the cultivation of mamool area subject only to the restriction laid down in the order of 1838 that no nunjah cultivation shall be attempted in any high lands unsuited for cultivation. It cannot be admitted however that they have any right to prevent the utilization of any surplus water that they may be unable to use themselves or to levy any water cess thereon.

* Petitioners will be referred to the Collector who will revise his orders in accordance with the principle above indicated. (True copy)

(Signed) J. STURROCK,
Acting Sub Secretary.

To R. Hurry Row.
R. Ragoonath Row.

கலெக்ட்டர் துரையவர்களுடைய சென்றமீ உளவு 1415 நெம்பர் இண்டார்சுடன் வந்திருக்கும் மார்ச்சுமீ 28வு உள்ள 847-நெம்பர் புரோசிடிங்ஸ்அடக்கங்களுடன் அனுப்பியிருக்கி றதாயும் அந்த புரோசிடிங்ஸிலும் இண்டார்சுமெண்டிலும் கண் டபடி பழயவாய்க்காலினுலே திருச்சினமபூண்டி கிராமத்து பிராசுதார்களுக்கு மனுதார் அரிமுவு பொருநாதமுவு இவர் களுக்கு மாமூல் திட்டநிலத்துக்கு பாச்சிக்கொள்ள அதிகபாத் தியதையுடையவர்களா யிருக்கிறார்களென்றும் ஆதலால அந்த திட்டநிலத்துக்கு பாய்ச்சியாவாமீதி அவர்கள் உபயோகத்துக்கு வேண்டாத ஜலம் மேற்சனும் யிருந்தால் மட்டில் அதை மெடி றப்பு மிருசுதார்கள் அவர்களுடைய சாருபடி விர்த்திக்கும் உபயோகப்படுத்திக் கொள்ளலாமேயல்லது வேறே கூடாதென் றும் மேலும் இதுவரையில் இருபோகசாகுபடியான ஒருபோக மந்திட்டம் சேர்ந்த 9-வேலி சொச்சநிலமும் நஞ்சை சாகுபடி யாகி வந்த 1-வேலி மா-5-நிலமும் இனி அவ்விதம் மேல்கண் டபடி அரிமுவு பொருநாதமுவு அவர்களுடைய பாய்ச்சலுக்கு கண்டு மீந்த ஜலமிருந்தாலொழிய நீர்வைபசலி சாஸ்திசாகுபடி செய்யக்கூடாதென்றும் அலலாமலும் இதில் சம்மந்தப்பட்ட யெல்லாக்கட்சிக் காரர்களும் அரிமுவு பொருநாதமுவு மைத்துநர் ப்பு மிருசுதார்களும் லயர்ந்த மேட்டுநிலத்தில் நஞ்சைசாகுபடி செய்யக்கூடாதென்றும் அவர்களுக்கு வெளித்தமாய் அறிவிக்கத் தக்கதாய் ஹேட் அஃபீஸ்டாண்டு கலெக்ட்டர் துரையவர்களு டைய நாளதுமீ 8வுயுள்ள 1-நெம்பர் உத்திரவின்பேரில் 10வு யுள்ள தாலூகா 113-நெமபர் யாதாஸ்து வந்திருப்பதால் இது விவரத்தை சம்மந்தபபட்டவர்களுக்கு அறிவித்துப்போடவேண் டியது.

1879-ஆம் ஜனவரிமீ 27வு
திருவாதி.

Exhibit No. 1 ..... to Civil Suit No. 222 of 1898

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

Dated 9th March — 1898.

No. 1735 Miscellaneous.

READ petition from M. R. Ry Dewan Bahadur R. Raghunatha Row, Gopalavilas, Kumbakonam, dated 6th March 1898.

Resolution

Submitted for the Orders of Government.

(True Extract.)

R. C. Sinnadasin
Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with petition & enclosures in origi

Ed Acq 11th

Read Cro 2075 of 189[illegible]
B.P. (R.Y.) 1735
9.3.98

For orders.

With the above current, the Board of Revenue Submits for orders an application from Dewan Bahadur K. Raghunatha Rao for the grant to him of a copy of Go. No 5883. d 16.11.97. (Confidential in which the Govt decided that no extra charge should be levied for the second-crop cultivation of single-crop lands and the irrigation of dry lands in the inam villages of Kovilady & Tiruchi pundi in the Tanjore Taluk. The Govt held with the Advocate-General that the ~~extra~~ extra-charge was legally leviable, but on grounds of public policy & expediency decided that the pledges unauthorisedly given by Mr Kindersley at the time of the grant should be respected.

(in the Confdl cover.)

There is perhaps no harm in

in granting a copy of the order only without the Advocate General's opinion

A

Mk
24/5/98.

Secy
× There seems to be no objection to doing this.

[illegible]
29.5.98

may be granted.

[illegible]
1/6/98.

Please see draft order put up for approval on the docket of the Current.

Issue. Note my instructions
[illegible]

Mk
1/6/98.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.